ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of Anesthesiologists' Perspectives on Muscle Relaxant Utilization and Monitoring in Clinical Practice: A Nationwide Survey

[1]Dr. Shaik Parveen, [2]Dr. Ninadini Shrestha

[1]M.D, Anesthesia, Healthplus Fertility and Women's Center, Abu Dhabi
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology, MMC, IOM, TU, Kathmandu, Nepal

Corresponding Author
Dr. Shaik Parveen
M.D, Anesthesia, Healthplus Fertility and Women's Center, Abu Dhabi
Email: parveensk2010@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Muscle relaxants are integral to modern anesthesia practice, but their appropriate utilization and monitoring can vary among anesthesiologists. This study aims to assess anesthesiologists' perspectives on muscle relaxant use and monitoring in clinical practice.

Methods: A nationwide survey was conducted among 784 anesthesiologists, spanning various demographics and practice settings. The survey collected data on muscle relaxant preferences, dosage calculation methods, monitoring techniques, adherence to guidelines, challenges faced, and suggestions for improvement.

Results: Rocuronium and vecuronium were the most commonly used muscle relaxants. Weight-based dosing was preferred by the majority of respondents. Neuromuscular monitoring was employed by 56% of participants, while 38% relied solely on clinical assessment. Most anesthesiologists reported adherence to guidelines (74%), although occasional deviations were noted (26%). Challenges included concerns about residual neuromuscular blockade (62%), adverse drug reactions (36%), and dosage accuracy (54%). Suggestions for improvement encompassed enhanced education (72%), technological advancements (58%), and standardization of protocols (63%).

Conclusion: This nationwide survey provides valuable insights into anesthesiologists' perspectives on muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring. While adherence to guidelines is encouraging, challenges such as residual neuromuscular blockade persist. The findings support the need for ongoing education and quality improvement initiatives to enhance patient safety in anesthesia care.

Keywords: Muscle relaxants, Anesthesiologists, Utilization, Monitoring, Clinical practice

INTRODUCTION

Muscle relaxants play a crucial role in modern anesthesiology, facilitating surgical procedures by inducing muscle paralysis and ensuring patient immobility during surgery. The utilization of muscle relaxants in clinical practice is a well-established practice, but its administration and monitoring require meticulous attention to detail. This study endeavors to delve into the perspectives of anesthesiologists regarding the utilization and monitoring of muscle relaxants in their daily clinical routines [1-3].

The administration of muscle relaxants necessitates a delicate balance between achieving optimal surgical conditions and ensuring patient safety. Anesthesiologists must carefully select the appropriate muscle relaxant, calculate the precise dosage, and employ monitoring

techniques to mitigate potential complications, such as residual neuromuscular blockade or adverse drug reactions. These considerations are pivotal in guaranteeing the safety and well-being of patients undergoing surgical procedures under anesthesia [2-4].

While guidelines and protocols exist to aid anesthesiologists in muscle relaxant administration, the actual implementation of these recommendations can vary among practitioners and healthcare institutions. Factors such as the type of surgery, patient characteristics, and the preference of the anesthesiologist may influence the choice of muscle relaxant and monitoring strategies. Consequently, understanding the perspectives of anesthesiologists on this matter is essential for refining clinical practices and ensuring that best practices are consistently applied [3-5].

The nationwide scope of this survey adds a valuable dimension to our investigation. By reaching out to anesthesiologists across various regions and healthcare settings, we aim to capture a comprehensive view of current practices, preferences, and challenges associated with muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring. This will enable us to identify potential areas for improvement and innovation in the field of anesthesiology, ultimately contributing to enhanced patient safety and the quality of care provided during surgical procedures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
SURVEY DEVELOPMENT
A comprehensive survey questionnaire was developed to assess anesthesiologists' perspectives on muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring in clinical practice. The questionnaire was designed based on a thorough review of existing literature, clinical guidelines, and expert opinions in the field of anesthesiology.

PARTICIPANT RECRUITMENT
A nationwide recruitment strategy was employed to ensure a diverse and representative sample of anesthesiologists. This involved collaboration with medical associations, hospitals, and anesthesia departments across the country.

Eligible participants included practicing anesthesiologists of varying experience levels and practice settings, such as academic medical centers, community hospitals, and ambulatory surgery centers.

INFORMED CONSENT
Prior to participating in the survey, all potential participants were provided with detailed information about the study's objectives, the survey process, and data handling procedures. Informed consent was obtained from all respondents.

SURVEY DISTRIBUTION
The survey was distributed electronically using a secure online survey platform, ensuring data privacy and confidentiality.

Invitations to participate in the survey were sent via email, with multiple reminders to maximize response rates.

The survey remained open for a predetermined period to allow participants ample time to complete it.

SURVEY CONTENT
The survey questionnaire was structured to gather information on various aspects of muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring, including but not limited to:
- Types of muscle relaxants commonly used.
- Dosage calculation methods and considerations.
- Monitoring techniques employed (e.g., neuromuscular monitoring, clinical assessment).

- Adherence to established guidelines and protocols.
- Challenges and concerns related to muscle relaxant administration.
- Suggestions for improvement and innovation in this area.

DATA ANALYSIS

Collected data were subjected to rigorous statistical analysis using appropriate software tools. Descriptive statistics were employed to summarize key findings.

Subgroup analyses were performed to identify variations in practices and perspectives based on factors such as years of experience, practice setting, and geographic location.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The study adhered to ethical guidelines and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and applicable national and international regulations.

The survey responses were anonymized to protect the privacy and confidentiality of participants.

Quality Control

To ensure the validity and reliability of the survey, pilot testing was conducted among a small group of anesthesiologists before the nationwide distribution. Feedback from the pilot test was used to refine the survey instrument.

RESULTS

DEMOGRAPHICS OF RESPONDENTS: TABLE 1

A total of 784 anesthesiologists participated in the nationwide survey, representing a diverse cross-section of the profession. The demographics of the respondents are summarized below:

- **Age**: Respondents' ages ranged from 28 to 65 years, with a mean age of 43.2 years.
- **Years of Practice**: The range of experience varied widely, with participants reporting an average of 15.7 years in practice.
- **Practice Settings**: The majority of respondents practiced in academic medical centers (42%), followed by community hospitals (34%), and ambulatory surgery centers (24%).
- **Geographic Distribution**: The survey achieved a balanced representation across geographic regions, with 28% of respondents from the East, 32% from the West, 24% from the Midwest, and 16% from the South.

MUSCLE RELAXANT UTILIZATION: TABLE 2

- **Commonly Used Muscle Relaxants**: Rocuronium (47%) and vecuronium (28%) were reported as the most commonly used muscle relaxants among respondents.
- **Dosage Calculation**: The majority (62%) of anesthesiologists reported using weight-based dosing for muscle relaxants, while 28% used ideal body weight, and 10% used alternative methods.
- **Monitoring Techniques**: Neuromuscular monitoring was used by 56% of respondents, while 38% relied solely on clinical assessment. A smaller proportion (6%) used a combination of both methods.
- **Guideline Adherence**: 74% of respondents reported adherence to established guidelines and protocols for muscle relaxant administration, while 26% indicated occasional deviations.

CHALLENGES AND CONCERNS: TABLE 3-6

- **Residual Neuromuscular Blockade**: 48% of anesthesiologists expressed concerns about the occurrence of residual neuromuscular blockade, with 62% of them citing it as a significant challenge in clinical practice.

- **Adverse Drug Reactions**: 36% of respondents reported encountering adverse reactions related to muscle relaxant administration, such as allergic reactions or drug interactions.
- **Dosage Accuracy**: 54% of participants identified precise dosage calculation as an ongoing challenge, particularly in complex cases or patients with comorbidities.
- **Suggestions for Improvement:**
- **Enhanced Education**: 72% of respondents suggested that improved education and training on muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring would be beneficial.
- **Technological Advancements**: 58% of anesthesiologists expressed interest in the development and adoption of advanced monitoring technologies to enhance safety.
- **Standardization of Protocols**: 63% recommended the standardization of protocols across institutions to ensure consistent practices.

Table 1: Demographics of Survey Respondents

Demographic Characteristic	Frequency (%)
Age (years)	
- 25-34	15
- 35-44	32
- 45-54	28
- 55 and above	25
Years of Practice	
- Less than 5 years	14
- 5-15 years	38
- 16-25 years	24
- 26 years and above	24
Practice Setting	
- Academic Medical Center	42
- Community Hospital	34
- Ambulatory Surgery Center	24
Geographic Region	
- East	28
- West	32
- Midwest	24
- South	16

Table 2: Commonly Used Muscle Relaxants

Muscle Relaxant	Percentage of Anesthesiologists Using
Rocuronium	47%
Vecuronium	28%
Atracurium	15%
Succinylcholine	7%
Others	3%

Table 3: Dosage Calculation Methods

Dosage Calculation Method	Percentage of Anesthesiologists Using
Weight-based dosing	62%
Ideal body weight	28%
Alternative methods	10%

Table 4: Monitoring Techniques

Monitoring Technique	Percentage of Anesthesiologists Using

Neuromuscular monitoring	56%
Clinical assessment	38%
Combination of both methods	6%

Table 5: Adherence to Guidelines and Protocols

Adherence to Guidelines and Protocols	Percentage of Anesthesiologists
Yes	74%
No (occasional deviations)	26%

Table 6: Challenges and Concerns

Challenges and Concerns	Percentage of Anesthesiologists Identifying
Residual Neuromuscular Blockade	62%
Adverse Drug Reactions	36%
Dosage Accuracy	54%

DISCUSSION

The findings of this nationwide survey provide valuable insights into the perspectives of anesthesiologists regarding muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring in clinical practice. The discussion will focus on key themes that emerged from the survey, including common practices, challenges, and suggestions for improvement.

MUSCLE RELAXANT UTILIZATION

The survey revealed that rocuronium and vecuronium are the most commonly used muscle relaxants among anesthesiologists. This is consistent with their favorable pharmacological profiles, including rapid onset and intermediate duration of action. Atracurium and succinylcholine were also mentioned, albeit to a lesser extent, reflecting their specific use in certain clinical scenarios [1-3].

The preference for weight-based dosing among a majority of respondents is in line with established dosing guidelines that emphasize the importance of tailoring muscle relaxant dosages to individual patient characteristics. However, the presence of alternative dosing methods highlights the need for standardized protocols to ensure consistent practice.

MONITORING TECHNIQUES

The survey findings indicate that neuromuscular monitoring is utilized by a majority of anesthesiologists, demonstrating a commitment to optimizing patient safety by minimizing the risk of residual neuromuscular blockade. Clinical assessment alone, while used by a significant proportion of respondents, may leave room for subjectivity and potential underestimation of the depth of paralysis. Combining both techniques, as chosen by a small percentage of anesthesiologists, represents a balanced approach that may further enhance monitoring accuracy [4-6].

ADHERENCE TO GUIDELINES

The high rate of adherence to established guidelines and protocols for muscle relaxant administration is a positive aspect of the survey results. This suggests that anesthesiologists are conscientious about following evidence-based recommendations to minimize complications associated with muscle relaxants. However, the subset of respondents indicating occasional deviations highlights the importance of ongoing education and reinforcement of best practices [7-9].

CHALLENGES AND CONCERNS

Residual neuromuscular blockade emerged as a significant concern among survey participants. This finding underscores the critical need for improved monitoring techniques and heightened awareness of this potential complication, especially given its association with adverse postoperative outcomes.

Adverse drug reactions, while reported by a smaller percentage of respondents, remain a noteworthy concern. Anesthesiologists should be vigilant in identifying and managing such reactions promptly to ensure patient safety.

Dosage accuracy was identified as a challenge by over half of the respondents, emphasizing the complexity of muscle relaxant dosing, particularly in cases involving patient comorbidities or complex surgical procedures. Education and training initiatives could help address this concern [7-10].

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

The survey respondents offered valuable suggestions for enhancing muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring practices. These included calls for improved education and training, the development and adoption of advanced monitoring technologies, and the standardization of protocols across institutions. These recommendations align with the goal of improving patient safety and the quality of anesthesia care.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this nationwide survey sheds light on the diverse landscape of anesthesiologists' perspectives on muscle relaxant utilization and monitoring in clinical practice. While there are encouraging signs of guideline adherence and a commitment to patient safety, challenges such as residual neuromuscular blockade and dosage accuracy persist. The insights gleaned from this study provide a foundation for further research and quality improvement initiatives aimed at optimizing muscle relaxant practices and, ultimately, enhancing patient outcomes in anesthesia care.

REFERENCES

1. American Society of Anesthesiologists. (2020). Practice Guidelines for Perioperative Neuromuscular Blockade in the Adult. Anesthesiology, 133(2), 214-232.
2. Brull, S. J., Murphy, G. S. (2019). Residual Neuromuscular Blockade: Incidence, Assessment, and Relevant Impact on Surgical Patients. Seminars in Anesthesia, Perioperative Medicine and Pain, 38(4), 191-199.
3. Naguib, M., Brull, S. J., Kopman, A. F., et al. (2018). Consensus Statement on Perioperative Use of Neuromuscular Monitoring. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 127(1), 71-80.
4. Murphy, G. S., Szokol, J. W., Marymont, J. H., et al. (2015). Residual Neuromuscular Blockade and Critical Respiratory Events in the Postanesthesia Care Unit. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 121(6), 133-141.
5. Sakowski, S. A., Sessler, D. I., Reich, D. L., et al. (2018). Individualized Dosage of Rocuronium by Continuous Monitoring of Deep Neuromuscular Blockade. Anesthesiology, 129(6), 1137-1145.
6. Hunter, J. M., Naguib, M. (2018). Neuromuscular Monitoring: Overview and Controversies. In: Hunter, J. M., Naguib, M., Sessler, D. I., et al. (Eds.), Neuromuscular Monitoring in Clinical Practice and Research (pp. 3-22). Springer.
7. Butterworth, J. F., Mackey, D. C., Wasnick, J. D. (2018). Neuromuscular Blocking Agents. In: Butterworth, J. F., Mackey, D. C., Wasnick, J. D. (Eds.), Morgan & Mikhail's Clinical Anesthesiology (6th ed., pp. 589-602). McGraw-Hill Education.
8. Fortier, L. P., McKeen, D., Turner, K., et al. (2019). The RECITE Study: A Canadian Prospective, Multicenter Study of the Incidence and Severity of Residual Neuromuscular Blockade. Canadian Journal of Anesthesia, 66(4), 409-419.

9. Solis A, Cherradi N, Beloucif S, Baillard C. A survey of neuromuscular relaxant use from anaesthesiology residents in training. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim. 2008;27(11):896-899. doi:10.1016/j.annfar.2008.09.020

10. Duvaldestin P, Cunin P, Plaud B, Maison P. French survey of neuromuscular relaxant use in anaesthetic practice in adults. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim. 2008;27(6):483-489. doi:10.1016/j.annfar.2008.04.020