# Relationship between Obesity and Mental Illness

Jhunilata Pradhan[1], Debashree Dey[2], Mamata Swain[3]

[1,2,3] *Department of Health Science, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan (Deemed to be University), Bhubaneswar, Odisha*

*1Email Id- jhunilatapradhan@soa.ac.in*

***Abstract: Obesity is considered to contribute to a number of physical and mental problems. A convergence of adult obesity and rising morbidity and mortalité in men and women has been shown by many reports. Although the association between obesity and psychological wellbeing is well known. Obesity is commonly thought to be strongly related to certain behavioral disorders and can also be the source of overweight. Evidence for these views comes mainly from case reports, while large sample studies hasn't always supported these findings. Nevertheless, several findings have indicated that the chances of cardiovascular disease and death are directly linked to body mass index (BMI), however a rising research force researching psychological effects of obesity provides misleading outcomes. The physical health implications of obesity have been thoroughly reported. Most studies have shown that weight and psychological well-being are negatively related. The research paper is designed with the help of 200 young women interviewed with the help of a series of questionnaires to explore the relation between mental diseases and weight, particularly obesity.***

***Keywords: : Body Mass Index (BMI), Disorders, Mental illness, Obesity and Psychological effects,***

## 1. INTRODUCTION:

The most prevalent chronic physical disorder in contemporary culture is obesity and the most prevalent mental health problem is depression. A big public health concern is the growing incidence of overweight and obesity. Among US adults, the prevalence of obesity has risen from around 23 percent in 1990 in 2000 to 31 percent (defined as the body mass index (BMI, measured as weight in kilograms divided by height squared into meters) of 30). Obesity is increasingly common and is linked with multiple problems including obesity, heart failure and decreased mortality. Obesity is now growing increasingly prevalent. On a global level, depression is one of the main causes of disability and affects some 121 million people, and is responsible for the huge burden of diseases, and huge financial costs globally, according to the World Health Organization (WHO). Longitudinal tests have found that the resulting occurrence of stress causes obesity. The view of obesity in children and teenagers is widely ignored, discriminated against and stereotyped, and this may contribute to adverse outcomes in terms of self-image, self-esteem and attitude, and the belief that obesity has significant psychological consequences is common [1].

Many reports indicate that the incidence of depression and psychiatric illness in obese people has risen although the essence of the connection is not obvious. For examples, Roberts and his colleagues noticed obesity in the baseline to be depressed five years later. They showed that obesity can predict subsequent depression; however they do not support the idea that depression can predict subsences. They also showed that obesity has a likely causal link between obesity & subsequent depression. Simon and his collaborators have reported to be

correlated with approximately 25 percent rise in the risk of depression and anxiety disorders in assessing the association between obesity and a variety of diseases of mood, anxiety and drug use of the general US population. Demographic variability indicates that social and cultural influences can influence or mediate [2].

Dixon and his team used pairs of preoperative and one-year pre-operative data from 262 patients with preoperative and one-year postoperative questionnaires to assess the indicator for the BDI level of weight loss and check for predictors of the improvement in the Beck Depression Index (BDI) value after a Lap-Band operation. The MOD and BD mix are disorders distinguished by a symptom pattern that often influences appetite, stamina and motivation. The most typical presentation of MDD can be atypical depression, a type of MDD characterized by increasing the need for sleep and food. Consequently, a diagnosis of MDD is associated with a phenotype in most individuals with stress, which raises susceptibility to weight issues. Mental illnesses may also hinder post care for individuals with psychiatric problems by emotional, behavioral or social signals. For starters, MDD has proved to predict lower cardiac compliance and higher drop-out levels from heart recovery services. Depression was also related to health issues including obesity, alcohol and drug abuse and success with behaviorally oriented weight loss services. Depression neurobiology also gives the risk of obesity an increased level. Cortisol increases are the most common biological disturbances associated with depression [3].

This increase, together with the accompanying anomalies of the HPA-axis, is similar to adjustments in the endocrinological disease of Cushing, caused by an increase in cortisol that is phenotypically characterized by an excessive increase in visceral weight. The biological consequences of excess cortisol, while present in MDD, are slightly smaller than those of the Cushing syndrome: a predisposition to decreased deposition of central adipose tissues. MDD-related sleep disturbance also increases the risk in this population of weight problems. Throughout the obesity literature, the connections between sleep loss and weight are well known. Sleeping patients spend more time dreaming and thus spend more sleep sleeping at night. Deprivation of sleep was also related to the neurobiological changes that mediated the connection between rhythm problems in circadia & weight control. Leptin, a peptide generated primarily from fat tissue, is the primary satiety hormone in the body [4].

Leptin is produced throughout the periphery to confer satiety feelings back to the hypothalamus. Instead, the hormone ghrelin generated in the intestine is used to feed back into hypothalamic systems, producing hunger and intake. Leptin rises and ghrelin declines with regular sleep-wake periods. During sleep deteriorating, though, the other thing occurs, as people are still awake and hungry. Research in the amount of sleep needed for weight effects also shown that persons that have fewer than four hours of sleep a night have a 70% risk of obesity, persons who have less than a five-hour risk and persons who have under six have a 40% chance of obesity. P 649 While leptin typically acts through a negative feedback loop that up regulates brain feedback in order to increase satiety and lower its own output, leptin's MDD intensity is unusually high. This may even be stronger associated with MDD, characterized as the state of 'leptin resistance.' This shows that there is a problem with leptin sensitivity in some people, as with insulin in type 2 diabetes [5].

Leptin isn't the only rare MDD adipocytokine. Throughout tests of mood disorder adiponectin was also shown to have altered another peptide hormone related to weight control. Adiponectin is primarily a glucose- and lipid homeostasis-relevant adipocytes's secretory protein. It is also found in muscular, cardiac and endothelial cells. Adiponectin is suggested in the association of obesity with depression as a mediating factor, with the adiponectin hypothesis stating that a direct link is linked with hypoadiponectinemia among the 2 conditions. Adiponectin induces also the production by human monocytes ,

macrophages and dentritic cells of important anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and IL-1 [6].

## 1.      A mind-body Interaction:

Obesity is often also accompanied by depression & can be triggered and mutually influential. While women are somewhat more likely than men to have unhealthy BMI, they are more vulnerable to obesity-depression. Obesity in women was related to a percentage rise in severe depression in one study. There is also a clear link between people with elevated BMI & suicide. Depression can both lead to stress and cause you to change eating and working behaviour. Unwitting enough of the wrong food or exercise begines to eat many people, who find it difficult to recover from sudden or emotionally draining events ( e.g. loss of a friend or family member, difficulty in the relationship, job loss or a serious medical condition). These become habits and hard to change in the near future. Binge feeding is also a sign of stress, particularly in regards to obesity and other disorders, including anorexia nervosa. A review of excessive eating problems in obese people showed that 51% have have a history of severe depression [7].

Additional research has shown that obese women with the binge eating disorder who subsequently developed body dissatisfactory and depression with their appearance. This linear bidirectional pathogenesis poses a long-term hazard to the physical and mental wellbeing of the individual affected and thus a significant bio psychoanalytical drawback representing a longitudinally lower quality of life generally and a decreased quality of life linked to safety.  Linear regression analyzes have shown that the physical dimension of HRQL was negatively predicted by higher BMI, higher ages and higher number of current somatic and mental disorders. Higher numbers of mental & somatic disorders as well as women and younger adults appear to be independent negative forecasters of mental HRQL [8].

## 2.      Causes and Consequences of Obesity:

Linear regression analysis showed that higher BMI, higher ages & the higher number of current somatic & mental disorders had negative predictions for the physical dimension of HRQL. More mental and somatic disorders, as well as younger adults & women, appear to be independently negative mental HRQL predictors. The most effective procedure so far is to balance intake and output of daily calories. If the amount of calories expended equals our daily energy consumption, so a favorable balance results in an accumulation of fat tissue that contributes to overweight, and obesity, while a poor balance contributes to fatty tissue loss and significant relative decreases in weight. The most efficient way to control weight & prevent numerous physical & psychological diseases seems to be through physical activity. Early on a good start of breast feeding that in later years has been shown to decrease BMI [9].

## 3.      Other important healthy choices includes:

➢    Reduce the usage of artificial sugar sodas and juices.
➢    Reduce energy-dense food consumption that mainly includes additional sugars or solid fats.
➢    Eat more fruit, vegetables, full grain and magnetic protein.
➢    Controlling your portions.
➢    Drinking more water.
➢    Choosing low-fat or non-fat dairy products.
➢    Reduces TV viewing time and plans to exclude TV from children's rooms.
➢    Becoming more physically active throughout the day.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 4. Design:

Mental illness epidemiological analysis of a diverse young women survey was taken. A total of 200 women in the age bracket of 17 to 23 were selected for the experiment.

### 5. Instrument:

Set of questioners were used for the experiment and Verbal reports of body mass index, structured clinical interview for psychological disorders.

### 6. Data Collection:

The following is a prospective epidemiological study designed to collect data on the prevalence, incidence, course and risk factors of mental disorders.

### 7. Sample:

Participants were aged between 17 and 23 at the time of the interview, in order to be considered for the analysis. The thesis featured and was valid for a minimum of 200 participants. For this study, 100 engaged in the interview and 100 answered questions (60%). Table 1 is presented for more detail on the socio-demographic of the survey.

### 8. Diagnostic assessment:

The F-DIPS was used for diagnostic testing. FDIPS is a standardized questionnaire for the lifespan and point occurrence, even of binge eating disorder, of axis I conditions, relies on DSM-IV. The F-DIPS variant of the DIPS is changed, and in the last years of the study, interviewees from ADIS-L.12 were either physicians or psychologists. Everyone has been extensively trained for a week. Two-weekly supervision was given to all interviewers. Each interview is conducted by specifically qualified supervisors.

BMI was used to measure obesity. The interviewers also provided and reported verbal feedback regarding the height and weight of the respondents of body fat measurements between 0.7 & 0.8.13. This is a widely-used metric. It is possibly a mistake to return to the mean by utilizing the verbal analysis. Thirty-five participants had a BMI less than 19, the second category had BMI people between 19 and 25 (n 1/2 1467), the third group had marginally over weight of BMIs between 25 & 30 (n1⁄4 149), & the last group had obese BMI greater than 30 (n 1⁄4 30).

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the sample

|  | All 200 | BMI < 19 (35) | BMI between 19 and 25 | BMI between 25 to 30 | BMI more than 30 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mean age | 19.5 | 19.2 | 20 | 20.3 | 19.8 |
| Mean BMI (kg/m^2) | 20.5 | 18.3 | 22.3 | 27.4 | 33.5 |
| Maritial status Unmarried | 95 | 96.3 | 94.6 | 92.03 | 75.3 |
| Married | 4.6 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 8 | 23.7 |
| Partner | 66.2 | 71.4 | 66.8 | 56.8 | 54.3 |
| Children/Pregnant Having children | 7 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 14.7 | 39.6 |
| Pregnant | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 7.1 | 14 |
| Educational degree | 3.5 | 6.8 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| At school | 0.3 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.8 | 3.5 |
| Lower education | 40 | 41.2 | 38 | 52.0 | 73.5 |
| Higher education | 56 | 53.1 | 58.7 | 47 | 23.5 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Occupation** | | | | | |
| **Student** | 53.7 | 54.6 | 54.9 | 38.2 | 36. |
| **Unemployed** | 5.1 | 11 | 7.8 | 23.2 | 29.2 |

## 9.      Socio-economic-status (SES):

Young people had been classified into three groups: small, medium, and high (SES) socio-economic status. Of categorization two requirements were considered. Comprehensive criteria was used of young women's careers first and foremost. While the women were also in school (e.g. graduates or apprentices), their parents had their jobs listed as SES or even mixed. Because of inadequate details, we could not categorize 10 people.

Such positions were listed as low SES: unemployed, housewife, teachers, trainees and low-cost staff. The medium SES comprised of professional professionals, craftsmen, short-term staff, mid-service and high-quality civil servants, and self-employed. Under High SES, management, self-employed & academic employees were employees.

## 10.     Data Analysis:

Information have been analyzed via the Social Sciences, SPSS and Windows Statistical Kit. Statistical tests included variance analysis and w2 testing. Post-hoc measurements were determined using normal residuals after Chi-square checking for values greater than statistically relevant values. A "reverse step-by - step" logistical regression model was calculated.

## 3.   RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The socio-demographics for four BMI classes are outlined in Table 1. The age between groups was slightly different. For older people, higher BMIs have been found, but the gap between mean ages is less than a year in the lower and higher BMI classes. This disparity was however significant. The group with BMI less than 19 was significantly lower than Bonferrroni, which was slightly overweight). Much frequently than average and underweight couples, overweight and obese people marry. People not married were less likely to have a mate in the two overweight categories. Fewer of those with a romantic partner lived with their partner in the overweight groups. There were no major differences in the duration of the partnership between the four groups. The average duration was just under one year, however, the normal gap was high. All overweight woman's classes had significantly more children relative to the other participants.

 Obese women have dramatically dropped away from school more frequently than other women and received substantially poorer schooling. Obese women were much more frequently homeless and less commonly university graduates but most often apprentices. In terms of full or part-time employment, there was little disparity between the four classes. For the four weight classes, Table 2 shows a SES categorization.

There were variations between the SES of the weight groups as shown by the socio-demographic classification. The people who were obese and overweight were also more common in the low-sES category than in the high-sES community.

## 1.   BMI and mental disorders:

Table 3 shows the lifetime prevalence rates of mental disorders for the four weight groups. Although there were significantly more pregnant women in the obese group, we did not exclude them. In an additional analysis, we compared women with and without pregnancy

with regard to their mental disorders. There were no differences between pregnant women and non-pregnant women. A larger percentage of overweight and obese women had suffered from a mental disorder at some time of their life than women of normal weight, but the same was true for the underweight women.  All eating disorder cases were eliminated and re-inspected the incidence levels to see if this population has more diagnosis than the normal-weight population because of eating disorders (mostly anorexia nervous). Other mental disorders among underweight people still reported better rates than in the usual population, but obese women also displayed the greatest incidence of mental disorder. So far as neurological conditions are concerned, obese people are the most impacted by disabilities, affective disorders, somatoform disorders and developmental issues (separation, treatment deficiency and mental difficulties, exclusion disorders).

The incidence levels among obese people is nearly replication of the normal-sized population in certain situations ( i.e. fear and somatomic disorders). There were also major variations in mental conditions and developmental illnesses. Disorders of substance use have been uncommon in sample; obese people have once again been identified with the largest overall rate, but just 3.3 percent. Obese patients were usually treated more commonly, although eating disorders were omitted. Lastly, obese women had far more anxiety disorders compared with other groups.

Table 2: Socioeconomic status (SES) (%)

|  | All 200 | BMI < 19 (35) | BMI between 19 and 25 | BMI between 25 to 30 | BMI more than 30 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Low** | 34.1 | 35.5 | 31.6 | 47.5 | 58.6 |
| **Medium** | 52.3 | 51 | 54 | 45.6 | 39.6 |
| **High** | 14.5 | 14 | 15.5 | 7 | 0.3 |

Table 3: BMI and life-time prevalence of mental disorders (%)

|  | All 200 | BMI < 19 (35) | BMI between 19 and 25 | BMI between 25 to 30 | BMI more than 30 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **All Disorders** | 40.6 | 44.9 | 40.2 | 42.6 | 56.8 |
| **Anxiety Disorders** | 27.6 | 31.3 | 26.4 | 30.8 | 50.6 |
| **Affective Disorders** | 13.8 | 13.5 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 19.7 |
| **Somatoform disorders** | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 6.5 |
| **Substance disorders** | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| **Eating disorders (except BED)** | 4.2 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 0 |
| **Disorders of childhood** | 10.2 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 15.8 |

Table 4: BMI and life-time comorbidity (%)

|  | All 200 | BMI < 19 (35) | BMI between 19 and 25 | BMI between 25 to 30 | BMI more than 30 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **No Diagnosis** | 59.5 | 57 | 61.5 | 56.2 | 43.5 |
| **One Diagnosis** | 22 | 25.8 | 20.5 | 23.8 | 29.5 |
| **2 or more** | 18.4 | 17.8 | 18 | 20 | 26.2 |

Table 5: Variable(s) in the final equation of the logistic regression model

|  | Variables in the equation | Odds ratio | 95% Cl |
|---|---|---|---|
| **All disorders** | BMI greater or equal to 30 | 1.78 | 0.92 – 3.87 |
| **All disorders (eating disorders excluded)** | BMI greater or equal to 30 | 2.02 | 0.98 – 4.11 |
| **Anxiety disorders** | BMI greater or equal to 30 | 2.60 | 1.25 – 5.30 |
| **Eating disorders** | BMI less than 19 | 1.66 | 1.05 – 2.50 |
| **Affective disorders** | High SES | 2.85 | 1.15 – 6.75 |
| **Substance disorders** | High SES | 1.65 | 1.14 – 2.04 |
| Disorders of childhood | Low SES |  |  |

Thanks to the various survey dimensions and the often small base condition occurrence, several of the other variations were statistically unimportant. The co-morbidity incidence has shown a similar picture. The lifetime values for the four weight classes are given in Table 4. The findings are shown. Lifetime comorbidity is characterized at any point of existence as two or more diagnoses; all conditions do not have to arise concurrently. Again, two or three conditions were found in higher numbers of overweight people but the variations were not important. To clarify the relationship between weight, SES and their psychopathology we inserted these variables in logistic regression model by means of the 'return step-by-step' method to provide a better understanding of body weight, SES and psychopathology. Factors were: (BMI < 19) Yes= no; (BMI 30) Yes = no; (SES) yes = no; and (SES) yes = no big. A lifelong psychiatric illness became the dependent variable. The numbers provided in Table 5 are based on the final equation arising from logistic regression model applied.

The first variant, for all four variables, is simplified to the last one, including only certain variable(s) that have the closest relationship to the dependent variable. The findings reflect a more balanced understanding of BMI, SES and psychopathology interactions. The chance of developing a psychiatric illness about twice as large as 30 BMIs. When food problems are omitted, the statistical validity of this finding also falls, but the connection is greater. The probability of anxiety disorders in life was significantly increased by obesity. In obese people, the incidence of an anxiety condition was almost double that of women with lower BMI. In comparison, the prevalence of affective disorders and substance-related illnesses was significantly correlated with a high SES. Significant link between low SES and childhood illnesses. A BMI below 19 was linked only to the probability, by definition, of eating disturbances. Obesity, in particular with anxiety disorders, showed a significant relationship with psycho-pathology.

## 4. CONCLUSION

A random sample of young women were reported. The auto-report assessed BMI and a formal interview examined psychiatric illness. Only 1.42% of young women in this sample were obese; 7.5% were overweight. Also correlation between psychiatric and weight disorders were identified. Obese people have the lowest level of psychiatric illness in total among all behavioral condition subgroups. In comparison, the co-morbidities were greater. These relationships were not significant because of the different samples, except for anxiety conditions which were found to be significantly higher for obese females than for non-obese women. This is not a relationship reported to this point in the literature, although previous studies showed that there was a link between depression & over weight or, more specifically, lack of self-esteem & overweight. The interaction between mental and weight disorders has been observed, especially with non-clinical studies and reliable diagnostic tests, but has been

lacking. Therefore, the anxiety and overweight relationship is not yet reported. It is no surprise. Non-clinical studies are very important as the association between mental and obesity is not as readily overestimated as in clinical samples. At the other side, there were few obese people in the present sample, and most of the participants had no psychiatric disorder. In comparison, BMI auto determination might cause people to underestimate their weight, that our design's predictive strength. It was concluded that our results are replicable, given these limits, and are definitely of considerable interest. The relationship between overweight and socio-demographic variables has been solid. Females were most commonly married and many girls were overweight. In comparison, they were most frequently dropping out of the classroom, had poorer employment rates and were unemployed — all lower SES markers. And it is no wonder that overweight and obese people are the most popular in the low SES category. Whether SES is an significant moderator variable remains to be questioned. People with a lower SES have further psychiatric disorders, weight as a major predictor will be irrelevant.

This doesn't happen. Affective disorders and drug abuse disorders were largely linked to high SES. A low SES accompanies obesity. Only childhood disorders have been associated with a lower SES. SES and depressive problems were not mixed. The association between fear and obesity can therefore be inferred to be SES-independent. The connection of mental disorders is very critical. It was found that anxiety disorders in obese women have a significantly higher rate. However, we don't know when obesity has begun, so we can't tell the time or causal relationship of obesity and anxiety. Obesity may be a symptom to psychological disorders, a disease may occur or a other variable may affect both. Therefore, longitudinal research is needed that looks at the sequence of mental and overweight conditions. In addition, almost all levels of mental disorders have been increased in obese people, which suggests obesity may be a contributing factor for mental disorders. Again, retrospective survey research may help to explain the function of obesity. It will also be important in treatment as both obesity and psychiatric illness are, often, significantly lowering the standard of life and placing considerable financial pressure on community.

## REFERENCES

[1] S. R. Daniels and C. F. Bolling, "Obesity," in The Curated Reference Collection in Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Psychology, 2016.

[2] R. J. R. Levesque, "Obesity and Overweight," in Encyclopedia of Adolescence, 2018.

[3] P. González-Muniesa et al., "Obesity," Nat. Rev. Dis. Prim., 2017.

[4] K. B. Smith and M. S. Smith, "Obesity Statistics," Primary Care - Clinics in Office Practice. 2016.

[5] D. M. Davydov, R. Stewart, K. Ritchie, and I. Chaudieu, "Resilience and mental health," Clinical Psychology Review. 2010.

[6] M. Goyal et al., "Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis," JAMA Intern. Med., 2014.

[7] L. S. VYGOTSKY, "Interaction between Learning and Development," in Mind in Society, 2019.

[8] W. E. Mehling et al., "Body Awareness: A phenomenological inquiry into the common ground of mind-body therapies," Philos. Ethics, Humanit. Med., 2011.

[9] A. Bhadoria, K. Sahoo, B. Sahoo, A. Choudhury, N. Sufi, and R. Kumar, "Childhood obesity: Causes and consequences," J. Fam. Med. Prim. Care, 2015.