

“ A Prospective Study of Clinico- radiological Outcome Assessment in Proximal Femoral Fractures treated with Proximal Femoral Nail”

Dr Rameez R Bukhari¹, Dr Ratnakar Ambade², Dr. Prachi Palsodkar³

¹Junior Resident , Department Of Orthopaedics, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Acharya Vinoba Bhave Rural Hospital , Datta Meghe Institute Of Medical Sciences, Sawangi(M), Wardha;

²Professor , Professor, Department Of Orthopaedics, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College And Acharya Vinoba Bhave Rural Hospital , Datta Meghe Institute Of Medical Sciences , Sawangi(M), Wardha.

³Professor, Electronics Engineering, Yeshwantrao Chavan College of Engineering, Nagpur

Email: ¹maybeitsrameez@gmail.com, ²drambade@hotmail.com,

Abstract

Introduction: Fractures of the proximal femur including the intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric region which are commonly encountered in Orthopaedics especially in elderly population having porotic and weak bones. In elderly these occur even with minimal or trivial trauma ,whereas in the younger population these result from high velocity trauma. These injuries are in association with a high incidence of mortality and morbidity. These injuries were treated conservatively prior to the early 1970's and are now treated totally surgically now. The goal of any treatment in fracture is stable fixation, ultimately leading to early mobilization and decreased incidence of morbidity and mortality. Many surgical interventions like Gamma Nail, Jewet Nail, Condylar plates had been tried but the outcomes were not very satisfactory, so we conducted a study to determine the clinical and radiological outcome in treating such fractures with PFN.

Aim of the Study: Clinical And Radiological Outcome Analysis of Proximal Fractures of femur when Treated with Proximal Femoral Nail

Materials and Methods: A prospective study done in the Department of Orthopaedics at Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College And Acharya Vinoba Bhave Rural Hospital , Datta Meghe Institute Of Medical Sciences ,Sawangi. Patients with Proximal femoral fractures managed with PFN and subsequent follow up at regular intervals for a duration of 1 year. **ExpectedResults:**The functional assessment shall be done on the basis of Modified Harris Hip scoring, and at subsequent followed up radiologically with serial X-rays.

These patients with proximal femoral fractures that include intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric fractures, treated with Proximal Femoral Nail are expected to have a shorter incision and subsequently less intraoperative blood loss than the previously used implants. Patients are expected to bear weight earlier.

Keywords : Proximal Femoral Fractures , Subtrochanteric fractures , Intertrochanteric fractures, Proximal Femoral Nail , Modified Harris Hip Score , Clinico-radiological Outcome

1. INTRODUCTION

There is an increased occurrence of proximal femur fractures ,as population general life expectancy has dramatically increased in recent decade.

In today's world, Proximal femoral fractures are one of the most common causes of morbidity and mortality. The purpose of treating these fractures is early stabilization which allows the patient to be mobilized early. The end goal of any fracture treatment is early recovery and a quick return to daily routine functional life.¹

The weight bearing axis of proximal femur is mainly through the postero-medial cortex ,the load sharing properties of these implants like DHS having hip screw fixation in the inferior cortex shows a relatively lesser stability as compared to the hip screw fixation of the intra-medullary devices, therefore such load sharing implants is not commonly used in management of unstable fractures and they show good results in stable fractures due to their good biomechanical superiority. The failure rate for a DHS is as high as 21 per cent for defective fractures.²

Early operative procedures have become a preferred modality to return to preinjury activity and functions. In order to improve the efficiency of rotational instability was developed, as it bears the load bearing strength. The introduction of PFN in 1997 had shown positive results with relatively lesser intraoperative complications and considerably low post-operative complication rates.

We suspect the clinical superiority of PFN has immense potential advantages and suspected lower complication rates, hence PFN in our system needed to be augmented and further studied. We therefore proposed a prospective study to analyses the Clinicoradiological outcomes of proximal femur fractures surgically managed with Proximal Femoral Nail.

2. MATERIAL & METHODS

Type of study

Observational study

Study Design

It will be a prospective study design. After obtaining ethical clearance from the ethical committee and consent of the patients ,The study shall be conducted between May'2018 to April'2020 in the Department of Orthopaedics at Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College And Acharya Vinoba Bhave Rural Hospital , Datta Meghe Institute Of Medical Sciences ,Sawangi.

Patients who fulfill the criteria will be included in the study.

Participants

Criteria for Inclusion

- 1) Age of the patient >18years
- 2) Patients with Proximal Femoral fracture femur

Criteria for exclusion

- 1) Age of the patient <18years
- 2) Open and Compound Fractures
- 3) Patients who are unable to walk prior to the fracture
- 4) Patients with Pathological Fracture

5) Patients having history of previous surgery on same side of proximal femoral fracture

Sampling Procedure

All the diagnosed patients with intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric femur fractures, are admitted in the Department of Orthopaedics through the casualty of AVBRH and patients who fulfill the inclusive criteria will be subjected in my study.

After initial assessment and Hemodynamically stabilizing all the patients , these patients were subjected for radiological confirmation with X-rays of the involved Bone with AP and Lateral views and also investigated for routine blood levels in planning for management such fractures. Age of the patient, gender of the patient, Classification and ASA grading, mechanism of injury will be recorded preoperatively.

Post operatively patient will be followed up at intervals on 6 weeks , 12 weeks , 24 weeks, and 48 weeks.

At every Follow up patient will be radiologically assessed with X-rays of the operated limb by AP and lateral views and clinical assessment will be done on the basis of pain, Range of Motion, when the patient started weight bearing , return to work . The patient will be clinically and radiologically evaluated at each follow up.

Depending on the clinical and radiological evaluation the functional outcome will be studied.

Sample Size

Sample size of 30(thirty) adult patients with Proximal femoral fractures only skeletally mature patients.

Data Collection Tools And Process

The patients data shall include

- Details of the patient
- Any associated medical history of the patient
- Cause and Classification of each fracture
- Uniting fractures
- Time interval for fracture union
- The intra and early as well as late post-operative complications of the procedure .
- Serial Clinical evaluation of patient.

Investigations

Plain X-Ray of the affected Hip in standard projections(Antero-Posterior and Lateral)

Analysis Plan

Qualitative Variables will be tested using Chi-square test / Fisher's exact test and quantitative variables will be compared by using the unpaired t-test .A P value of lesser than 0.05 will be considered significant. Mater chart will be prepared in Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and will be analysed statistically.

3. EXPECTED RESULTS

In stable as well as unstable proximal femoral fractures including intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric region

It is expected that the clinoradiological outcomes in these patients treated with PFN is expected to be superior in terms of mean intraoperative blood loss, mean duration of operation , early weight bearing and mobilization.

4. DISCUSSION

Surgical fixation in managing the Proximal femur fractures poses a challenging job to the operating surgeon ,as such fractures are technically difficult and prone to failure of fixation due to improper surgical technique.^{1,2}

A few of the common outcome of failed fixation include porotic bone , improper anatomical reduction , mismatched implant size or screw positioning, and fracture instability.

Extramedullary implants are inferior to Cephalomedullary femoral reconstruction nails which are biomechanically stronger. Regulation of axial telescoping and rotational stability are important in unstable fractures . Intramedullary implants that are inserted in a less - invasive surgical manner are better tolerated by the elderly.³

Velasco et al found 63 percent of subtrochanteric fractures were observed in patients aged 51 to over 70 and 24 percent in patients aged 17 to 50. In a 1998 intertrochanteric fractures study by Babst et al, mean age was 79.7 years (39 - 98 years).⁴ Klinger et al (2005) study has patients mean age of 74 years with the age group ranging from 27 to 98 years being treated with either DHS or PFN.⁵

In their research, Simmermacher had a mean length of surgery (skin to skin) of 68.7minutes (range 25 - 240mins).⁶ In their analysis, Wang had averaged 90 min (range 60-155 min) of operating time.⁷

Mechanical complications mainly associated with distal nail locking and intraoperative technical complications of lateral wall fracture of greater trochanter ,being the most common were reported with an average rate of 23.4 percent in 46 patients of the study conducted by Fogagnolo et al.⁸

Kamboj et al reported one case treated with encirclage wiring for trochanteric fractures extending distally .Another patient had suffered with intaoperative shaft fracture and three patients having misplacement of the screw. 30 cases, In one of their case with trochanteric fracture that extended to the diaphysis encirclage wiring was done. One of their patient had suffered from intra operative fracture shaft femur, other three patients had misplacement of the screw. In his study , he included 30 patients out of which ,17 patients achieved successful closed reduction , and remaining patients open reduction attempted to achieve the anatomical reduction.⁹

Pajarinan et al reported in their study ,one case with of heterotropic ossification out of eighty three patients,for which PFN was used.¹⁰

Fogagnolo et al reported in his study of two cases of implant failure and one periprosthetic fracture distal to tip . He also reported two cases of heterotropic ossification that had been

managed with PFN fixation .¹¹

Z-effect was first introduced by Werner et al, which was detected in five (7.1 percent) of their 70 cases. In this study The neck screw cut out rate was 8.6 percent¹²

Boldin et al elaborated the Reverse Z-effect . In their study he reports two cases of reverse Z effect and three cases of Z effect phenomenon, when such patients were surgically managed with PFN for unstable IT and subtrochanteric femur fracture.¹³

In 1997, AO/ASIF developed the proximal femoral nail (PFN) an intramedullary device for the treatment of proximal femoral fractures.

Faisal and Nistane et al(2016) and Singla et al found that PFN had less amount of intra operative blood loss.¹⁴ Presence of systemic disorders like hypertension ^{15,16,17}, diabetes ^{18,19,20} also play a key role in fracture healing and treatment of these underlying entities needs to be addressed properly. Few of the other related studies on bone and joint disorders are available ^{21,22}. Few evidences from Global burden of disease study also reflected on related issues ^{23,24}.

The main advantage of the use of PFN is that only requires shorter exposure and has a lesser possibility of morbidity and operating time.

Babar et al 2011 concluded that PFN has immediate stability and is good with unstable type of intertrochanteric fractures.

Our study is expected to mirror and augment their findings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rubio-Avila J, Madden K, Simunovic N, Bhandari M. Tip to apex distance in femoral intertrochanteric fractures: a systematic review. *Journal of Orthopaedic Science*. 2013 Jul 1;18(4):592-8.
- [2] Sierra RJ, Cabanela ME. Conversion of failed hip hemiarthroplasties after femoral neck fractures. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*®. 2002 Jun 1;399:129-39.
- [3] Baumgaertner MR, Curtin SL, Lindskog DM. Intramedullary versus extramedullary fixation for the treatment of intertrochanteric hip fractures. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*®. 1998 Mar 1;348:87-94.
- [4] Babst R, Renner N, Biedermann M, Rosso R, Heberer M, Harder F, Regazzoni P. Clinical results using the trochanter stabilizing plate (TSP): the modular extension of the dynamic hip screw (DHS) for internal fixation of selected unstable intertrochanteric fractures. *Journal of orthopaedic trauma*. 1998 Aug 1;12(6):392-9.
- [5] Klinger HM, Baums HM, Eckert M, Neugebauer R. A comparative study of unstable per and intertrochanteric femoral fractures with DHS and PFN and TSP. *Zentralbl Chir*. 2005;130(4):301-6.
- [6] Simmermacher RK, Bosch AM, Van der Werken CH. The AO/ASIF-proximal femoral nail (PFN): a new device for the treatment of unstable proximal femoral fractures. *Injury*. 1999 Jun 1;30(5):327-32.
- [7] Wang WY, Yang TF, Yue FA, Lei MM, Wang GL, Lei LI. Treatment of subtrochanteric femoral fracture with long proximal femoral nail antirotation. *Chinese Journal of*

Traumatology (English Edition). 2010 Feb 1;13(1):37-41.

- [8] Fogagnolo F, Kfuri M, Paccola CA. Intramedullary fixation of pertrochanteric hip fractures with the short AO-ASIF proximal femoral nail. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery*. 2004 Feb 1;124(1):31-7.
- [9] Kamboj P, Siwach RC, Kundu ZS, Sangwan S, Walecha P, Singh R. Results of modified proximal femoral nail in peritrochanteric fractures in adults. *Internet J Orthop Surg*. 2007;6(2).
- [10] Pajarinen J, Lindahl J, Michelsson O, Savolainen V, Hirvensalo E. Pertrochanteric femoral fractures treated with a dynamic hip screw or a proximal femoral nail: a randomised study comparing post-operative rehabilitation. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume*. 2005 Jan;87(1):76-81.
- [11] Vos T, Lim SS, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi M, Abbasifard M, et al. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020 Oct;396(10258):1204–22.
- [12] Werner-Tutschku W, Lajtai G, Schmiedhuber G, Lang T, Pirkl C, Orthner E. Intra- and perioperative complications in the stabilization of per- and subtrochanteric femoral fractures by means of PFN. *Der Unfallchirurg*. 2002 Oct;105(10):881.
- [13] Boldin C, Seibert FJ, Fankhauser F, Peicha G, Grechenig W, Szyszkowitz R. The proximal femoral nail (PFN)-a minimal invasive treatment of unstable proximal femoral fractures: a prospective study of 55 patients with a follow-up of 15 months. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica*. 2003 Jan 1;74(1):53-8.
- [14] Faisal M, Nistane P. Proximal Femoral Nailing vs. Dynamic Hip Screw in unstable Intertrochanteric Fracture of Femur—A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research*. 2016;7(10):489-92.
- [15] Papalkar P, Kumar S, Agrawal S, Raisinghani N, Marfani G, Mishra A. Heterotaxy syndrome presenting as severe pulmonary artery hypertension in a young old female: case report. *Official Journal of the Italian Society of Gerontology and Geriatrics*. 2018 Jan 1:59.
- [16] Charan N, Choudhari M, Sonkusale M, Deshpande R. Anesthetic management of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension for pulmonary endarterectomy. *Journal of Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences University*. 2017 Oct 1;12(4):289. https://doi.org/10.4103/jdmimsu.jdmimsu_40_17.
- [17] GaiKwad KB, JoShi NG, Selkar SP. Study of nitrosative stress in ‘Pregnancy Induced Hypertension’. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research: JCDR*. 2017 Mar;11(3):BC06. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/23960.9396>.
- [18] Bhinder HP, Kamble TK. The study of carotid intima-media thickness in prediabetes and its correlation with cardiovascular risk factors. *Journal of Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences University*. 2018 Apr 1;13(2):79. https://doi.org/10.4103/jdmimsu.jdmimsu_58_18.
- [19] Khatib MN, Kirubakaran R, Gaidhane S, Shankar AH, Syed ZQ. Yoga for improving functional capacity, quality of life and cardiovascular outcomes in people with heart failure. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2017 Jul;2017(7). <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012015.pub2>.
- [20] Cladius S, Jadhav U, Ghewade B, Ali S, Dhamgaye T. Study of diabetes mellitus in association with tuberculosis. *Journal of Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences University*. 2017 Apr 1;12(2):143. https://doi.org/10.4103/jdmimsu.jdmimsu_62_17.

- [21] Mundada G, Khan SM, Singhania SK, Gupta V, Singh PK, Khan S. Type-I Monteggia with ipsilateral fracture of distal radius epiphyseal injury: A rare case report. *Annals of African Medicine*. 2017 Jan;16(1):30. https://doi.org/10.4103/aam.aam_55_16.
- [22] Belekar V. A comparative study to evaluate the efficacy of butorphanol as an adjuvant to epidural analgesia for rib fractures. *Journal of Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences University*. 2017 Jul 1;12(3):166. https://doi.org/10.4103/jdmimsu.jdmimsu_105_17.
- [23] Murray CJL, Aravkin AY, Zheng P, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abbasi-Kangevari M, et al. Global burden of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *The Lancet*. 2020 Oct;396(10258):1223–49.
- [24] James SL, Castle CD, Dingels ZV, Fox JT, Hamilton EB, Liu Z, et al. Estimating global injuries morbidity and mortality: methods and data used in the Global Burden of Disease 2017 study. *Inj Prev*. 2020 Oct;26(Supp 1):i125–53.