# AN INCENTIVE EOQ INVENTORY MODEL WITH PRICE DISCOUNT 

M. Babu ${ }^{1}$ and R. Kamali ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology \& Advanced Studies, Chennai - 600117, Tamil Nadu, India. mbabu5689@gmail.com<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology \& Advanced Studies, Chennai - 600117, Tamil Nadu, India. kamali_1883@yahoo.co.in


#### Abstract

This article looks at the problem of a supplier placing an order and providing a consumer a discount. This study's objective is to develop a decision-making procedure that will assist retailers in selecting between a regular order policy and a special order policy. The three possibilities in the created model are the optimal special order quantity is calculated with both regular and additional quantity benefits, while the optimal special order quantity is determined only with additional quantity benefits and the optimal order quantity is computed without taking advantage of price savings. This study finds the ideal solution, illustrates the theoretical conclusions using a variety of numerical examples, and then runs a sensitivity analysis of the ideal solution with respect to the key parameters.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In reality, if a supplier encounters significant market saturation, an unexpected overflow of inventory, or a change in the product's manufacturing run, he or she may offer customers a special price discount to acquire a special amount. Retailers are encouraged by the discount to place larger orders and give discounts to customers in order to boost demand and profits.

Heuristics for sourcing from several providers with different quantity discounts were examined by Burke et al. in 2008. The ideal order size was created by Leopoldo Eduardo

Cardenas-Barron et al. (2010) to benefit from a one-time discount deal with permitted backorders. EOQ model with deterioration factor and all-units discount was taken into consideration by Limansyah et al. in 2020. Inventory model with price-sensitive demand and quantity discount was developed by Lin and Ho (2011). Muniappan et al. (2018) described a manufacturing inventory model with partial backlog shortages for products that are deteriorating. Muniappan et al. in 2020 took into account an integrated economic order quantity model with inventory level and storage capacity restrictions. The coordination of a three-level supply chain with quantity discount was researched by Munson and Rosenblatt (2001).

In a periodic review inventory model with a backorder price discount, Ouyang et al. (2005) looked at how lead time and ordering costs could be reduced in a mutually exclusive manner. In the discounted EOQ model, Porteus (1989) created investing in new parameter values. With price-sensitive demand and volume discount, Qin et al. (2007) created coordination inventory model. An inventory model for degrading goods with a positive exponential function of price discount rate of demand was studied by Ravithammal et al. (2018). In a controllable lead time inventory model, Sarkar et al. (2015) created quality improvement and backorder price discounts. For inventory policies, Shah et al. (2018) looked into quantity discounts and price-sensitive stock-dependent demand. Modeling quantity discounts under general price-sensitive demand functions was encouraged by Weng et al. (1995). Yang et al. (2004) looked at a price-sensitive demand quantity discount pricing method for degrading goods. The bi-ramp type demand and price discount inventory model for degrading goods was created by Zhou et al. (2012).

## 2. NOTATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS

The model uses the following notations and assumptions.

### 2.1 Notations

d Demand rate/ units/ time
$r_{1} \quad$ Buyer's ordering cost / order /unit
$h_{1} \quad$ Buyer's unit carrying cost /unit, $h_{1}=C i$
$i \quad$ Inventory carrying cost rate
C Product unit cost/unit
$u \quad$ Percentage of defecting items /unit
$v \quad$ Percentage of scrap items /unit
$d_{c} \quad$ Disposed cost / unit
$S_{c} \quad$ Buyer's unit screening cost / unit
Q Economic Order Quantity
$Q^{\prime} \quad$ Special Order Quantity
$Z \quad$ Purchase additional units over $Q$ to benefit from the discount
$\alpha \quad$ A predetermined discount offered by the supplier

### 2.2 Assumptions

$>$ The planning horizon is one year, and demand is consistent and predictable.
$>$ In case I, buyer did not use benefit of the price discount and continues to buy normal units.
> In case II, all units are eligible for the discount, but in case III, the additional units are the only ones eligible for the discount.

## 3. MODEL FORMULATION

The three cases addressed in this section are as follows. The buyer decides to continue buying standard units despite not taking advantage of the discounted cost, as shown in Case I. In case II, the customer places a special order quantity to receive both normal and additional units, whereas in case III, the buyer places a special purchase quantity to receive only additional units.

## Case - I: Normal Order Quantity with no discount

The total cost for buyer contains as following cost
$T C_{b}=$ Ordering cost + Carrying cost + Screening cost + Disposed cost + Purchasing cost

$$
\text { i.e., } T C_{b}=\frac{r_{1} d}{Q}+\frac{h_{1} Q}{2}+\frac{s_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}+C d
$$

For optimality $\frac{\partial T C_{b}}{\partial Q}=0$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{TC}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\partial \mathrm{Q}^{2}}>0$ we get,
$Q=\sqrt{\frac{2 d r_{1}}{h_{1}+S_{c}+u v d_{c}}}$

## Case -II: Special Order Quantity with discount for normal and additional units

The total cost for buyer is contains as following cost
$T C_{b 1}=$ Ordering cost + Carrying cost + Screening cost + Disposed cost + Purchasing cost

$$
\text { i.e., } \begin{aligned}
T C_{b 1}= & r_{1}+r_{1}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{Q}\right]+\frac{h_{1}}{2 d}[1-\alpha][Q+Z]^{2}+\frac{h_{1} Q}{2}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{d}\right]+\frac{s_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{s_{c} Q}{2}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{d}\right]+ \\
& \frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{d}\right]+C[1-\alpha][Q+Z]+C[d-Q-Z]
\end{aligned}
$$

By accepting the offer, this difference shows the savings that will be made.

$$
D(Z)=T C_{b}-T C_{b 1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{h_{1}}{2 d}[\alpha-1] Z^{2}+\left[\frac{r_{1}}{Q}-\frac{h_{1 Q} Q}{2 d}+\frac{h_{1 \alpha Q}}{d}+\frac{s_{c} Q}{2 d}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2 d}+C \alpha\right] Z+\frac{h_{1} \alpha Q^{2}}{2 d}-\frac{S_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{S_{c} Q^{2}}{2 d}- \\
& \frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q^{2}}{2 d}+C \alpha Q
\end{aligned}
$$

$D^{\prime}(Z)=\frac{h_{1}}{d}[\alpha-1] Z+\frac{r_{1}}{Q}-\frac{h_{1 Q}}{2 d}+\frac{h_{1 \alpha Q}}{d}+\frac{S_{c} Q}{2 d}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2 d}+C \alpha$
$D^{\prime \prime}(Z)=\frac{h_{1}}{d}[\alpha-1]$
For Optimality $D^{\prime}(Z)=0$ and $D^{\prime \prime}(Z)>0$, we get additional units

$$
Z=\left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right]\left[Q+\frac{C d}{h_{1}}\right]+\frac{1}{[1-\alpha]}\left[\frac{2 d r_{1}+S_{c} Q^{2}+u v d_{c} Q^{2}}{2 h_{1} Q}-\frac{Q}{2}\right]
$$

Therefore, The special order quantity $Q^{\prime}=Q+Z$

## Case -III: Special Order Quantity with discount for additional units only

The total cost for buyer is contains as following cost
$T C_{b 2}=$ Ordering cost + Carrying cost + Screening cost + Disposed cost + Purchasing cost

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { i.e., } \quad T C_{b 2}= & r_{1}+r_{1}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{Q}\right]+\frac{h_{1}}{d}[1-\alpha] Q Z+h_{1}[1-\alpha]\left[\frac{Z}{2}\right]\left[\frac{Z}{d}\right]+\frac{h_{1} Q}{2}\left[\frac{d-Z}{d}\right]+\frac{s_{c} Q}{2}+ \\
& \frac{s_{c} Q}{2}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{d}\right]+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}\left[\frac{d-Q-Z}{d}\right]+C[1-\alpha] Z+C[d-Z]
\end{aligned}
$$

By accepting the offer, this difference shows the savings that will be made.
$D(Z)=T C_{b}-T C_{b 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{h_{1}}{2 d}[\alpha-1] Z^{2}+\left[\frac{r_{1}}{Q}-\frac{h_{1 Q}}{2 d}+\frac{h_{1 \alpha Q}}{d}+\frac{S_{c} Q}{2 d}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2 d}+C \alpha\right] Z-\frac{S_{c} Q}{2}+\frac{S_{c} Q^{2}}{2 d}-\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2}+ \\
& \frac{u v d_{c} Q^{2}}{2 d}
\end{aligned}
$$

$D^{\prime}(Z)=\frac{h_{1}}{d}[\alpha-1] Z+\frac{r_{1}}{Q}-\frac{h_{1 Q}}{2 d}+\frac{h_{1 \alpha Q}}{d}+\frac{S_{c} Q}{2 d}+\frac{u v d_{c} Q}{2 d}+C \alpha$
$D^{\prime \prime}(Z)=\frac{h_{1}}{d}[\alpha-1]$
For Optimality $D^{\prime}(Z)=0$ and $D^{\prime \prime}(Z)>0$, we get additional unit
$Z=\left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right]\left[Q+\frac{C d}{h_{1}}\right]+\frac{1}{[1-\alpha]}\left[\frac{2 d r_{1}+S_{c} Q^{2}+u v d_{c} Q^{2}}{2 h_{1} Q}-\frac{Q}{2}\right]$

Therefore, The special order quantity $Q^{\prime}=Q+Z$

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

## Example 1:

Let $d=7000, r_{1}=12000, i=0.2, C=3, S_{c}=0.02, \alpha=0.04, u=0.2$,
$v=0.3, d_{c}=4$.
The Optimal Solution is $Q=44198, T C_{b}=2.4271 \times 10^{5}, Z=16425, Q^{\prime}=60623$,
$T C_{b 1}=2.4068 \times 10^{5}, T C_{b 2}=2.4632 \times 10^{5}$.

## Example 2:

Let $d=12000, r_{1}=500, i=0.1, C=3, S_{c}=0.05, \alpha=0.01, u=0.02$, $v=0.03, d_{c}=2$.

The Optimal Solution is $Q=5845, T C_{b}=3.8049 \times 10^{4}, Z=1271, Q^{\prime}=7116$,
$T C_{b 1}=3.7898 \times 10^{4}, T C_{b 2}=3.8078 \times 10^{4}$.

## Sensitive Analysis

The sensitivity analysis typically takes each restriction separately while holding the additional limit constant. The results are displayed in Table 1.

## Table 1: Effects of Changes

| Decision <br> Variables | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Cost / } \\ \text { Unit } \end{array}$ | $Q$ | $T C_{b}$ | Z | $Q^{\prime}$ | $T C_{b 1}$ | $T C_{b 2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d$ | 50000 | 37354 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 1.7764 & x \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 11973 | 49327 | $1.7538 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8020 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 60000 | 40920 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.1028 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 14205 | 55125 | $2.0815 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.1340 \\ 10^{5} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | x |
|  | 70000 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline 2.4271 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 80000 | 47250 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.7497 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 18635 | 65885 | $2.7303 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7904 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 90000 | 50116 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 3.0709 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20838 | 70954 | $3.0523 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.1158 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| $r_{1}$ | 8000 | 36088 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.3671 & x \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16087 | 52175 | $2.3553 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.4009 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 10000 | 40347 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.3986 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16264 | 56612 | $2.3827 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4339 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 12000 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 14000 | 47740 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4533 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16572 | 64312 | $2.4284 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4896 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 16000 | 51036 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4777 & x \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16710 | 67746 | $2.4480 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2.5137 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | x |
| $i$ | 0.1 | 54772 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.3410 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 31449 | 86221 | $2.2696 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3379 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.15 | 48644 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.3870 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 21471 | 70115 | $2.3502 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4116 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.2 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 & x \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.25 | 40784 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4630 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 13366 | 54150 | $2.4521 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5046 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.3 | 38056 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.4958 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 11308 | 49364 | $2.4908 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5402 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| C | 2 | 50452 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 1.6724 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1668 | 67138 | $1.6691 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1.7124 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | x |
|  | 3 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 & x \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 4 | 39811 | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline 3.1742 & x \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16242 | 56053 | $3.1385 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.2058 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |


|  | 5 | 36515 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.9163 \quad \mathrm{x} \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | 16105 | 52620 | $3.8663 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9432 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 33922 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 4.6546 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 15997 | 49918 | $4.5914 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6768 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| $S_{c}$ | 0.01 | 44458 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4245 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16436 | 60893 | $2.4042 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4610 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.02 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.03 | 43944 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4296 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16414 | 60358 | $2.4094 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4655 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.04 | 43693 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4321 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16404 | 60097 | $2.4120 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4677 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.05 | 43447 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4345 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16394 | 59841 | $2.4146 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4700 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| $\alpha$ | 0.04 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4632 x \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 0.05 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 20747 | 64946 | $2.3792 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4497 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.06 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 25162 | 69360 | $2.3499 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4345 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.07 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 29671 | 73869 | $2.3188 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4175 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.08 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 34278 | 78467 | $2.2859 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3987 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| $u$ | 0.05 | 49705 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4237 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16654 | 66359 | $2.3590 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4229 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.1 | 47647 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4240 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16569 | 64216 | $2.3751 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4362 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.15 | 45826 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4254 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16493 | 62318 | $2.3911 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4496 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.2 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.25 | 42733 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4290 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16364 | 59097 | $2.4224 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4768 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| $v$ | 0.2 | 46410 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4249 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16517 | 62927 | $2.3858 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4451 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.25 | 45263 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4259 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16469 | 61733 | $2.3963 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4542 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 0.3 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 \\ 10^{5} \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |


|  | 0.35 | 43205 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.4284 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16384 | 59588 | $2.4172 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4723 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.4 | 42276 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4298 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16345 | 58621 | $2.4275 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4813 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
| $d_{c}$ | 1 | 49705 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4231 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16654 | 66359 | $2.3590 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4229 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 2 | 47647 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.4240 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16569 | 64216 | $2.3751 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4362 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 3 | 45826 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4254 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16493 | 62318 | $2.3911 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4496 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |
|  | 4 | 44198 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.4271 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16425 | 60623 | $2.4068 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4632 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | X |
|  | 5 | 42733 | $\begin{array}{ll} 2.4290 & \mathrm{x} \\ 10^{5} & \end{array}$ | 16364 | 59097 | $2.4224 \times 10^{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4768 \\ & 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | x |

Fig 1: Effect of changes when $r_{1}$ increases



Fig 3: Effect of changes when $i$ increases



Fig 5: Effect of changes when $S_{c}$ increases


Fig 6: Effect of changes when $\alpha$ increases


Fig 7: Effect of changes when u increases




## CONCLUSION

This research proposes a price-discounted incentive EOQ inventory model. Retailers can choose between a regular order policy and a special order policy with the help of the established model. In this study, the ideal solution is found, the theoretical results are shown with a variety of numerical examples, and finally the ideal solution is subjected to a
sensitivity analysis with respect to the important factors. Finally, the outcome shows that it is more advantageous for the buyer to determine special order quantity with both ordinary and excess amount. Examining diverse types of demand, shortages, and a range of items are some possibilities for future studies.
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