European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine (EJMCM)
ISSN: 2515-8260 Volume 08, Issue 04, 2021

Original research article

Analyze the Form and Amplitude of CMAP of Both the Median and Ulnar in a Normal Population, as well as the Anatomical Innervations of Tiny Hand Muscles in Normal Cadavers

Dr. Manoj Kumar Choudhary

Associate Professor, Department of Physical Medicine &Rehabilitation (PMR), Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Manoj Kumar Choudhary

Abstract

Aim: To analyze of shape, amplitude of CMAP of both median and ulnar in normal population and to analyze the anatomical innervations of small muscles of hand in normal cadavers.

Methods: This was retrospective observational study Department of Physical Medicine &Rehabilitation (PMR), Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India for 1 year. 100 normal subjects (32 males and 68 females) were include in this study. Motor conduction studies of both median and ulnar nerves were done for the included subjects. NCV machine was used to conduct the tests. Analysis of the shape of CMAP, amplitude and distal latency were performed.

Results: The mean amplitude of median nerve (11.74 mv) was significantly higher than that of the ulnar nerve (10.92 mv).The mean DL of the median nerve (3.92 msec) was significantly longer than that of the ulnar nerve (3.07 msec). Mean DL of median nerve was significantly longer in those with dome shaped CMAP rather than those with double peaked CMAP. Mean DL of ulnar nerve was significantly longer in those with dome shaped CMAP rather than those with double peaked CMAP. The APB received nerve supply from the median nerve in 85% of the specimens and double innervations from both median and ulnar nerves in 15% of the specimens. Flexor pollicis brevis (FPB) received nerve supply from the median nerve alone in 43% of the specimens and from the ulnar nerve alone in 12% of the specimens. FBP received innervations from both nerves median and ulnar in 45% of specimens. Abductor digiti minimi (ADM) muscle received one branch from deep branch of the ulnar nerve in 87% of the specimens and from superficial branches of the ulnar nerve in 13% of the specimens. Simultaneous branches from the deep branch of the ulnar nerve to 3rd lumbrical muscle (77% of the specimens) and to 4th lumbrical muscles (100% of the specimens) and to the palmar interossei in all the specimens.

Conclusion: The configuration of the CMAP of the median nerve is mostly dome, whereas that of the ulnar is mostly double peaked. Variability in the pattern of innervations of the small muscle of the hand could be a possible etiological factor.

Keywords: median, ulnar, anatomical innervations

Introduction

Peripheral motor nerve diameter decreases gradually after emerging from the spinal cord toward the target muscles. In a myelinated nerve fiber, the thickness of the fiber correlates positively with nerve conduction velocity; conduction velocity declines when a fiber's diameter decreases.[1] Nerve diameter is proportional to the size of the motor nerve body in the anterior horn.[1] Nerve diameter thickness and conduction velocity correlate with nerve body size. Proximal muscles with bigger masses are innervated by thicker fibers.[2-5] In addition to the sciatic nerve[4], the nerve velocities in the ulnar nerve can be recorded and calculated separately

between the proximal and distal muscles of the upper extremities. Thus, one can differentiate between the fastest conductive fibers that innervate the proximal and distal muscles electro physiologically. A single peripheral nerve stimulates many muscles and, in the same peripheral nerve, nerve fibers can innervate different muscle ends at different levels. The diameters of the most rapidly conducting fibers differ among the most rapidly conducting nerve fibers innervating a proximal muscle, which has a larger diameter compared to those nerve fibers innervating a distal muscle.[4,6] Consequently, if a nerve is stimulated from the same region and an electrophysiological recording is conducted in one proximally and another distally located muscle, data can be obtained about the conduction parameters of the nerve fibers of various diameters.[7] The abductor pollicis brevis (APB) muscle is supplied mainly by the recurrent branch of the median nerve or the lateral terminal branch of the median nerve.[8] However, it can be supplied by the ulnar nerve or receive dual innervations from the median and ulnar nerves.[9] The nerve supply of flexor pollicis brevis muscle is subject to more variations than that of any other muscle in the body. It can be supplied by the median nerve, ulnar nerve or both nerves.[10] The abductor digiti minimi (ADM) muscle is supplied mainly by the deep branch of the ulnar nerve.[11] It may receive innervations from the superficial branch of the ulnar nerve, together with the third and fourth lumbricals.[11] The lumbricals classic innervations originate from the median nerve for the lateral two muscles and from the deep branch of the ulnar nerve for the medial two muscles but considerable variations exist. The 3rd and 4th lumbricals may receive nerve supply from the superficial division of the ulnar nerve.[12] the aim of this study was to analyse of shape, amplitude of CMAP of both median and ulnar nerves and analyse anatomical innervation of small muscles of hand.

Material and methods

This was retrospective observational study Department of Physical Medicine &Rehabilitation (PMR), Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India for 1 year after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee. 100 normal subjects (32 males and 68 females) were include in this study.

Methodology

Motor conduction studies of both median and ulnar nerves were done for the included subjects. NCV machine was used to conduct the tests. Surface recording using round silver 1×1 cm in diameter electrodes. The electrodes were applied to the skin through the use of collodion after cleansing of the skin with alcohol prior to application.[13] The standardized motor conduction study of median nerve was done. The conventional sites of stimulation at wrist, elbow, axilla and Erb's point were done, with recording from APB, active electrode over motor point and reference electrode over the tendon. The ground electrode was placed between active and stimulating electrodes.[13] similarly, routine motor conduction study of the ulnar nerve was carried out with stimulation at multiple sites; wrist, below elbow, elbow, above elbow and Erb's point. Recording from ABD was done with active electrode over motor point and reference electrode over the tendon. The ground electrode was placed between active and stimulating electrodes.[13] Those who had normal motor conduction study of both median and ulnar nerves were included in the study. Analysis of the shape of CMAP, amplitude and distal latency were performed.

The study was carried out on 30 cadavers, both sides were dissected. The median and ulnar nerves were exposed and dissected as they enter and supply the muscles of the hand. All the muscular branches of both nerves were identified and followed out throughout their course. The number of these branches and their points of entry into the muscles were identified as well as the connecting loops between the median and ulnar nerves.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS/version 21.0) software. Arithmetic mean, standard deviation, for numerical data t-test was used to compare between two groups. While for categorical data, Z-test was used. The level of significant was 0.05.

Results

68 percent of the studied subjects were females, while male constituted only 28% with significant difference between both sexes (Table 1). The mean age of the studied subjects was 36.87 ± 10.36 (age range 20-68). The mean amplitude of median nerve (11.74 mv) was significantly higher than that of the ulnar nerve (10.92 mv) (Table 2).

Table 1: Sex distribution of the studied subjects

Gender	Number and percentage	Significance
Male	32(32%)	Z-test 10.0
Female	68(68%)	p 0.0001*

*Significant $p \leq 0.05$

Table 2: Comparison between median and ulnar nerve regarding DL, amplitude and shape of CMAP

		Mean	SD	T	p
Amplitude	Median Amp.	11.74	6.03	6.22	0.0001*
	Ulnar Amp.	10.92	4.23		
D.L.	DL median	3.77	0.42	13.02	0.0001*
	DL ulnar	2.95	0.39		
Shape		Frequency	Percent	Z test	p
	Median				
	Dome	68	68 male	13.02	0.0001*
	Non-dome	32	32 female		
	Ulnar				
	Dome	17	17 male	20.12	0.0001*
	Non-dome (double peaked)	83	83 female		

*Significant $p \leq 0.05$, Abbreviations: Amp: Amplitude; DL: Distal Lateney; SD: Standard Deviation

The mean DL of the median nerve (3.92 msec) was significantly longer than that of the ulnar nerve (3.07 msec) (Table 2). The median nerve CMAP was mostly dome shaped, rather than double peaked. Whereas CMAP of the ulnar was more frequently non dome i.e double peaked, rather than dome shaped (Table 2).

Mean DL of median nerve was significantly longer in those with dome shaped CMAP rather than those with double peaked CMAP. Mean DL of ulnar nerve was significantly longer in those with dome shaped CMAP rather than those with double peaked CMAP (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 3: Relation between shape of CMAP of median nerve and DL and Amplitude

		N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.	t	p
DL median	Non dome	32	3.77	0.51	2.6	4.2	5.36	0.001*
	Dome	68	3.92	0.55	2.7	4.3		
Median amp.	Non dome	32	10.97	4.52	5.6	20.9	2.83	0.172
	Dome	68	11.32	6.01	4.2	24.7		

Table 4: Relation between shape of CMAP of ulnar nerve and DL and Amplitude

		N	Mean	S.D.	Min.	Max.	t	p
DL ulnar	Non dome	83	2.96	0.47	1.6	4.2	4.11	0.0001 *
	Dome	17	3.07	0.59	2.1	3.7		
Amp ulnar	Non dome	83	11.22	3.02	6.2	19.01	0.87	0.344
	Dome	17	11.52	5.36	3.9	17.02		

There were no statistical significant differences between the amplitude of dome shaped CMAP and double peaked CMAP in either median or ulnar nerves (Tables 3 and 4). The APB received nerve supply from the median nerve in 85% of the specimens and double innervations from both median and ulnar nerves in 15% of the specimens (Table 5).

Table 5: Nerve supply of the muscles

	Median nerve	Ulnar nerve	Both
Flexor pollicis brevis	43%	12%	45%
Abductor pollicis brevis	85%	0%	15%
Opponens pollicis	80%	10%	10%
Adductor pollicis	0%	87%	13%

The patterns of innervations of APB from the median nerve were different (Table 6). Usually, the branches supplying the muscle from the recurrent branch, lateral division or both are close to each other. Infrequently, APB received branches distant from each other .

Table 6: Pattern of nerve supply of abductor pollicis brevis muscle

Number of muscular branches from the median nerve	%	origin	Point of entry
One branch	26%	Recurrent 15%-Lateral division 15%	Near the origin Into the middle of the muscle
Two branches	42%	Lateral division	One branch near the origin. The other branch into the middle of the muscle
Three branches	32%	One from the recurrent. Two from the lateral division	Enter near the origin. One enters the middle of the muscle-The other branch enters near the insertion

Table 5 presented that flexor pollicis brevis (FPB) received nerve supply from the median nerve alone in 43% of the specimens and from the ulnar nerve alone in 12% of the specimens. FBP received innervations from both nerves median and ulnar in 45% of specimens.
Abductor digiti minimi (ADM) muscle received one branch from deep branch of the ulnar nerve in 87% of the specimens and from superficial branches of the ulnar nerve in 13% of the specimens (Table 7).

Table 7: Nerve supply of the muscles of the hypothenar muscles

	Deep branch of ulnar nerve	**Superficial branch of ulnar nerve**
Flexor digiti minimi brevis	90%	10%
Abductor digiti minimi brevis	90%	10%
Opponens digiti minimi	100%	0%

Simultaneous branches from the deep branch of the ulnar nerve to 3rd lumbrical muscle (77% of the specimens) and to 4th lumbrical muscles (100% of the specimens) and to the palmar interossei in all the specimens (Table 8).

Table 8: Nerve supply of the lumbrical muscles

	Median nerve	**Ulnar nerve**	**Both**
1st lumbrical	95%	0%	5%
2nd lumbrical	80%	20%	0%
3rd lumbrical	23%	77%	0%
4th lumbrical	0%	100%	0%

Discussion

The proximal muscles innervated by the median or ulnar nerves consistently showed lower CMAP amplitude values, longer CMAP durations and higher conduction velocities than the distal muscles. Fibers with different diameters in the same nerve were noted. In the present study, the mean amplitude of median nerve (11.74 mv) was significantly higher than that of the ulnar nerve (10.92 mv). Both Kimura[13] and Dumitru[14] found similar findings. They reported mean median amplitude was 13.2±5 mv, 7±3 mv respectively. That of ulnar nerve was 6.1±1.9 mv, 5.7±2 mv respectively. Hennessey, et al.[14] found the ulnar nerve amplitude (12.6 ± 2.3 mv) slightly higher than that of median nerve. However, he did not mention whether these differences were significant and there is no definite explanation.[15]The variability in the values of the mean amplitude of both nerves from one series to another could be secondary to racial difference. Weber [16] suggested that generally, the amplitude of the CMAP is dependent upon the synchronization of the signal's arrival. The more synchronized the signal, the greater (more spike like) the amplitude. Thus amplitude varies inversely with the dispersion of the evoked response.[16] Since we found that CMAP of the median nerve is more frequently higher than that of the ulnar nerve. We could speculate that the synchronization of the median nerve is usually more than that of the ulnar nerve.

Also in the present study, the median nerve CMAP of was mostly dome shaped and that of the ulnar nerve was mostly double peaked. This also could be explained in light of Weber suggession.[16] Dumitru[14] and Kimura[13] mentioned that the shape of CMAP is biphasic curve. They did not mention the frequently encountered difference in the shape of CMAP between

the median and the ulnar nerve.[13,14] Bromberg and Spiegellerb [17]studied the influence of the active electrode placement on CMAP amplitude and concluded that in order to record the maximal CMAP response, empirical assessment by moving the active electrode is necessary.[17] In our study, we had placed the active electrode over the standardized motor points for the abductor pollicis brevis and the abductor digiti minimi muscle for all the studied subjects so we have eliminated the influence of changing electrode placement on CMAP amplitude.

Similarly, Lateva, et al.[18] concluded that CMAP shape is related to electrode configuration and anatomical factor particularly muscle fiber length that changes with changes of thumb position.[18] These factors for changes in CMAP configuration are not applicable to our study since we used fixed standardized techniques and same electrode placement for all the studied subjects without any movement of the thumb or the little finger.

Kincaid, et al.[19] suggested that the tendon sites are not electrically inactive. The ulnar tendon electrode contributes to large extent to the second peak of CMAP i.e tendon potential. While the median tendon electrode contributes only minimally to the negative phase of the CMAP.[19] However in our study we did not record from tendon sites.

Van Dijk, et al.[20] maped the spatial and temporal dispersion of CMAP. They concluded that wave form difference are due to difference in muscle architecture and variability.[20] Moreover, our anatomical results showed that APB received mostly innervations from median nerve only. Those branches from median nerve are very close to each other from the lateral division or only one branch from the recurrent branch. Homma and Sakai[21] agreed with these anatomical results and mentioned that the APB has a separate belly and receives separate nerve branch.[21] Ajmani[11] found in his series that APB muscle supplied by median nerve alone.[11] These anatomical findings could be a possible explanation of the frequently encountered dome shaped CMAP of the median nerve i.e Why it is synchronized.

On the other hand, our anatomical results showed that abductor digiti minimi receives one branch from the deep branch of the ulnar nerve in 87% of cases. Simultaneous branches from deep branch of the ulnar nerve arise to 3rd lumbrical (77% of cases). 4th lumbrical (100% of cases) as well as palmar interossei. Revising literature in this context found similar results.[21,22] Ajmani[12] reported that the deep branch alone supplies the abductor digiti minimi. It also supplies the third and forth lumbricals in 94% of his series.[12] Our findings that the ulnar CMAP is typically double peaked could be explained in light of the anatomical findings of simultaneous branches that arise from deep branch of ulnar nerve to ADM, 3rd, 4th lumbricals and palmar interossei. So the second peak of the curve could be from volume conduction from those muscles.

Mc Gill and Lateva[23]concluded that the first peak of the negative phase of CMAP comes from the hypothenar muscles but the second peak is due to large volume conducted potential from the interosseous muscle. The interosseous contribution affects both the amplitude and the area of CMAP. However this contribution is sensitive to finger movement and temperature changes of the hand.[23] In our study, these factors are not applicable, so the anatomical explanation might be more possible.

Little percentage of the studied subjects showed double peaked CMAP of the median nerve. In light of the anatomical results, The APB received nerve supply from the median nerve in 85% of the specimens and double innervations from both median and ulnar nerves in 15% of the specimens. Also infrequently APB supplied by branches distant from each other from recurrent

and lateral division of the median. Similar anatomical results were recorded by Mumford and Olave.[24,25] In addition, flexor pollicis brevis which is anatomically very close to APB was found in our series to receive double innervations from the median and ulnar nerves in 45% of the examined cadavers. Lo Monaco, et al.[26] agreed with these findings. These occasional anatomical findings in our series as well as in the previous literature could be a possible etiological factor for the infrequently encountered double peaked CMAP of the median nerve. In addition, ulnar to median nerve anastomosis which was found in some of our cadavers could also be possible etiologic factor. Definite etiological factor could not be detected because we could not dissect the hands of the normal studied subjects to detect the exact pattern of innervations of the thenar muscles.

Similarly, we found that in 13% of the studied cadavers, the ADM supplied by superficial branch from ulnar nerve solely. These minority of anatomical findings could be a possible etiological factor for the rarely met dome shaped CMAP of ulnar nerve.

In the present study, the mean DL of the median nerve was significantly longer than that of the ulnar nerve. There is no comment on these findings in the literature. However, Weber[16] suggested that generally, the latency depend upon number of different physiologic events; latency of activation; the time between the initiation of electrical discharge in the stimulator and the actual beginning of salutatory conduction along the axon, fast salutatory along the large myelinated axon. Slower conduction along the smaller diameter of the myelinated axon as it tapers distally. Very slow conduction along the non myelinated terminal twigs of axon.[16]

Since we found that the median nerve CMAP was mostly dome shaped i.e. has better synchronization of different nerve fibers. So we could explain the longer distal latency of median nerve compared with that of ulnar nerve, because of many synchronized fibers with different conduction velocity contribute to compound muscle action potential. Hence, longer latency. Whereas, the ulnar nerve has frequently double peaked CMAP together with shorter latency compared with median nerve. This is mostly because less synchronization of fibers, hence latency could be depending mainly on the fastest conducting fibers only i.e short latency.

Conclusion

The configuration of the CMAP of the median nerve is mostly dome, whereas that of the ulnar is mostly double peaked. Variability in the pattern of innervations of the small muscle of the hand could be a possible etiological factor

Reference

1. Cullheim S: Relations between cell body size, axon diameter and axon conduction velocity of cat sciatic a-motoneurons stained with horseradish peroxidase. Neurosci Lett 1978, 8:17-20.
2. Buchthal F, Schmalbruch H: Motor unit of mammalian muscle. Physiol Rev 1980, 60:90-142.
3. McHanwell S, Biscoe TJ: The sizes of motoneurons supplying hindlimb muscles in the mouse. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1981, 213:201-16.
4. Oğuzhanoğlu A, Erdoğan Ç, Tabak E, Cenikli U: Comparison of conduction velocities of nerve fibers to smaller and larger muscles in rats. Int J Neurosci 2010, 120:76-79.
5. Henneman E, Olson CB: Relations between structure and function in the design of skeletal muscles. J Neurophysiol 1965, 28:581-598.
6. Gassel MM, Trojaborg W: Clinical and electrophysiological study of the pattern of conduction times in the distribution of the sciatic nerve. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry

1964; 27:351–357.
7. Erdogan Ç, Cenikli U, Değirmenci E, et al: Effect of hyperglycemia on conduction parameters of tibial nerve's fibers to different muscles: a rat model. J Neurosci Rural Pract 2013; 4:9–12.
8. Backhous KM. Nerve supply in the arm and hand. In The hand Vol. 1 edited by: Tubiana R (ed). Saunders. Philadelphia. 1981:275-290.
9. Salman MO, Jaffar A, Hamdan FB. Motor innervation of the short muscles of the thumb: Anatomic and clinical implications. Iraqi J Med Sci. 2005;4(2):119-124.
10. Falconer D, Spinner M. Anatomic variation in the motor and sensory supply of the thumb. Clin Orthop. 1985:195:83-96.
11. Ajmani ML. Variation in the motor supply of the thenar and hypothenar muscles of the hand. J Anat. 1996;189(1):145-150.
12. Ajmani ML. Morphological variations of lumbrical muscles in the human hand with some observations on its nerve supply. Med J Iran Hosp. 2001;3(2):20-25.
13. Kimura J. Assessment of individual nerves. In: Electrodiagnosis in disease of nerve and muscle: Principle and practice (3rd edn). Oxford University Press. England. United Kingdom. 2001; pp: 130-171.
14. Dumitru D. Nerve conduction studies. Electrodiagnostic medicine.Hanley & Belfus. United States. 1995; pp: 111-176.
15. Hennessey WJ, Falco FJ, Goldberg G, Braddom RL. Median and ulnar conduction studies: Normative data for young adults. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1994;75(3):259-264.
16. Weber RJ. Motor and sensory conduction and entrapment syndromes. In: Johnson EW. Practical electromyography. Williams and Wilkins. Philadelphia. United States. 1988; pp:93-186.
17. Bromberg MB, Spiegelberg T. The influence of active electrode placement on CMAP amplitude. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol. 1997;105(5): 385-389.
18. Lateva ZC, McGill KC, Burgar CG. Anatomical and electrophysiological determinants of the human thenar compound muscle action potential. Muscle Nerve. 1996;19(11):1457-1468.
19. Kincaid JC, Brashear A, Markand ON. The influence of the reference electrode on CMAP configuration. Muscle Nerve. 1993;16(4):392- 396.
20. Van Dijk JG, Van Benten I, Kramer CG, Stegeman DF. CMAP amplitude cartography of muscles innervated by median, ulnar, peroneal and tibial nerves. Muscle Nerve. 1999;22(3):378-389.
21. Homma T, Sakai T. Thenar and hypothenar muscles and their innervations by ulnar and median nerves in the human hand. Acta Anat (Basel). 1992; 145(1):44-49
22. Melato HJ, Gardner WU. A study of lumbrical muscles in the human hand. Am J Anat. 1961;109:227-238.
23. McGill KC, Lateva ZC. The contribution of the interosseous muscles to the hypothenar compund muscle action potential. Muscle Nerve. 1999;22(1):6-15.
24. Mumford J, Morecraft R, Blair W. Anatomy of the thenar branch of the median nerve. J Hand Surg. 1987;12:361-365.
25. Olave E, Prates JC, Delsol M, Sarmento A, Gabrielli C. Distribution patterns of the muscular branches of the median nerve in the thenar region. J Anat. 1995;186:441-446.
26. Lo Monaco M, Padua L, Gregori B, Valente EM, Tonali P. Ulnar innervations of the thenar eminence of first lumbrical muscle. Muscle Nerve. 1997;20(5):629-630.

Received: 10-03-2021 // Revised: 30-04-2021 // Accepted: 15-05-2021