Prevalence And Association Of Dentinal Hypersensitivity And Dietary Habits Among Alkharj Population

Dr. Inderjit Murugendrappa Gowdar, MDS, Public Health Dentistry, Department of Preventive Dental Sciences, College of Dentistry, PrinceSattam bin Abdul Aziz University, Alkharj, KSA.

Email: indrajith2526@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT: Aim: The aim of this study was to assess prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity and its association with diet and dietary habits among adults aged 18–30 years. Methods: A total of 389 subjects aged 18-30 years who reported to our institution with dentin sensitivity were considered. The questionnaire was given to subjects and were requested to complete the questionnaire and revert. Information about demographic data such as age, sex followed by details of their diet types; dietary habits and other associated habits were recorded. Results: Prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity was found to be 44%. The subjects having dentinal hypersensitivity consumed more fizzy drinks with meals (OR=4.30), Aerated drinks (OR=3.04), consumption of fizzy drinks (OR=1.75) consumption of green leafy vegetable and junk foods along with sweet candies was not statistically associated with dentinal hypersensitivity (p<0.05). Twice brushing and use of fluoridated tooth paste did not yield a significant odds ratio. Conclusion: Consumption of fizzy rinks, aerated drinks has higher chances of developing dentinal hypersensitivity.

Keywords: dentinal hypersensitivity, dietary habits, fluoridated tooth paste.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dentine hypersensitivity (DHS) is one of the most frequently encountered dental problems. It is characterized by short sharp pain arising from exposed dentine in response to stimuli like thermal, evaporative, tactile, osmotic or chemical and which cannot be attributed to the other dental flaws or pathology. Hypersensitivity is also seen on several teeth in one area of the mouth or on one specific tooth. DHS should be distinguished from other causes of tooth sensitivity which is due to other clinical conditions like cavity, micro leakage, cracked tooth or fractured restorations. The clinical management of DHS will be a task for clinician with different treatment modalities, but the success of any sound treatment plan is relied on taking an intensive clinical and dietary history. Differentially diagnose the condition from other dental pain conditions to recognize etiological and predisposing factors. He enamel or cementum is detached, the underlying dentin tubules are exposed together producing dentin hypersensitivity. It has been hypothesized that DHS develops in two phases namely lesion localization and lesion initiation. Lesion localization occurs by loss of protective

covering over the dentin thus revealing it to external environment. Lesion initiation occurs after the protective covering of smear layer is removed, resulting in exposure and opening of dentine tubules. Evidence by now showed that the lesions of DHS have more and wider open tubules than do non sensitive dentin. 10,11 Dentin exposure will be caused by physical, chemical, pathological, biological challenges or developmental abnormalities that lead to dental or periodontal damage or defects. Various clinical conditions may play a task within the development of DHS include enamel attrition, ¹² erosion, corrosion, ¹³ abrasion and abfraction.¹⁴ Periodontal tissue loss or gingival recession is another major influencing factor resulting in exposure of cervical and root dentin. 15,16 Other factors are like aging, soft tissue dehiscence, including abnormal brushing habit may cause apical displacement of the gingival margins thereby resulting in exposure of dentin that may ultimately cause DHS. ¹⁷ Ingestion of carbonated drinks has become very trendy among young adolescents. The carbonated beverages mostly have low pH and when they come in contact with the hard tissues may abolish the hydroxyapatite crystals by erosion. ¹⁸ Most common surfaces involved are occlusal surfaces of posterior teeth, facial and palatal surfaces of anterior teeth. 19 Dental erosion among people is increasing although its significance to oral health is not important as caries and periodontitis. ²⁰According to previous reports the prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity varies from 45 - 57% till now there are very few published reports about prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity and associated with dietary factors among population of Saudi Arabia, hence the present study was conducted to know the prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity and its association with dietary habits.

2. AIMS & OBJECTIVES:

AIM:

The aim of this study was to assess prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity and its association with dietary habits among adults aged 18–30 years.

OBJECTIVES OF STUDY:

- To assess prevalence of dentinal hypersensitivity among adults aged 18-30 years.
- \bullet To compare dentinal hypersensitivity & dietary habits among adults aged 18–30 years.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross sectional study was conducted to assess the prevalence and association of dentinal hypersensitivity with dietary habits among 18-30 year old subjects attending for dental treatment at College of Dentistry, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj.

Sample Size Estimation:

Samples size was estimated using the formula :n= z^2pq / d^2

Based on reports of previous studies where prevalence of hypersensitivity was found to be at 50% the sample size was estimated at 5% precision level. After substituting the values the required minimum required sample size was 384.16.

Inclusion Criteria:

Adult subjects aged 18-30 years old.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Subjects who are not willing to participate.
- Subjects who have known history of chronic gastric regurgitation or similar conditions.
- Subjects who are undergoing orthodontic treatment.
- Recent history of dental scaling

4. METHODOLOGY:

Data was collected from 400 subjects, irrespective of gender of 18-30 years of age. A selfdesigned close ended structured questionnaire was used to gather information over study variables which were pretested first. Validation of questionnaire: the validity of the questionnaire was measured on 30 subjects who were excluded from the main analysis. Resulted kappa value was 0.87. The questionnaire was translated to Arabic and used. The translation was done according to the WHO process of translation and adaptation of instrument. The reliability of the questionnaire was measured using test – retest method. The questionnaire was based on 8 questions which were regarding individual's intake and effects of dietary habits on teeth (Table 3). Data was evaluated through SPSS software version 23. Percentages and frequencies were utilized for qualitative variables. Descriptive statistics for demographic data was tabulated. The percentage exposure to diet and dietary habits were calculated among those with dentinal hypersensitivity and those without dentinal hypersensitivity and Odds ratio values were calculated for each of the habits. Level of significance was set at p<0.05.A total of 500 surveys were sent electronically; of these, 422 reply were received giving a response rate 84.4% of out of these 33 were having incomplete response hence were not included for the analysis. So finally a total of 389 questionnaires were considered for final analysis. Out of 389 subjects (287 were males and 102 were females the age range was between 18-30 years with a mean age of 25.34 ± 2.79 (table 1). Total number of participants having hypersensitivity was found to be 171 (44.0%) of those complaining of hypersensitive teeth, males accounted for 42.2% while females accounted for 49% comparison of dentinal hypersensitivity according to gender was statistically non significant (P=0.247) table 2. The results showed that Frequency of brushing tooth and experience of hypersensitivity is statistically significant (p< 0.001) but Odds ratio risk was 0.004 those with having most mentioned 164 subjects out of 171 subjects having 2 times of brushing experienced dentinal hypersensitivity. The odds ratio for use of fluoridated dentifrice was 0.365 which was again statistically significant. Frequency of consumption of junk foods and sweet candies and frequency of consumption of green leafy vegetables was not statistically significant with an Odds ratio of 0.046 and 0.827 respectively. Individuals consuming fizzy drinks were at more than 1.75 times greater risk of developing dentinal hypersensitivity than others; while those frequently consuming (OR=1.64) were at almost similarly at risk of developing dentinal hypersensitivity. Consumption of fizzy drinks with meals (OR=4.304) and History of consumption of aerated drinks (OR=3.04) were significantly associated with dentinal hypersensitivity (p<0.001).

Table 1: Distribution of study subjects according to age and gender

Gender	Frequency	Mean age	Std. Deviation	Mean age	
Male	287	25.19	2.73	- 25.34 ± 2.79	
Female	102	25.79	2.95		

p> 0.05. Non Significant

Table 2 Comparison of dentinal hypersensitivity according to gender

		Dentinal hypersensitivity		Total
		Present	Absent	Total
	Male	121	166	287
Gender	Male	42.2%	57.8%	100.0%
Gender	Female	50	52	102
	Temale	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%
Total		171	218	389
		44.0%	56.0%	100.0%

Table 3 Risk factors and their association with Dentinal hypersensitivity

		Dentinal		Odds ratio and p
Questions	Response	hypersensitivity		
		Present	Absent	value
Eraguanay of brushing tooth	Once daily	7	200	OR = 0.004
Frequency of brushing tooth	Twice daily	164	18	p < 0.001***
Use of fluoridated paste	Yes	80	154	OR = 0.365
Ose of fluoridated paste	No	91	64	p < 0.001***
Frequency of Consumption of	Frequently	126	169	OR = 0.812
junk food and sweet candies	Rarely	45	49	p = 0.405*
Frequency of consumption of	Regularly	43	63	OR = 0.827
green vegetables	Occasionally	128	155	P = 0.424*
Consumption of fizzy drinks	Yes	99	96	OR = 1.75
Consumption of fizzy drinks	No	72	122	P = 0.008**
Frequency of consumption of	Frequently	112	117	OR = 1.64
fizzy drinks	Rarely	59	101	P = 0.022**
Consumption of fizzy drinks	Yes	117	73	OR = 4.304
with meals	No	54	145	p < 0.00***
History of consumption of	Yes	100	69	OR = 3.041
aerated drinks	No	71	149	p < 0.001***

^{* -} Non Significant, ** - Significant, *** - Highly Significant.

5. DISCUSSION

The present study did not consider age groups older than 30 years of age. As dentinal hypersensitivity is multi factorial and chronic in nature, presence of dentinal hypersensitivity in an older individual can be attributed to various reasons. Since area of interest of the study was association of dentinal hypersensitivity with diet and dietary habits only, the older age groups were excluded. The erosive possibility of an acidic drink is reliant on upon the direct effect on the tooth surface, 21 the drinking method 22 and therefore the shielding effect of saliva. 23-25 it's also been described that application of the many acidic drinks alters dentine permeability even after brushing procedures with and without toothpaste. ²⁶Furthermore, the dentine permeability after brushing with toothpaste was pretty much less than that detected after brushing without toothpaste, which was successively below that observed with a earlier application of acid. in step with these results, we will say that tooth-brushing shouldn't instantly follow ingestion of acidic drinks but should be parted from mealtimes. Additionally, Ponduri et al., (2006) exhibited that the amalgamation of abrasion and abrasion led to significant loss of dentine than erosion alone. It absolutely was also established that fluoride toothpaste could provide a partial protection against erosion, which supports the concept of brushing before meals.²⁷ Present study reported sophisticated prevalence of dental sensitivity problems among those who drink fizzy drinks and aerated drinks. This association was robust between extended history of consumption and occurrence of dentine hypersensitivity because the acidic pH of these drinks liquefies the outer mineral layer of the enamel thus exposing the dentinal tubules. These results were similar to those reported by Bo Liu et al ²⁸ who stated that subjects consuming acidic foods and drinks were at a slightly higher risk to develop tooth wear and sensitivity. Bartlett et al²⁹ and Zero et al³⁰ also reported similar findings in their study that dentinal hypersensitivity following tooth wear was statistically significantly associated to acidic foods and drinks. The effect like such is intensified when mechanical tooth wear occurs concurrently when the brushing techniques are insufficient. During this regard, a frequent sip of water is useful for neutralizing the pH of oral fissure thereby preventing the teeth from the acid associated tooth surface loss. Currently the consumption of sweetened beverages is becoming mutual in low and middle income countries.³¹Although such drinks attract people from all age groups however adolescent and young adults are highly susceptible for his or her amplified tendency towards socialization, exam stress and instant energy boost, etc. A longitudinal study over Swedish adolescent observed advanced risk of developing dental erosion in between meal drinkable users.³²Although brushing frequency and usage of fluoridated tooth paste was assessed in our study. But our data was lacking information over other tooth mineralization factors like calcium rich beverages which makes teeth immune to erosive wear and this might need slanted our findings to an extent.³³There were some important limitations and associated biases. We failed to question about depletion of steroid inhalers within the case of participants with known history of asthma as its relation with dental erosion continues to be a debate. Furthermore, to the present we couldn't infer the occurrence of dental sensitivity with relevance a particular gender because the proportions weren't balanced in our case. This research study relied on selfreported measure for measuring dental sensitivity. The results of this study would be more precise if the end result measure was further authenticated by clinical parameters. A Cross

sectional study over Nigerian under graduate students following the comparable parameters as employed in this research couldn't establish an association between dental sensitivity and carbonated drink usage.³⁴ Though, cross sectional nature of this study cannot determine progressive nature of this relation and more evidence is required during this regard.

6. CONCLUSION

The present study has described dentinal hypersensitivity among consumers of acidic beverages. Nevertheless, additional research is mandatory to ascertain the causative association of those drinks through analytical studies.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Dowell P, Addy M (1983) Dentine hypersensitivity-a review. Aetiology, symptoms and theories of pain production. J ClinPeriodontol 10: 341-350.
- [2] Amarasena N, Spencer J, Ou Y, Brennan D (2011) Dentine hypersensitivity in a private practice patient population in Australia. J Oral Rehabil 38: 52-60.
- [3] Que K, Ruan J, Fan X, Liang X, Hu D (2010) A multi-centre and cross-sectional study of dentine hypersensitivity in China. J ClinPeriodontol 37: 631-637.
- [4] Addy M (2002) Dentine hypersensitivity: new perspectives on an old problem. Int Dent J 52: 367-375.
- [5] Porto IC, Andrade AK, Montes MA (2009) Diagnosis and treatment of dentinal hypersensitivity. J Oral Sci 51: 323-332.
- [6] Gillam D, Chesters R, Attrill D, Brunton P, Slater M, et al. (2013). Dentine hypersensitivity-guidelines for the management of a common oral health problem. Dent Update 40: 514-524.
- [7] Dowell P, Addy M, Dummer P (1985) Dentine hypersensitivity: aetiology, differential diagnosis and management. Br Dent J 158: 92-96.
- [8] Holland GR, Narhi MN, Addy M, Gangarosa L, Orchardson R (1997) Guidelines for the design and conduct of clinical trials on dentine hypersensitivity. J ClinPeriodontol 24: 808-813.
- [9] Colak H, Demirer S, Hamidi M, Uzgur R, Köseoğlu S (2012) Prevalence of dentine hypersensitivity among adult patients attending a dental hospital clinic in Turkey. West Indian Med J 61: 174-179.
- [10] Absi EG, Addy M, Adams D (1987) Dentine hypersensitivity. A study of the patency of dentinal tubules in sensitive and non-sensitive cervical dentine. ClinPeriodontol 14: 280-284.
- [11] Rimondini L, Baroni C, Carrassi A (1995) Ultrastructure of hypersensitive and nonsensitive dentine. A study on replica models. J ClinPeriodontol 22: 899-902.
- [12] Grippo JO, Simring M, Schreiner S. Attrition, abrasion, corrosion and abfraction revisited: a new perspective on tooth surface lesions. J Am Dent Assoc. 2004;135(8):1109–18 quiz 63-5.
- [13] Lussi A, Schaffner M. Progression of and risk factors for dental erosion and wedge-shaped defects over a 6-year period. Caries Res. 2000;34(2):182–7.

- [14] Addy M, Absi EG, Adams D. Dentine hypersensitivity. The effects in vitro of acids and dietary substances on root-planed and burred dentine. J ClinPeriodontol. 1987;14(5):274–9.
- [15] West NX, Lussi A, Seong J, Hellwig E. Dentin hypersensitivity: pain mechanisms and aetiology of exposed cervical dentin. Clin Oral Investig. 2013;17(Suppl 1):S9–19.
- [16] Grippo JO. Abfractions: a new classification of hard tissue lesions of teeth. J Esthet Dent. 1991;3(1):14–9.
- [17] Smith RG. Gingival recession. Reappraisal of an enigmatic condition and a new index for monitoring. J ClinPeriodontol. 1997;24(3):201–5.
- [18] Kannan A, Ahmed MA, Duraisamy P, Manipal S, Adusumillil P. Dental hard tissue erosion rates and soft drinks—A gender based analysis in Chennai city, India. SJDR. 2014;5:21-7.
- [19] Lussi A, Schaffner M, Hotz P, Suter P. Dental erosion in a population of Swiss adults. CDOE. 1991;19:286-90.
- [20] Jaeggi T, Lussi A. Prevalence, incidence and distribution of erosion. Dental Erosion. 20: Karger Publishers; 2006. p. 44-65.
- [21] Tugnait A, Clerehugh V. Gingival recession-its significance and management. J Dent. 2001;29(6):381–94.
- [22] Bashir E, Lagerlof F. Effect of citric acid clearance on the saturation with respect to hydroxyapatite in saliva. Caries Res. 1996;30(3):213-7.
- [23] Johansson AK, Lingstrom P, Imfeld T, Birkhed D. Influence of drinking method on tooth-surface pH in relation to dental erosion. Eur J Oral Sci. 2004 Dec;112(6):484-9.
- [24] Meurman JH, Frank RM. Scanning electron microscopic study of the effect of salivary pellicle on enamel erosion. Caries Res. 1991;25(1):1-6.
- [25] Jensdottir T, Bardow A, Holbrook P. Properties and modification of soft drinks in relation to their erosive potential in vitro. J Dent. 2005 Aug;33(7):569-75.
- [26] Correa FO, Sampaio JE, Rossa Junior C, Orrico SR. Influence of natural fruit juices in removing the smear layer from root surfaces--an in vitro study. J Can Dent Assoc. 2004 Nov;70(10):697-702.
- [27] Prati C, Venturi L, Valdre G, Mongiorgi R. Dentin morphology and permeability after brushing with different toothpastes in the presence and absence of smear layer. J Periodontol. 2002 Feb;73(2):183-90.
- [28] Bo Liu, Min Zhan, Yongjin Chen and Yueling Yao: Tooth wear in aging people: an investigation of the prevalence and the influential factors of incisal/occlusal tooth wear innorthwest China: BMC Oral Health 2014, 14:65.
- [29] The association of tooth wear, diet and dietary habits in adults aged 18–30 years old D.W. Bartlett, J. Fares, S. Shirodaria, K. Chiu, N. Ahmada, M. Sherriff. Journal of Dentistry 39 (2011) 811 816.
- [30] Zero DT. Etiology of dental erosion–extrinsic factors. European Journal of Oral Sciences 1996;104:162–77.
- [31] Yang L, Bovet P, Liu Y, Zhao M, Ma C, Liang Y, et al. Consumption of carbonated soft drinks among young adolescents aged 12 to 15 years in 53 low-and middle-income countries. Am J Public Health 2017;107(7):1095-100.

- [32] Hasselkvist A, Johansson A, Johansson A-K. A 4 year prospective longitudinal study of progression of dental erosion associated to lifestyle in 13–14 year-old Swedish adolescents. J Dent 2016;47:55-62.
- [33] Hara AT, Carvalho JC, Zero DT. Causes of dental erosion: extrinsic factors. Dental Erosion and Its Clinical Management: Springer; 2015. p. 69-96.
- [34] Oderinu OH, Savage KO, Uti OG, Adegbulugbe IC. Prevalence of self-reported hypersensitive teeth among a group of Nigerian undergraduate students. Niger Postgrad Med J 2011;18(3):205-9.