Original research article

An observational study aimed to determine the function of Hs CRP in neonatal sepsis

Dr. Bipin Kumar Verma¹, Dr. Prem Prakash²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Jannayak Karpoori Thakur Medical College and Hospital, Madhepura, Bihar, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Jannayak Karpoori Thakur Medical College and Hospital, Madhepura, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author: Dr. Prem Prakash

Abstract

Aims: The present study is carried to determine the function of Hs CRP in neonatal sepsis. **Methods:** This prospective observational study was carried out in the Department of Pediatrics, Jannayak Karpoori Thakur Medical College and Hospital ,Madhepura, Bihar, India for 1 year. Each paediatric patient who came to our Hospital was initially categorized according to age till 2 months of age. Neonates were graded according to the signs and symptoms of FIMNCI. FIMNCI considers bacterial infections in young infants when signs or symptoms of sepsis, pneumonia or meningitis are present. 2 ml of blood was again collected from the corresponding patient in a plain bulb under all aseptic precautions. The sample was then sent laboratory for CRP testing.

Results: Out of 110, 100 cases were successfully followed up to 48 hours as was aimed at the beginning of the study and 10 cases failed to complete a 48 hour study period due to various reasons. Out of 100 newborns studied, 65 were male and 35 were female babies. Clinical improvement was assessed by hemodynamic profile, absence of presenting complaint/s and ability to tolerate feed and absence of blood culture positivity of first culture. 30 babies improved clinically, whereas 37 babies had almost similar clinical profile. 33 babies showed clinical downward status in spite of starting empirical antibiotics and supportive treatment. Surprisingly, majority of babies had shown positivity in HS-CRP at admission (90/100) as well as after 48 hours (94/100). It seems that Hs CRP is very sensitive indicator for neonatal sepsis. Neonates were further categorised in 3 groups depending on clinical improvement and fall or rise of Hs CRP level was studied. It was seen that Hs CRP levels have decreased in group which had shown clinical improvement, however in both other groups it was showing rise. We have calculated independent t-value also for each group and it was seen that change in Hs CRP values after 48 hours was significant.

Conclusion: Hs CRP levels were found to be higher in all cases of suspected neonatal sepsis in the sample. They were also elevated 48 hours later in neonates that had aged or stayed clinically the same. They were, however, slightly lower in neonates that showed clinical progress.

Keywords: C-reactive protein (CRP), Hs CRP, IMNCI, Neonatal sepsis.

Introduction

C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute-phase reactant protein of hepatic origin that increases following interleukin-6 secretion by macrophages and T cells. CRP is synthesised by the liver additionally in response to factors released by macrophages and fat cells (adipocytes). Its levels in the body rise or fall in response to various pathological and physiological conditions. CRP is used mainly as a marker of inflammation and infection. Its production and corresponding levels in the body maybe seen abnormally low in certain cases like liver

failure. There are only a few known factors that interfere with CRP production. 1 Interferon alpha inhibits CRP production from liver cells which may explain the relatively low levels of CRP found during viral infections compared to bacterial infections. CRP is the most sensitive of the acute-phase proteins, with levels rising as much as 1,000-fold during the acute inflammatory processes. It is considered one of the important leading factors for neonatal mortality and morbidity, particularly in developing countries. Consequently, early diagnosis is very important as it helps in beginning antibiotic therapy early, reducing neonatal mortality.² the use of conventional blood culture for the isolation of microorganisms is still the gold standard method for diagnosis. However, blood culture can detect the pathogen in only 25% of cases, so it has low sensitivity. It gives false negative results, especially due to the use of antibiotics. Also, it may give false-positive results due to contamination. In addition, these cultures have a time delay for 2-3 days, and it is difficult to get serial blood samples from neonates.^{2,3} In addition to blood culture, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of highly conserved DNA sequences found in all bacteria would permit rapid and sensitive detection of bacteria in blood specimens. But its results do not depend on the bacterial viability and so it may still be positive even after antibiotic treatment.⁴ Suspected neonates are subjected to broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment empirically until sepsis can be excluded.) is antibiotic overuse favors the development of resistance.⁵ So, improving the accuracy of the diagnostic tests may decrease the indiscriminative use of antibiotics in cases without sepsis. 6 Recent several studies have included many sepsis markers, like C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), and interleukin-6 (IL-6) to improve sepsis diagnosis.^{3,6} C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute-phase protein synthesized in the liver in response to infection or inflammation. It may be more useful in excluding infections in suspected cases and enable earlier discontinuation of antibiotics.)it may be cost-effective, decreasing the development of resistance and hospital stay duration. Moreover, neonatal sepsis is a challenging problem indeed, and physicians are always in need of methods of prediction and early diagnosis of sepsis to initiate therapy as rapidly as possible to decrease the negative impact on the patient's health and therefore decrease the duration of hospital stay and costs. The present study is carried to ascertain usefulness of estimation of CRP with technologically better method called High Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein (Hs CRP) estimation, in the spectrum of infection, ranging from infection to septic shock. The age group included is from birth to 2 months of age. An effort has been made to correlate values of Hs CRP with respect to severity of infection.

Material and methods

This prospective observational study was carried out in the department of Pediatrics, Jannayak Karpoori Thakur Medical College and Hospital , Madhepura, Bihar, India for 1 year, after taking the approval of the protocol review committee and institutional ethics committee.

Inclusion criteria:

- Newborns and children upto 2 months of completed age and admitted in paediatric department.
- Suspicion of possible infections in newborns
- Admission to NICU/PICU/WARD for further evaluation.

Exclusion criteria:

- Newborns and children with definitive viral and fungal infections at admission.
- Newborns and children with MODS
- Children with known immunodeficiency,

• Autoimmune disorders

Methodology

Each paediatric patient who came to our Hospital was initially categorized according to age till 2 months of age. Neonates were graded according to the signs and symptoms of FIMNCI. FIMNCI considers bacterial infections in young infants when signs or symptoms of sepsis, pneumonia or meningitis are present.

For our study, following signs and symptoms were considered for possible infections in neonates to enrol patients: unable to feed, fast breathing (RR >60/min) severe retractions, lethargic or unconsciousness, bulging fontanelle, convulsions, nasal flaring, Grunting, less than normal movements, axillary temperature 37.5 C or above; or less than 35.5 C, Painful joints, joint swelling, reduced movements around a particular joint and irritability, many skin pustules/ big boils, Umbilical redness extending to the periumbilical skin or umbilicus draining pus, Meningitis is considered in neonates if one or more of the following signs are present: drowsiness, lethargy or unconsciousness, persistent irritability and high pitched cry. The high sensitivity application was used for hsCRP testing.

2 ml of blood was again collected from the corresponding patient in a plain bulb under all aseptic precautions. The sample was then sent laboratory for CRP testing. This sample was labelled as the 2 nd Hs CRP sample. It was run on the same machine to avoid technological bias. The 2nd Hs CRP value was noted too.

Results

A total 110 cases were enrolled in the study in which infection was suspected at the time of admission based on the category in IMNCI/FIMNCI for suspicion of infection. Out of these, 100 cases were successfully followed up to 48 hours as was aimed at the beginning of the study and 10 cases failed to complete a 48 hour study period due to various reasons. Out of 100 newborns studied, 65 were male and 35 were female babies. Clinical improvement was assessed by hemodynamic profile, absence of presenting complaint/s and ability to tolerate feed and absence of blood culture positivity of first culture. 30 babies improved clinically, whereas 37 babies had almost similar clinical profile. 33 babies showed clinical downward status in spite of starting empirical antibiotics and supportive treatment.

Surprisingly, majority of babies had shown positivity in HS-CRP at admission (90/100) as well as after 48 hours (94/100). Mean and median of all babies as shown in Table 3 was not conclusive about severity of infection and CRP values. It seems that Hs CRP is very sensitive indicator for neonatal sepsis.

Neonates were further categorised in 3 groups depending on clinical improvement and fall or rise of Hs CRP level was studied. It was seen that Hs CRP levels have decreased in group which had shown clinical improvement, however in both other groups it was showing rise.

We have calculated independent t-value also for each group and it was seen that change in Hs CRP values after 48 hours was significant.

Table 1: Gender distribution of patients

Gender	No. of patients =100	Percentage
Male	65	65
Female	35	35

Table 2 Clinical condition after 48 hr

Improved	30	30
Same	37	37
Deteriorated	33	33

Table 3: Clinical characteristics and values of HSCRP

Positive on admission	90
POSITIVE after 48 hr	94
At admission / 48hrs(n=100)	
Mean	61.4/66.2
Mode	39.2/75.2
Median	56.4/62.7
Standard deviation (admission /48hours)	38.12/25.88
hsCRP comparison against age	1.487
Paired t-test value (p-value)	(0.171)

Table 4: Comparison of HSCRP in Same, Deteriorated &Improved group

•	Mean At	Median At	-
	admission/48hours	admission/48hours	
Same	29.87/45.21	27.12/42.62	
Deteriorated	51.87/75.52	50.11/83.11	
Improved	80.31/54.41	75.9/51.21	
Standard deviation	31.02/40.06	29.11/35.12	
(admission /48hours)			
	Same	Deteriorated	Improved
Mean (rise/ fall)	Rise	Rise	Fall
Median (rise/ fall)	Rise	Rise	Fall
Standard deviation (rise/ fall)	Rise	Rise	Fall
Average fall /rise	Rise	Rise	
change in hsCRP values after 48	p- value 0.001	Significant	
hours			

Discussion

In our study, total 100 cases were enrolled in the study in which infection was suspected at the time of admission based on the category in IMNCI/FIMNCI for suspicion of infection. Out of these, 100 cases were successfully followed up to 48 hours to find the correlation of Hs CRP with clinical condition of the patient. Prior studies have associated acute increase in CRP (on the order of 10–200 mg/dL) as a marker of sepsis illness severity as well as predicted of sepsis outcomes. ⁸⁻¹⁰ For example, in a series of 50 critically ill sepsis patients, Schmit, et al. observed that CRP on admission was 16.7±10.6 mg/dL and that the magnitude of CRP decrease was associated with response to antimicrobial therapy. ¹¹

Povoa ,Schmit and colleagues continued their longstanding work on C-reactive protein (CRP) kinetics by evaluating the patterns of evolution of CRP in patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). ^{12,13}In a study conducted by LOBO SM et al., CRP is an acute-phase protein synthesized by the liver after stimulus by cytokines and its serum levels increase markedly within hours after the onset of infection, inflammation or tissue injury. Decreasing plasma concentrations of this biomarker have been used as an indicator for resolution of infection or sepsis. ¹⁴ Coelho et al. studied 891 intensive care unit patients with community-acquired sepsis, observing a mean hospital admission CRP level of 20.1±13.9 mg/dL and finding association between rates of CRP decline and hospital survival. ¹⁵A time-dependent analysis was performed and CRP ratios were calculated daily in relation to the CRP concentration on day 0, considered equal to 1. They showed that survivors of CAP had a continuous decrease of the CRP ratio during the first week of antibiotic therapy. ¹³Along with cases showing a clinical improvement in our study, 20 cases showed a fall in Hs CRP values

after 48 hours. Hence a decreasing trend of Hs CRP was seen in majority of cases from admission to 48 hours of admission. Only patients with severe sepsis failed to show a significant change in Hs CRP values i.e. 29.57%.

The secretion of CRP begins within 4–6 h of the stimulus, doubling every 8 h and peaking at 36–50 h. With a very intense stimulus, the CRP concentration can rise above 500 mg/l, i.e. more than 1000 times the reference value. ^{16,17} After disappearance or removal of the stimulus, CRP falls rapidly, as it has a half-life of 19 h. However, CRP can remain elevated, even for very long periods, if the underlying cause of the elevation persists. With the exception of severe hepatic failure, CRP rises whenever an inflammatory process is present; its serum concentration only depends on the intensity of the stimulus and on the rate of synthesis. The CRP level is independent of the underlying pathology and is not modified by any therapy or intervention such as renal replacement therapy. Only those interventions affecting the inflammatory process responsible for the acute phase reaction can change the CRP level.

In a study by Suprin et. al., mean values were 70 mg/l in systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) patients, 98 mg/l in sepsis, 145 mg/l in severe sepsis and 173 mg/l in septic shock, probably reflecting different degrees of inflammatory response.¹⁶

Our study also is similar values at admission, but as shown in above discussion it can be deduced that fall or rise documentation was not important in all groups and clinical acumen is more important. In fact, it is not worthy to prick the child frequently to show that child has deteriorated or improved.

Recent study by Nagwan I. Rashwan et al states that CRP could be a helpful prognostic marker in late onset neonatal sepsis. Hs CRP and PCT have higher diagnostic accuracy in neonatal sepsis in comparison to other studied markers. Both IL-6 and presepsin have equal diagnostic utility in neonatal sepsis, but presepsin could be helpful diagnostic marker in early onset neonatal sepsis. ¹⁸In a study for Evaluation of IL-6, CRP and Hs-CRP as Early Markers of Neonatal Sepsis by Purushothaman Ganesan et al in India it was concluded that IL-6 is a highly sensitive marker and CRP is a more specific marker for the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. Hs-CRP is a less reliable marker. So, the combination of IL-6 and CRP are the better predictors of neonatal sepsis. However, the sample size of this study was only 40, so may have biased reporting. However, it is noteworthy that in this study, Hs CRP showed sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 34.24%. ¹⁹In extensive work done by William E. Benitz et al Serial Serum C-Reactive Protein Levels in the Diagnosis of Neonatal Infection, it was observed that Serial CRP levels are useful in the diagnostic evaluation of neonates with suspected infection. Two CRP levels < 1 mg/dL obtained 24 hours apart, 8 to 48 hours after presentation, indicate that bacterial infection is unlikely. The sensitivity of a normal CRP at the initial evaluation is not sufficient to justify withholding antibiotic therapy. The positive predictive value of elevated CRP levels is low, especially for culture-proven early-onset infections. This was very old study of 1998 and since now Hs CRP has altered the values but principle remains same.²⁰

In our study also similar results are found and it can be concluded that serial Hs CRP may not be helpful if it is already high initially.

Conclusion

Hs CRP levels were shown to be higher in all cases of possible neonatal sepsis in the sample. They were also elevated 48 hours later in neonates that had aged or stayed clinically the same. They were, however, slightly lower in neonates that showed clinical progress.

Reference

1. Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM. "C-reactive protein: a critical update". The Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2003 Jun 111 (12): 1805 12.

2. Ranjan R, Jerupula S, and Bhagwani D K, "Procalcitonin and CRP markers in neonatal sepsis," International Journal of Scientific Research.2019;8(2).

- 3. Rashwan N I, Hassan M H, Mohey El-Deen Z M, and . Ahmed A E, "Validity of biomarkers in screening for neonatal sepsis-a single center-hospital based study," Pediatrics & Neonatology.2019;60(2):149–155.
- 4. Draz N I, Taha S E, Abou Shady N M, and Abdel Ghany Y S, "Comparison of broad range 16S rDNA PCR to conventional blood culture for diagnosis of sepsis in the newborn," Egyptian Journal of Medical Human Genetics. 2013;14(4):403–411
- 5. Al-Zahrani A K, Ghonaim M M, Hussein Y M, Eed E M, Khalifa A S, and Dorgham L S, "Evaluation of recent methods versus conventional methods for diagnosis of earlyonset neonatal sepsis," :The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries.2015;9(4):388–393.
- 6. Ruan L, G. Chen G Y, Liu Z et al., ")the combination of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein or presepsin alone improves the accuracy of diagnosis of neonatal sepsis: a metaanalysis and systematic review," Critical Care2018:22(1):316.
- 7. Brown J V, Meader N, Wright KCleminson J, and McGuire W, "Assessment of Creactive protein diagnostic test accuracy for late-onset infection in newborn infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis," JAMA Pediatrics.2020:174(3):260–268.
- 8. Henry E. Wang, Nathan I. Shapiro, Monika M. Safford, Russell Griffin, High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein and Risk of SepsisPLoS One. 2013; 8(7): e69232.
- 9. Weinberg G, Powell K. Laboratory aids for diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. In: Remington J, Klein J, editors. Infectious Diseases of the Fetus and Newborn Infant. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders; 2001;44:1327.
- 10. Jaye DL, Waites KB. Clinical applications of C-reactive protein in pediatrics. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1997; 16:735 46.
- 11. Laborada G, Rego M, Jain A, Guliano M, Stavola J, Ballabh P, et al: Diagnostic value of cytokines and C-reactive protein in the first 24 h of neonatal sepsis. Am J Perinatol 2003; 20:491 501.
- 12. Povoa P. C-reactive protein: a valuable marker of sepsis, Intensive Care Med , 2002, vol. 28; 235-4
- 13. Schmit X, Vincent JL (2008) The time course of blood C-reactive protein concentrations in relation to the response to initial antimicrobial therapy in patients with sepsis. Infection 36: 213 219
- 14. Lobo SM. Sequential C-reactive protein measurements in patients with serious infections: does it help? Critical care. 2012;16:130.
- 15. Coelho LM, Salluh JL, Soares M, Bozza F, Verdeal JCR, Castro-Faria-Neto HC, Lapa e Silva JR, Bozza PT, Póvoa P: Patterns of C-reactive protein RATIO response in severe community-acquired pneumonia: a cohort study. Crit Care 2012, 16: R53. 10.1186/cc11291
- 16. Suprin E, Camus C, Gacouin A, Le Tulzo Y, Lavoue S, Feuillu A, Thomas R (2000) Procalcitonin: a valuable indicator of infection in a medical ICU? Intensive Care Med 26:1232 1238
- 17. Nuntnarumit P, Pinkaew O, Kitiwanwanich S. Predictive values of serial C-reactive protein in neonatal sepsis. J Med AssocThai2002;85:4:S1151
- 18. Nagwan I. Rashwan ,Mohammed H. Hassan,Zeinab M. Mohey El Deen Ahmed El-Abd Ahmed Validity of biomarkers in screening for neonatal sepsis A single center hospital based study Pediatrics and Neonatology .2019;60(2):149-155.
- 19. Purushothaman Ganesan, Priyadarshini Shanmugam, Shameem Banu Abdul Sattar, and Shenbaga Lalitha Shankar Evaluation of IL-6, CRP and hs-CRP as Early Markers of Neonatal Sepsis, J Clin Diagn Res. 2016 May; 10(5): DC13 DC17.

4252

20. William E. Benitz; Michael Y. Han; Ashima Madan; and Pramela Ramachandra, in Serial Serum C-Reactive Protein Levels in the Diagnosis of Neonatal Infection . Pediatrics 1998;102(4).

Received: 17-09-2020 Revised: 02-10-2020 Accepted: 27-10-2020