Effect of Disinfectants on Elastomers: A Review

¹Dr. Anuradha, ²Dr. Abhisheik Sachdeva, ³Dr. Sonal Shah

^{1,2,3}Post Graduate Student (First Year), Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Rama Dental College Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Anuradha,

Post Graduate Student (First Year), Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, Rama Dental College Hospital & Research Centre, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: anuradhadubey55@gmail.com

Abstract

Addition silicone and polysulfide impressions were disinfected without a loss in accuracy, whereas polyether impressions were adversely affected. The surface quality of dies was acceptable with disinfection and one disinfectant contributed to an improvement in surface quality compared with the control. A working cast-and-die system of improved dental stone used with cast dental restorations must provide dimensional accuracy, strength, and resistance to abrasion, and must reproduce surface detail. These impressions can act as vehicles of transmission and carry various types of microorganisms, which further cause diseases like Hepatitis B, C, HIV, Tuberculosis etc. This contamination and cross contamination of microorganisms can be prevented by disinfecting the impressions immediately after removing from the mouth and label them as disinfected.

Keywords: Addition silicone, Polysulfide Impressions, Disinfection, Strength, Resistance, Abrasion

Introduction

The making of an impression is a technique that is often practiced in dentistry and mandates selecting apt impression materials and methods for a particular process. After impressionmaking, casts are procured from the same that are employed as dies/study models to fabricate various appliances, indirect restorations, and prostheses. 1,2 An impression that has set serves as a large resource for microorganisms that comprise bacteria, viruses, and fungal organisms that are retained after being taken out of the patient's oral cavity. In due course, these pathogens are transported to dental plaster and stone as the models are fabricated. Eventually, these models that harbor the pathogens pose the peril of transmitting infection to dental practitioners, personnel involved in transportation and laboratory personnel through indirect contact. Numerous ways of disinfection may be employed to purify different impression supplies.^{3,4} A frequent technique is chemical disinfection, in which the surface of the impression is subjected to chemical treatment via spraying/immersion.Owing to suitable physical characteristics, elastomeric impression materials are usually preferred. 5,6 One noteworthy property upon taking out the material from the oral cavity is the elastic revival of the impression material in the absence of deformation. Additionally, the substance must exhibit dimensional stability while disinfection as well as at the time of storage until the cast is poured. This review is an attempt to highlight the important aspects of effect of disinfectants on elastomers.

Elastomeric Impression Materials: Polysulfide Rubber Impression Material

Polysulfide rubber impression material consists of Base paste-Low Molecular Weight Polysulfide with two terminal and one pendant SH groups, Fillers (Titanium dioxide) ,Plasticizer (Dibutyl phthalate) and Catalyst paste-Lead dioxide (Brown) or organic peroxides (Gray) reactor, Fillers (Titanium dioxide), Plasticizer (Dibutyl phthalate). Its Setting Reaction is by condensation polymerization (exothermic + shrinkage) with releasing of H2O as reaction by-product. It occurs as a series of simple oxidation reactions. Condensation Silicone Impression Material: Condensation silicone impression material consist of Base paste-LMW silicone polymer with terminal OH groups, Fillers (Colloidal silica or titanium dioxide) (white) and Catalyst paste- Alkyl silicate (Cross linking),Tin dilaurate or Stannous octoate (Activator).Material sets via condensation polymerization reaction. Ethyl alcohol is the reaction by-product.

Addition Silicones Impression Materials

Addition silicones impression materials consist of Base paste-Poly methyl hydrogen siloxane (Hydried or silane terminated silicone),Other Siloxane pre-polymers, Fillers and Catalyst paste-Divinyl poly(dimethyl siloxane),Platinum salt activator (Chloroplatinic acid) ,Siloxane prepolymers, Fillers. Its setting reaction is addition polymerization (exothermic + shrinkage) with no release of by-product. It occurs as a series of cross-linkage between vinyl-terminated silicon and silane-terminated (hydried) silicon molecules, in presence of the catalyst (platinum salt) to form a 3D polymer network. More dimensionally stable

Disinfecting Agents

The destruction or removal of all pathogenic organisms, or organisms capable of giving rise to infection. Glutaraldehyde / Cidex (2% alkaline NaHCO3):- It is a high level disinfectant. Especially active against tubercle bacilli, fungi and viruses. Less toxic than formaldehyde. Exposure time: > 10hrs. Phenols: Acts by cell membrane damage thus releasing cell contents and causing lysis. Eg. Cresol (LYSOL),c hlorhexidine (SAVLON), chloroxylenol (DETTOL) and hexachlorophen. Phenol is commonly found in mouthwashes, scrub soaps and surface disinfectants. Low efficiency disinfectant Halogens: Bleaching powder or hypochlorite solution mostly used disinfectant for HIV infected material. In concentration of 0.05 or 0.5% used for surface material and instruments disinfection. Should be prepared daily because of instability of sodium hypochlorite solution. Active against bacteria, spores, fungi and viruses (HB, HIV) Iodophors & Iodine Active against bacteria, spores & some viruses & fungi. (7.5% Povidone+iodine=Betadine)

Methods of Disinfecting Impressions

- * Spraying
- * Immersion D

Elastomeric Impression Materials

Polysulphide and Addition Silicone: Glutaraldehyde, Iodophor, 0.5% sodium hypochlorite should be used. Polyether: Spraying in iodophor, 0.5% Sodium hypochlorite should be used. Prolonged immersion causes some distortion. Polyether shows dimension Selection of the type of disinfectant for impressions is very important as it can induce changes in accuracy and detail. The addition silicone impressions in combination with any disinfectant other than the neutral

glutaraldehyde produced casts with excellent accuracy and changes on immersion in 2% Glutaraldehyde. 9,10 However, the surface quality was acceptable for all combinations of impression materials and disinfectants and hence disinfectants should be used in impressions prior to cast pouring. The impression materials used for the study were, Dentsply aquasil (addition silicone polyvinylsiloxane syringe and putty), zetaplus (condensation silicone putty and light body), and impregumpenta soft (polyether). All impressions were made according to manufacturer's instructions. Dimensional changes were measured before and after different disinfection procedures. Dentsply aquasil showed smallest dimensional change (-0.0046%) and impregumpenta soft highest linear dimensional changes (-0.026%). All the tested elastomeric impression materials showed some degree of dimensional changes. 11-15 With the present laboratory practice of placing a die spacer on the replicated, prepared tooth surfaces of stone dies to allow space for the luting agent, the necessity of accurate surface reproduction may not appear to In a recent investigation, an enhancement of the surface character of improved dental stone dies after the immersion disinfection of the elastomeric impression was observed as important. After impression-making, casts are procured from the same that are employed as dies/study models to fabricate various appliances, indirect restorations, and prostheses. An impression that has set serves as a large resource for microorganisms that comprise bacteria, viruses, and fungal organisms that are retained after being taken out of the patient's oral cavity. In due course, these pathogens are transported to dental plaster and stone as the models are fabricated. Eventually, these models that harbor the pathogens pose the peril of transmitting infection to dental practitioners, personnel involved in transportation and laboratory personnel through indirect contact. Therefore, it has been recommended by the ADA that dental labs and clinics pursue apt disinfection code of behavior put forth by the Center for Disease Control to avoid cross infectivity amid the dental practitioners, patients, and lab technicians. Numerous ways of disinfection may be employed to purify different impression supplies. A frequent technique is chemical disinfection, in which the surface of the impression is subjected to chemical treatment via spraying/immersion. Several chemical disinfection agents are commercially available in various compositions.

Conclusion

The present study showed that all the disinfection procedures produce minor dimensional changes of impression material. However, it was within American Dental Association specification. Hence, steam autoclaving and microwave method can be used as an alternative method to chemical sterilization as an effective method.

References

- 1. Silva SM, Salvador MC. Effect of the disinfection technique on the linear dimensional stability of dental impression materials. J Appl Oral Sci 2004;12(3):244–9.
- 2. Thota KK, Jasthi S, Ravuri R, et al. A comparative evaluation of the dimensional stability of three different elastomeric impression materials after autoclaving—an *in vitro* study. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8(10):ZC48–ZC50.
- 3. Kohn WG, Collins AS, Cleveland JL, et al. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guidelines for infection control in dental health-care settings. MMWR Recomm Rep 2003;52(17):1–61.
- 4. Demajo JK, Cassar V, Farrugia C, et al. Effectiveness of disinfectants on antimicrobial and physical properties of dental impression materials. Int J Prosthodont 2016;29(1):63–67.

- 5. Tullner JB, Commette JA, Moon PC. Linear dimensional changes in dental impressions after immersion in disinfectant solutions. J Prosthet Dent 1988;60(6):725–728.
- 6. Lucas MG, Arioli-Filho JN, Nogueira SS, et al. Effect of incorporation of disinfectant solutions on setting time, linear dimensional stability, and detail reproduction in dental stone casts. J Prosthodont 2009;18(6):521–526.
- 7. Singh R, Raghavari R, Rupesh PL. Effect of four disinfectants on the dimensional accuracy of polyether, addition silicone and condensation silicone impression materials on the resultant gypsum casts an *in vitro* study. JNDA 2013;13(1):45–51.
- 8. Shah S, Sundaram G, Bartlett D, et al. The use of a 3D laser scanner using superimposition software to assess the accuracy of impression techniques. J Dent 2004;32(8):653–658.
- 9. Faria AC, Rodrigues RC, Macedo AP, et al. Accuracy of stone casts obtained by different impression materials. Braz Oral Res 2008;22(4):293–298.
- 10. Petrie CS, Walker MP, O'mahony AM, et al. Dimensional accuracy and sur face detail reproduction of two hydrophilic vinyl polysiloxane impression materials tested under dry, moist, and wet conditions. J Prosthet Dent 2003;90(4):365–372.
- 11. Martins F, Branco P, Reis J, et al. Dimensional stability of two impression materials after a 6-month storage period. Acta Biomater Odontol Scand 2017;3(1):84–91.
- 12. Chen SY, Liang WM, Chen FN. Factors affecting the accuracy of elastomeric impression materials. J Dent 2004;32(8):603–9.
- 13. Nassar U, Oko A, Adeeb S, et al. An *in vitro* study on the dimensional stability of a vinyl polyether silicone impression material over a prolonged storage period. J Prosthet Dent 2013;109(3):172–178.
- 14. Walker MP, Petrie CS, Haj-Ali R, et al. Moisture effect on polyether and polyvinylsiloxane dimensional accuracy and detail reproduction. J Prosthodont 2005;14(3):158–163.