

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of the Effect of Proton Pump Inhibitors on Renal Profile

¹Dr. Abhishek Deepak, ²Dr. Satish Kumar, ³Dr. Bhumesh Tyagi, Dr. Manisha Jindal*

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, ³Associate Professor, Department of General Medicine, School of Medical Sciences & Research, Sharda University, Greater Noida, India

*Professor, Department of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences & Research, Sharda University, Greater Noida, India

Corresponding author:

Dr. Manisha Jindal

Professor, Department of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences & Research, Sharda University, Greater Noida India

Received: 14 November, 2022

Accepted: 18 December, 2022

ABSTRACT

Background: To assess the effect of Proton Pump Inhibitors on renal profile.

Materials & methods: A total of 100 subjects were enrolled. The age of subjects included was between 18 to 70 years. Values of blood urea and serum creatinine were taken twice, first before start of therapy and then after at least one week of therapy.

Results: The subjects with AKI present were 11 out of the total. The age wise distribution of the incidence of AKI was more in the population over 50 years, with the maximal incidence in the group above 51 years.

Conclusion: Prescription of PPIs in AKI should be monitored.

Keywords: urea, pantoprazole, renal profile.

INTRODUCTION

Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs) are a group of drugs commonly prescribed in the management and prophylaxis of acid peptic disorders. They act by inhibiting the H⁺-K⁺-ATPase enzyme (proton pump) present in the parietal cells of the gastric mucosa. These drugs are the most potent blockers of gastric acid secretion, as they block the secretion irreversibly. Their efficacy has been estimated to be better than that of histamine-2 receptor blockers.^{1,2} PPIs are also recommended for prophylaxis of peptic ulcer in NSAID users, eradication of Helicobacter pylori-related ulcers and also for the management of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD). Pantoprazole is arguably the most commonly prescribed PPI. Rabeprazole, omeprazole and lansoprazole are few of the other PPIs that are routinely prescribed around the world.³⁻⁵

Enzyme H⁺/K⁺-ATPase (proton pump), found in the canaliculi of the parietal cells of the stomach, plays a key role in the secretion of hydrochloric acid in the gastric lumen. The enzyme is activated by three distinct stimuli: histamine, gastrin, and acetylcholine. The production of acid occurs with the exchange of K⁺ (potassium) for H⁺ (hydrogen) in an ATP-consuming process.^{6,7} PPIs were designed to block acid secretion in the stomach and increase the pH of the gastric juice. They inhibit the action of enzyme H⁺/K⁺-ATPase and prevent the exchange of K⁺ for H⁺, while differentiating themselves from other drugs used to treat gastric diseases for also inhibiting the last step in the production of hydrochloric acid. This process enhances the potency of inhibition, making PPIs the current drug of choice.^{7,8} PPIs inhibit the enzyme by merging with its receptor and covalently binding to cysteine

residues known as irreversible inhibitors. After the reaction, the proton pump cannot regenerate and acid production occurs only after the synthesis of new enzymes. Irreversible inhibition ensures the medication is active for 24 to 48 hours.^{8,9}

With the widespread use of PPIs, more and more studies concerned for the safety of PPIs treatment.^{10,11} Among which, kidney injury including acute kidney injury (AKI) and chronic kidney disease (CKD) following PPI therapy was a hot issue. However, original studies concerning PPIs-associated kidney injury were almost cohort or retrospective studies and systematic reviews based on them,^{12,13} the only randomized controlled trial evaluated only pantoprazole and found no significant relationship between pantoprazole and CKD.¹⁴ Hence, this study was conducted to assess the effect of Proton Pump Inhibitors on renal profile.

MATERIALS & METHODS

A total of 100 subjects were enrolled. The age of subjects included was between 18 to 70 years. Values of blood urea and serum creatinine were taken twice, first before start of therapy and then after at least one week of therapy. The laboratory based biochemical criteria (urea and creatinine levels) were used. The data was collected and analysed using SPSS software.

RESULTS

A total of 100 subjects were analysed. The subjects with AKI present were 11 out of the total. The age wise distribution of the incidence of AKI was more in the population over 50 years, with the maximal incidence in the group above 51 years.

Table 1: Age-wise distribution of the incidence of acute kidney injury

Age groups	% of subjects
18-30 years	10
31-40 years	13
41-50 years	8
Above 51 years	69

The normal values in laboratory are as follows: blood urea; 10 to 40 mg/dl; serum creatinine; 0.4 to 1.2 mg/dl. No relationship was found to be present between the duration of PPI therapy and the onset of AKI.

Table 2: Biochemical laboratory values of the patients who developed AKI

S no.	PPI used	Duration in PPI therapy (in days)	Baseline values		Values at the end of PPI therapy	
			Blood urea	Serum creatinine	Blood urea	Serum creatinine
1	Pantoprazole	14	22	1.0	40	1.6
2	Pantoprazole	11	12	1.1	32	1.2
3	Pantoprazole	8	20	0.6	40	1.4
4	Pantoprazole	9	16	1.1	36	2.0
5	Pantoprazole	14	11	0.8	98	3.2
6	Omeprazole	22	28	0.3	52	1.2
7	Pantoprazole	7	23	1.0	69	1.7
8	Pantoprazole	16	22	1.1	58	2.1
9	Omeprazole	8	18	1.1	44	3.0
10	Pantoprazole	21	11	0.9	46	1.8
11	Pantoprazole	14	13	0.6	77	2.6

DISCUSSION

Kidney injury associated with PPIs has gained limelight in recent times. Both acute and chronic varieties have been listed to be adverse effects of long term PPI usage. Acute Kidney Injury (AKI) is said to have set in, when the glomerular filtration rate declines rapidly, which causes the nitrogen based waste products to get accumulated in the body. This is evidenced by an increase in the levels of blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine. Although these biomarkers are not very specific, they are commonly used to determine whether a patient has developed AKI or not, in the Indian setup, irrespective of the cause of AKI.¹⁵ Hence, this study was conducted to assess the effect of Proton Pump Inhibitors on renal profile.

In the present study, a total of 100 subjects were analysed. The subjects with AKI present were 11 out of the total. The age wise distribution of the incidence of AKI was more in the population over 50 years, with the maximal incidence in the group above 51 years. A study by Avinash A et al, assess the effect of PPIs on blood urea and serum creatinine, when administered for at least seven consecutive days. A total of 175 subjects were selected. When their case files were analysed, acute kidney injury was identified in 19 (10.86%) of them. Pantoprazole was the most common drug involved (84.21%). Renal injury was more common in the age group of over 50 years of age.¹⁶

In the present study, the normal values in laboratory are as follows: blood urea; 10 to 40 mg/dl; serum creatinine; 0.4 to 1.2 mg/dl. No relationship was found to be present between the duration of PPI therapy and the onset of AKI. Another study by Flothow DJG et al, studied proton pump inhibitor (PPI) intake has been linked to acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease. The objective was to assess the effect of PPIs on renal function and rejection rate in kidney transplant patients. PPI prescription was assessed in half-year intervals. Primary outcome parameters were the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), change in the eGFR, and >30% and >50% eGFR decline for different time periods (up to four years post-transplantation). Except for >30% eGFR decline from half a year to two years post-transplantation ($p = 0.044$) and change in the eGFR, >30% and >50% eGFR decline showed no association with PPI intake in our patient cohort ($p > 0.05$). Similarly, by analyzing 158 rejection episodes, BPAR showed no correspondence with mean daily PPI intake. They concluded that prolonged PPI intake has no relevant adverse effect on kidney transplant function or rejection rates. Polypharmacy, however, remains a problem in renal transplant recipients and it is thus advisable to question the necessity of PPI prescriptions when clear indications are missing.¹⁷ Omeprazole was the first to be synthesized and is still the most often used drug of this class of medications.¹⁸ PPIs are prescribed to treat gastric diseases such as gastric and duodenal ulcers, gastroesophageal reflux disease, and erosive esophagitis.¹⁹ However, as the years went by PPIs began to be prescribed injudiciously to patients outside the scope of indication, for periods longer than recommended, and taken by self-medicating individuals.^{20,21} In addition, the drug is often used to treat digestive manifestations or to prevent symptoms derived from the use of other medications. All such factors have included PPIs in the list of the most used medications in the world.²²

CONCLUSION

Prescription of PPIs in AKI should be monitored.

REFERENCES

1. Gisbert JP, Gonzalez L, Calvet X, Roque M, Gabriel R, Pajares JM. Proton pump inhibitors versus H2-antagonists: a meta-analysis of their efficacy in treating bleeding peptic ulcer. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2001;15:917–26.

2. Eriksson S, Langstrom G, Rikner L, Carlsson R, Naesdal J. Omeprazole and H₂-receptor antagonists in the acute treatment of duodenal ulcer, gastric ulcer and reflux oesophagitis: a metaanalysis. *Eur J GastroenterolHepatol*. 1995;7:467–75.
3. Lanza FL, Chan FK, Quigley EM. Guidelines for prevention of NSAID-related ulcer complications. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2009;104:728–38.
4. Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O’Morain C, Bazzoli F, El-Omar E, Graham D, et al. Current concepts in the management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: the Maastricht III consensus report. *Gut*. 2007;56(6):772–81.
5. DeVault KR, Castell DO. Updated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2005;100:190–200.
6. Shin JM, Sachs G. Pharmacology of proton pump inhibitors. *CurrGastroenterol Rep*. 2008;10:528–534.
7. Roche VF. The chemically elegant proton pump inhibitors. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2006;70:101–101.
8. Strand DS, Kim D, Peura DA. 25 Years of Proton Pump Inhibitors: A Comprehensive Review. *Gut Liver*. 2017;11:27–37.
9. Brinkworth MD, Aouthmany M, Sheehan M. Histamine 2 Receptor Antagonists and Proton Pump Inhibitors. *Dermatitis*. 2016;27:100–109
10. Haastrup PF, Thompson W, Søndergaard J, Jarbøl DE. Side effects of long-term proton pump inhibitor use: A review. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol*. 2018;123:114–121.
11. Savarino V, Marabotto E, Furnari M, Zingone F, Zentilin P, Savarino E. Latest insights into the hot question of proton pump inhibitor safety-a narrative review. *Dig. Liver Dis*. 2020;52:842–852.
12. Antoniou T, et al. Proton pump inhibitors and the risk of acute kidney injury in older patients: A population-based cohort study. *CMAJ Open*. 2015;3:166–171
13. Nochaiwong S, et al. The association between proton pump inhibitor use and the risk of adverse kidney outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant*. 2018;33:331–342.
14. Moayyedi P, et al. Safety of proton pump inhibitors based on a large, multi-year, randomized trial of patients receiving rivaroxaban or aspirin. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;157:682–691
15. Usha, Gupta T, Prakash J, Prakash S, Rathore SS, Sunder S. Acute kidney injury in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Indian J Nephrol*. 2015;25(2):86–90.
16. Avinash A, Patil N, Kunder SK, Balaji O, Tilak A, Sori RK, Rao R. A Retrospective Study to Assess the Effect of Proton Pump Inhibitors on Renal Profile in a South Indian Hospital. *J ClinDiagn Res*. 2017 Apr;11(4):FC09-FC12
17. Flothow DJG, Suwelack B, Pavenstädt H, Schütte-Nütgen K, Reuter S. The Effect of Proton Pump Inhibitor Use on Renal Function in Kidney Transplanted Patients. *J Clin Med*. 2020 Jan 18;9(1):258.
18. Brewster UC, Perazella MA. Acute kidney injury following proton pump inhibitor therapy. *Kidney Int*. 2007;71:589–593.
19. Nadri Q, Althaf MM. Granulomatous tubulointerstitial nephritis secondary to omeprazole. *BMJ Case Rep*. 2014;2014
20. Braga MP, da Silva C de B, Adams AIH. Inibidores da bomba de prótons: revisão e análisefarmacoecônômica. *Saúde*. 2011;37:19–32. Santa Maria
21. George CJ, Korc B, Ross JS. Appropriate Proton Pump Inhibitor Use Among Older Adults: A Retrospective Chart Review. *Am J GeriatrPharmacother*. 2008;6:249–254.
22. Yadlapati R, Kahrilas PJ. When is proton pump inhibitor use appropriate? *BMC Med*. 2017;15:36–36