

# An Incentive Supply Chain Model for Purchaser and Vendor with Deteriorating Products

M. Babu<sup>1</sup>, M. Haj Meeral<sup>2\*</sup>, P. Muniappan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, The Quaide Milleth College for Men, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

<sup>2\*</sup>hajmeeralmubarak@yahoo.com

**Abstract:** *This paper specifies supply chain model for purchaser and vendor with deteriorating products. The point of this model is to decide the ideal qualities for production and non-production circumstance with the end goal that the normal complete expense is limited. The model is fathomed mathematically to get the perfect solution. It is then laid out with the help of numerical examples.*

**Keywords:** *Supply chain, Production, Order size, Deteriorating products.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In real issue, decay of numerous things, for example, synthetic compounds, unstable fluids, blood donation centers, drugs and some different merchandise during capacity period is non-insignificant. In this way, the administration and holding of inventories of decaying things turns into a significant issue for stock supervisors. As of late, numerous specialists/researchers have talked about on inventory models for deteriorating things. In day by day life, the decay of things turns into a typical factor. For the most part, deterioration shows the harms of the items.

Babu et al. [1] made united creation stock model for buyer – trader with amount rebate for fixed life time things. Haj Meeral et al. [2] read an EPQ model for decaying items with spending requirements. Haj Meeral et al. [3] focused on EPQ impetus model for make - purchaser with floor space and stock level constraints.. Hemamalini et al. [4] considered supply model for buyer shipper with screening, organized cost. Khan et al. [5] developed a planned supply chain model with bumbles in quality appraisal and learning in progress. Khanna et al. [6] considered key creation showing for lacking things with defective examination process, redo, and bargains return under two-level trade credit. Muniappan et al. [7] separated a incorporated financial request amount model including stock level and item house limit impediment. Ravithammal et al. [8] developed EOQ stock model using numerical procedure with stock level constraint. Ravithammal et al. [9] made arranging supply chain model for breaking down items. Vediappan et al. [10] incorporated coordination stock model for purchaser – seller with Lagrange Multiplier Technique.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS

In this section, we consider the notations which is similar to M. Ravithammal et al. [9]

Notations

- $D$  Demand rate
- $r_1$  Ordering cost for purchaser
- $r_2$  Setup cost for merchant
- $P$  Production cost
- $Q$  Order size
- $h_b, h_v$  Holding cost for purchaser and merchant
- $C_s$  Screening cost for merchant
- $C_d$  Disposed cost
- $n$  Merchant's multiples of order
- $s$  Shortage cost
- $k$  Purchaser's multiples of order
- $d$  Discount factor
- $p$  Purchase cost
- $u$  Defecting items in percentage
- $v$  Scrap items in percentage

Assumptions

- (i) The model uses consistent demand.
- (ii) Merchant (vendor) takes choice for the harmed items. What's more, purchaser having insufficiency for non-production model.
- (iii) For mass purchase, merchant gives quantity discount to the purchaser for production method. Additionally, for production method purchaser takes choice for the harmed items and has no insufficiency.

**3. MODEL FORMULATION**

Case (i): Non Production Model with Shortages

In this case, the purchaser and merchant cost will be written as

$$TC_b = \frac{r_1 D}{Q} + \frac{h_b Q_1^2}{2Q} + \frac{s(Q - Q_1)^2}{2Q}$$

$$TC_v = \frac{r_2 D}{Q} + \frac{h_v Q}{2} + \frac{uvC_d Q}{2} + \frac{C_s Q}{2} + F + VQ$$

For optimality  $\frac{\partial TC_b}{\partial Q_1} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 TC_b}{\partial Q_1^2} > 0$  and  $\frac{\partial TC_v}{\partial Q} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 TC_v}{\partial Q^2} > 0$

we get,  $Q_1^* = \frac{sQ}{h_b + s}$  and

$$Q^* = \sqrt{\frac{2r_2 D}{C_s + h_v + uvC_d + 2V}}$$

Case (ii): Production Model with no Shortages

For this situation the merchant produced the products and given amount rebate to the purchaser for mass request. So the purchaser having no shortage, because the request quantity is higher than the regular quantity. Now, the purchaser request quantity is  $kQ$  and for merchant is  $nkQ$ .

Here, the purchaser and merchant cost will be composed as

$$TC_{b1} = \frac{r_1 D}{kQ} + \frac{kQ h_b}{2} + \frac{kQ C_s}{2} + \frac{kQ uvC_d}{2} + F + kQV$$

$$TC_{v1} = \frac{r_2 D}{nkQ} + \frac{k(n-1)h_v Q}{2} \left( \frac{P-D}{P} \right) + pDd$$

For optimality  $\frac{\partial TC_{v1}}{\partial Q} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 TC_{v1}}{\partial Q^2} > 0$

$$Q^* = \frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2r_2 D}{n(n-1)h_v \left( \frac{P-D}{P} \right)}}$$

#### 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

**Example 1:** Let  $D = 1000$ ,  $P = 1500$ ,  $R_1 = 200$ ,  $R_2 = 400$ ,  $H_v = 0.3$ ,  $H_b = 0.2$ ,  $C_s = 0.2$ ,  $s = 0.25$ ,  $p = 0.3$ ,  $u = 0.3$ ,  $v = 0.2$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $C_d = 0.1$ ,  $V = 0.2$ ,  $n = 2$ ,  $F = 0.1$ ,  $d(k) = 15\%$ .

The optimal solutions are

Non Production with shortage:  $Q^* = 860.48$ ,  $Q_1^* = 472.49$ ,  $TC_b = 282.40$ ,  $TC_v = 940.83$

Production with no shortage:  $Q^* = 1000$ ,  $kQ^* = 2000$ ,  $TC_{b1} = 1106.2$ ,  $TC_{v1} = 245$ .

**Example 2:** Let  $D = 1000$ ,  $P = 2000$ ,  $R_1 = 200$ ,  $R_2 = 500$ ,  $H_v = 0.03$ ,  $H_b = 0.02$ ,  $p = 0.4$ ,  $u = 0.3$ ,  $C_s = 0.2$ ,  $s = 0.30$ ,  $v = 0.2$ ,  $C_d = 0.2$ ,  $V = 0.3$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $F = 0.2$ ,  $d(k) = 15\%$ .

The optimal solutions are

Non Production with shortage:  $Q^* = 1089.6$ ,  $Q_1^* = 1021.7$ ,  $TC_b = 193.74$ ,  $TC_v = 917.80$

Production with no shortage:  $Q^* = 1667$ ,  $kQ^* = 3333$ ,  $TC_{b1} = 1446$ ,  $TC_{v1} = 160$ .

**Example 3:** Let  $D = 1000$ ,  $P = 2000$ ,  $R_1 = 200$ ,  $R_2 = 500$ ,  $H_v = 0.03$ ,  $H_b = 0.02$ ,  $C_s = 0.2$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $u = 0.3$ ,  $s = 0.30$ ,  $v = 0.2$ ,  $C_d = 0.2$ ,  $p = 0.4$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $F = 0.2$ ,  $V = 0.3$ ,  $d(k) = 25\%$ .

The optimal solutions are

Non Production with shortage:  $Q^* = 1089.6$ ,  $Q_1^* = 1021.7$ ,  $TC_b = 193.74$ ,  $TC_v = 917.80$

Production with no shortage:  $Q^* = 1667$ ,  $kQ^* = 3333$ ,  $TC_{b1} = 1446$ ,  $TC_{v1} = 200$ .

#### 5. CONCLUSION

This paper regulates supply chain model for purchaser and vendor with deteriorating things. In the circumstance of non-production the purchaser having shortages and merchant screened or disposed the harmed things. In the production circumstance the merchant produces the products and gave quantity discount to the purchaser. So in this circumstance the purchaser has no lack in light of the fact that the purchasers request mass amount. The motivation behind this model is to choose the optimum values for production and non-production condition with the ultimate objective that the total cost is restricted. It has been demonstrated that the purchaser's organization size is higher with creation condition than the non-creation situation. The merchant gives request size rebate to the purchaser to remunerate his expanded benefit. We demonstrate that the creation amount markdown methodology can accomplish system optimization. The model is comprehended deductively to given the absolute course of action. It is then spread out with the help of mathematical models.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Babu, M. Ravithammal and P. Muniappan, Centralized Production Inventory Model for Buyer – Vendor with Quantity Discount for Fixed Life Time Products. *Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems*, 11(1), 2019, 288 – 291.
- [2] M. Haj Meeral, M. K. VEDIAPPAN, M. Ravithammal and P. Muniappan, An EPQ model for deteriorating products with budget constraints. *The International journal of analytical and experimental modal analysis*, 11 (10), 2019, 87 – 90.

- [3] M. Haj Meeral, M.K. VEDIAPPAN, P. MUNIAPPAN and G. RASITHA BANU, Epq Incentive Model for Manufacture - Buyer with Floor Space and Inventory Level Constraints. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(4S5), 2019, 87-88.
- [4] S. Hemamalini, M. Ravithammal, and P. Muniappan, EOQ inventory model for buyer-vendor with screening, disposed cost and controllable lead time. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2095, 2019, 030001 – 030010.
- [5] M. Khan, M.Y. Jaber, A.R. Ahmad, An integrated supply chain model with errors in quality inspection and learning in production. *Omega*, 42 (1), 2014, 16–24.
- [6] A. Khanna, A. Kishore, and C. Jaggi, Strategic production modeling for defective items with imperfect inspection process, rework, and sales return under two-level trade credit. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 8(1), 2017, 85-118.
- [7] P. Muniappan, M. Ravithammal and M. Haj Meeral, An Integrated Economic Order Quantity Model Involving Inventory Level and Ware House Capacity Constraint. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 12 (3) 2020, 791-793.
- [8] M. Ravithammal, P. Muniappan and S. Hemamalini, EOQ inventory model using algebraic method with inventory level constraint. *Journal of International Pharmaceutical Research*, 46(1), 2019, 813-815.
- [9] M. Ravithammal, M. Babu, G. Rasitha Banu and P. Muniappan, Coordinating supply chain inventory model for deteriorating products. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(4S5), 2019, 89-91.
- [10] M.K. VEDIAPPAN, M. RAVITHAMMAL and P. MUNIAPPAN, Integrated Coordination Inventory Model for Buyer – Vendor Using Lagrange Multiplier Technique. *Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems*, 2019; 11 (1): 283 – 287.