

# FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR INTEGRAL TYPE F- CONTRACTIONS IN COMPLEX VALUED $G_B$ -METRIC SPACES

**Dr. A. Leema Maria Prakasam, Dr.A. Mary Priya Dharsini  
and Ms.A. Jennie Sebasthy Pritha**

PG and Research Department of Mathematics  
Holy Cross College (Autonomous),  
Trichy-2  
E-mail: leemamaria15@gmail.com

***Abstract:*** *In this paper, we obtain a unique fixed point theorem of integral type F-contractions in complex valued  $G_b$ -metric spaces.*

***Keywords:*** *G-metric space, F-contraction, weakly compatible.*

## **1 Introduction and Preliminaries**

In many branches of science, economics, computer science, engineering and the development of non-linear dynamics, the fixed point theory is one of the most important tool. In 1989, I. A. Bakhtin [1] introduced the contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. In 2006, Mustafa and Sims introduced generalised metric spaces and extended fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces. Abbas, Nazir and Vetro introduced common fixed point results for three maps in G-metric spaces. Vildan Uzturk introduced integral type F-contractions in partial metric spaces. In this paper, we obtain a unique fixed point theorem of integral type F-contractions in G-metric space which is generalised results of [6].

**Definition 1.1** [4] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. Suppose that a mapping  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies:

(CG<sub>b</sub>1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ;

(CG<sub>b</sub>2)  $0 < G(x, x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ;

(CG<sub>b</sub>3)  $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $y \neq z$ ;

(CG<sub>b</sub>4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(p\{x, y, z\})$ , where  $p$  is a permutation of  $x, y, z$ ;

(CG<sub>b</sub>5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq s(G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z))$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .  
Then,  $G$  is called a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric and  $(X, G)$  is called a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.2** [4] Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space. Then for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

- (i)  $G(x, y, z) \leq s(G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z))$ ,
- (ii)  $G(x, y, y) \leq 2sG(y, x, y)$ .

**Definition 1.3** [4] Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ .

- (i)  $\{x_n\}$  is complex valued  $G_b$ -convergent to  $x$  if for every  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $0 < a$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x, x_n, x_m) < a$  for all  $n, m \geq k$ .
- (ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called complex valued  $G_b$ -Cauchy if for every  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $0 < a$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < a$  for all  $n, m, l \geq k$ .
- (iii) If for every complex valued  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence is complex valued  $G_b$ -convergent in  $(X, G)$ , then  $(X, G)$  is said to be complex valued  $G_b$ -complete.

**Proposition 1.1** [4] Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is complex valued  $G_b$ -convergent to  $x$  if and only if  $|G(x, x_n, x_m)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.1** [4] Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space, then for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  and point  $x \in X$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\{x_n\}$  is complex valued  $G_b$ -convergent to  $x$ .
- (ii)  $|G(x_n, x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (iii)  $|G(x_n, x, x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (iv)  $|G(x_m, x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.2** [4] Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is complex valued  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence if and only if  $|G(x_n, x_m, l)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.4** Let  $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping satisfying

(F1)  $F$  is strictly increasing. that is,  $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$ ,

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$

(F2) For every sequence  $\alpha_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$

(F3) There exists a number  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha_k F(\alpha) = 0$

**Definition 1.5** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space. A mapping

$T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $F$ -contraction if there exists a number  $\tau > 0$  such that  $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \leq F(G(x, y, z))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$

**Definition 1.6**[5] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be maps from a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  into itself. The maps  $f$  and  $g$  are called compatible maps if there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0 \text{ or } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(gfx_n, fgx_n, fgx_n) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X..$$

**Example 1.1**[5] Let  $X = [-1, 1]$  and a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric on  $X$  be given as follows:

$$G(x, y, z) = |x - y|^2 + |y - z|^2 + |x - z|^2$$

where  $s = 2$  [4]. Define two mappings  $f, g : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f(x) = x$  and  $g(x) = x/3$ . If we consider a sequence  $\{x_n\} = 1/2n$ , we obtain the following results:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G\left(\frac{1}{6n}, \frac{1}{6n}, \frac{1}{6n}\right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{6n} = 0.$$

Therefore  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible maps.

## 2 MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible maps of a complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space  $(X, G)$  satisfying  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ . Suppose there exists  $F \in F$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  satisfying  $G(f_x, f_y, f_z) > 0$

$$\tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(f_x, f_y, f_z)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{M(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt \rightarrow (1)$$

where  $M(x, y, z) = \max \{ G(f_x, g_y, g_z), G(g_x, f_y, g_z), G(g_x, g_y, f_z) \}$

and  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable, non-negative and for each  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^\mu \phi(t) dt > 0. \text{ If}$$

(i)  $F$  is continuous

(ii)  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are closed,

then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof 2.1** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be a point in  $X$ . We can choose a point  $x_1$  in  $X$  such that  $fx_0 = gx_1$ . More generally, a point  $x_{n+1}$  can be chosen such that

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Step I:** Prove that  $G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \right) &\leq \tau + F \int_0^{G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \rightarrow (2) \\ &\leq F \int_0^{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &= \\ \max \{ &G(fx_n, gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), G(gx_n, fx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1}), G(gx_n, gx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_n), G(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n), G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \} \\ &= \max \{ 0, G(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n+1}, fx_n), G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \} \\ &= G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

By the rectangular inequality of complex valued  $G_b$ -metric space, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) &\leq s(G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1}) + G(fx_n, fx_n, fx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq s(G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n) + 2G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the above inequality, shows that

$$G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{s}{1-2s} G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n)$$

$$G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \leq q G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n)$$

Where  $q = \frac{s}{1-2s} < 1$ . If we consider the same procedure, we obtain

$$G(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(fx_0, fx_1, fx_1)$$

Therefore, for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m$ , we have the followings by the rectangular property

$$G(y_n, y_m, y_m) \leq s[G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + s^2 G(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + s^m G(y_{m-1}, y_m, y_m)]$$

$$\leq (q^n + q^{n-1} + \dots + q^{m-1}) G(y_0, y_1, y_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} G(y_0, y_1, y_1)$$

Taking limits as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_m, y_m) = 0$ .

From (2)

$$F \left( \int_0^{G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{qG(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}, fx_n)} \phi(t) dt - \tau \rightarrow (4)$$

Continuing this way, we have

$$F \left( \int_0^{G(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}, fx_n)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{q^2 G(fx_{n-3}, fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt - \tau \rightarrow (5)$$

From (4) and (5)

$$F \left( \int_0^{G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{qG(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1}, fx_n)} \phi(t) dt - \tau$$

$$\leq F\left(\int_0^{q^2 G(fx_{n-3}, fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt\right) - 2\tau$$

.....

$$\leq F\left(\int_0^{q^n G(y_0, y_1, y_1)} \phi(t) dt\right) - (n - 1)\tau \rightarrow (6)$$

Then, it follows that

$$F\left(\int_0^{G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt\right) = -\infty$$

By  $F \in F$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = 0$$

**Step II:** Now, we prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence.

By  $F \in F$ , there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))^k F(G(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})) = 0$$

By (6)

$$(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))^k \left( F\left(\int_0^{G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt\right) \right) - \left( F\left(\int_0^{G(y_0, y_1, y_1)} \phi(t) dt\right) \right) \leq -(n - 1)(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))^k \leq 0 \rightarrow (7)$$

Using the above inequality and (7)

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))^k = 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$n(G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}))^k < 1$ , for all  $n > n_1$  or

$$G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{n^k}$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n > n_1$ ; Using triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(y_n, y_m, y_1) &\leq G(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + G(y_{n+1}, y_m, y_1) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} G(y_i, y_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}} \end{aligned}$$

As  $k \in (0, 1)$ , the series  $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}$  converges, so

$$\lim_{n, m, l \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_m, y_l) = 0$$

Thus,  $y_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$ . Therefore,  $y_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in

$(X, G_b)$ . Since  $(X, G)$  is complete  $G$ -metric space, then  $(X, G_b)$

is complete metric space. Then, there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n, m, l \rightarrow \infty} G_b(y_n, y_m, y_l) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$G(u, u, u) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_m, u) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(y_n, y_m, y_1) = 0.$$

Since

$y_n \rightarrow y$ , then  $fx_n$  and  $gx_n \rightarrow u$ .

**Step III:** We will prove that  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincident point. Since  $(X, G)$  is a complex valued complete  $G_b$ -metric space, there is a point  $u \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_{n+1} = u$$

Since  $f$  or  $g$  is continuous, we can assume that  $g$  is continuous. so,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_{n+1} = gu$$

Moreover, by the fact that  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible maps

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(fgx_n, gfx_n, gfx_n) = 0$  implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = gu$$

$$\tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(fx, fy, fz)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{M(x, y, z)} \phi(t) dt$$

where  $M(x, y, z) = \max \{ G(fx, fy, fz), G(gx, fy, gz), G(gx, gy, fz) \}$

If we set  $x = gx_n, y = x_n, z = x_n$

$$\begin{aligned} M(gx_n, x_n, x_n) &= \max \{ G(fgx_n, gx_n, gx_n), G(ggx_n, fx_n, gx_n), G(ggx_n, gx_n, fx_n) \} \\ &= \max \{ G(gu, u, u), G(gu, u, u), G(gu, u, u) \} \\ &= G(gu, u, u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(fgx_n, gx_n, gx_n)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{G(gu, u, u)} \phi(t) dt$$

$$\tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(gu, u, u)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq F \int_0^{G(gu, u, u)} \phi(t) dt$$

This is a contradiction with  $\tau > 0$ .

Thus we have  $gu = u$

Similarly,  $fu = u$ .

**Step**

**IV:** We show uniqueness of common fixed point. Let  $w$  be another

common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$  and  $w \neq u$ . From equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(u, w, w)} \phi(t) dt \right) &\leq \tau + F \int_0^{G(fu, fw, fw)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq F \int_0^{G(u, w, w)} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(u, w, w) &= \max \{ G(fu, gw, gw), G(gu, fw, gw), G(gu, gw, fw) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ G(u, w, w), G(u, w, w), G(u, w, w) \} \\ &= G(u, w, w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau + F \left( \int_0^{G(u, w, w)} \phi(t) dt \right) \leq \tau + F \int_0^{G(u, w, w)} \phi(t) dt$$

which is a contradiction.

So,  $u = w$ .

**References**

- [1] I.A.Bakhtin, "The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces", *Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst.* 30, (1989), 26-37.
- [2] Z.Mustafa, B.Sims "A new approach to generalised metric spaces", *FJ. Non-linear convex Anal*, 7(2) (2006), 289-297.
- [3] M. Abbas, T.Nazir, P.Vetro "Common fixed Point results for three maps in G- metric Spaces", *Filomat*, 25(4), (2011), 1-17.
- [4] O.Ege, "Complex Valued  $G_b$  metric spaces", *J.Comput. Anal. Appl.* 21(2), (2016), 363-368.
- [5] O.Ege, Ismet Karaca, "Common fixed Point results on complex valued  $G_b$  metric Spaces", *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, 16(3) (2018), 775-787.
- [6] Vildan Ozturk, "Integral Type F-contractions in Partial metric space", *Hindawi Journal of Function Spaces*, 2019 (2019), 1-8