Drug Induced Gingival Overgrowth : A review

- Dr. Komal Bhombe, PG student, Department of Periodontics, Sharad Pawar Dental College Sawangi (Meghe), Datta Meghe Institute Of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Wardha, Maharashtra, India Pin- 442001 Email ID- kbhombe@gmail.com; Contact no. – 8805259554
- Dr. Vidya S. Baliga, Professor, Department of Periodontics, Sharad Pawar Dental College Sawangi (Meghe), Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Wardha, Maharashtra State, India Email id- <u>baligavs@gmail.com;</u> Phone number- 9923604066
- Dr. Akanksha Nibudey, PG student, Department of periodontics, Sharad Pawar Dental College Sawangi (Meghe), Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Wardha, Maharashtra State, India Email id – akankshanibudey0@gmail.com; Phone Number – 8698577631

Review Article

Conflict of Interest: None

Abstract:

Pharmacological drug therapies are frequently associated with undesirable side effects. When considering the periodontal aspects, Drug Induced Gingival Overgrowth (DIGO) is a one such adverse effect. The first case of gingival overgrowth (previously known as hyperplasia) was reported by Kimball (1939) following chronic phenytoin therapy. Since then such proliferative lesions have been reported associated with several other groups of drugs namely Phenytoin (PHT) among the anti- epileptics, Cyclosporine (CsA) amongst immunosuppressants and various Calcium Channel Blockers (CCBs). The condition gradually leads to complications like pain, gingival disfigurement (aesthetic concerns) and difficulty in maintaining oral hygiene measures.

Management of this condition has been of a great challenge to the clinicians due to its relapse and persistence of risk factors (Age, periodontal factors, drug variables and genetic association). When considering the risk factors, their sensitivity and reliability are of utmost importance for determining the incidence of recurrence and formulation of patient specific therapy. Along with periodontal consultation, treatment should be multidisciplinary in approach discussed with the concerned physician. Initially non-surgical approach including elimination of local factors and drug substitution should be opted. The persistence of condition later necessitates the need for periodontal surgery in form of gingivectomy and flap surgery. Following surgery, maintenance by meticulous oral hygiene with chlorhexidine mouth rinses and periodic professional cleaning should be considered to prevent it from recurring and re-treatment.

Thus, for overall benefit of the patient it is fundamental to know the condition while considering its treatment. This review will briefly summarize the clinical aspects, pathogenesis, risk factors and management of DIGO.

Keywords: Gingival, Overgrowth, drug-induced

INTRODUCTION:

The terms "Gingival Enlargement"and/or "Gingival Overgrowth" areusually preferred for most of the drug - associated conditions as these terminologies avoid fallacious connotations of previously used terms, such as "Hypertrophic Gingivitis" or "gingival hyperplasia". Drug-induced gingival overgrowth had first been documented by Kimball et al in 1939, associated with prolonged use of Phenytoin which is an anti-epileptic medication. Numerous medications have now been specifically reported to cause this lesion, especially cyclosporine and specific calcium channel blockers including Dihydropyridines, verapamil and diltiazem.^{1,2}Drug-induced gingival overgrowth typically occurs during the initial 3 months afterbeginningthe treatment and starts as an overgrowthin the interdental papilla.³(Table 1 summarizes various drugs causing gingival overgrowth)

Gingival Enlargement is marked bythe collection of extracellular matrix in the gingival connective tissue.⁴Moreover, it has also been linked with various other factors comprising of systemic inflammation, unfavourable drug reactions and cardiovascular diseases.⁵ As the gingival overgrowthprogresses, it begins to affect the daily oral hygiene procedures and might cause interference with masticatory function. It progressively becomes a source of pain and often leadstodisfiguration of gingival tissue.

Consideringmanagement point of view, the clinician should also be concerned with other issues that arise with gingival enlargement as it may pose a problem in plaque control, mastication, might alter tooth eruption, interference with speech and raise aesthetic concerns.⁶This review briefly focuses on various drugs inducing gingival enlargement, proposed theories of pathogenesis, risk factors and its management.

Table 1. VARIOUS DRUGS KNOWN TO CAUSE GINGIVAL ENLARGEMENT			
CATEGORY	DRUGS	PREVALENCE	
ANTI- EPILEPTICS		50%	
First Generation	Phenytoin		
	Phenobarbital		
	(Phenobarbitone)		
Second Generation	Carbamazepine		
	Valproic acid (Sodium		
	Valproate)		
Third Generation	Gabapentin		
	Lamotrigine		
	Vigabatrin		
CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKER			
Dihydropyridines	Amlodipine,		
	Nifedipine,	6-15%	
	Felodipine,		
	Isradipine,		
	Lacidipine,		
	Lercanidipine		

Phenylalkalaminederivatives	Verapamil	< 5
Benzothiazine derivatives	Diltiazem	5-20%
IMMUNOSUPPRESSANTS	Cyclosporines	Adults: 25-30%
		Children: >70%
MISCELLANEOUS	Erythromycin	
	Sertraline	
	Azithromycin	

I. Anticonvulsants:

Phenytoin was clinically brought into use by Merritt &Putnam in 1938 and since then it has been used in patients with epilepsy.⁷PHT has been the drug of choice for management of grand mal, temporal lobe, as well as psychomotor epilepsy.⁸Reports relating phenytoin to gingival overgrowth received attention within one year of its initial clinical application.⁹

Phenytoin is concentrated in saliva. However, there is no evidence as to whether the extent of the overgrowth is linked to phenytoin concentrations in plasma or saliva. Number of tissue culture studiessuggest that phenytoin leads toproliferation offibroblast-like cells as well as theepithelium with increased production of GAGs (sulfated glycosaminoglycans) in vitro. degradation.¹⁰The reduced collagen exactmechanism PHT may cause а of PHTassociated gingival overgrowthhas not been identified, but some evidences link it to a direct impact on particular, genetically predetermined sub populations of fibroblasts, collagenaseinactivation, and bacterial plaque associated inflammation. The clinical as well as histological features of three major drug groups are listed in table 2.

Other hydantoins that are known to produceovergrowthof gingiva includeethotoin and mephenytoin. While, other anticonvulsants having same side effect are the succinimidesand valproic acid but the incidence is very low. Vigabatrin is a comparatively new antiepileptic drug that has reported to cause gingival enlargement.¹¹In case of Valproic acid, overgrowth was noted 18 months after beginningthe therapy of 600 mg/day and receded within 3 months of discontinuing the treatment.While evidence suggests that a minimal concentration or dosesof phenytoinare required for manifestation of gingivalovergrowth, its incidence and severity are notdirectly associated to the pharmacodynamic parameters of the therapeutic agent. Even the subtherapeutic concentrations ofPHT in serum have known to be related to gingival enlargement.¹²

II. Immunosuppressants:

Cyclosporine is aeffective immunosuppressive agent that is used in preventing rejection in organ transplant cases. Cyclosporin A has shownto repress humoral immunity (involving B lymphocytes); and to a larger extent, the cell-mediated immunity (involving T lymphocytes) thus used in cases of rejection of allograft, delayed hypersensitivity reactions, graft-versus-hostdisease as well as autoimmune diseases.¹³

Gingival enlargement is more in individuals who are medicated with cyclosporine along withcalcium channel blockers. The microscopic analysis of several plasma cells shows the inclusion of an abundant extracellular amorphous material indicating that enlargement is a

hypersensitivity reaction to cyclosporine. Another immunosuppressive drug, tacrolimus, has been used successfully, where results are somewhat less extreme in terms of hypertension, hypertrichosis, and gingival overgrowth.

Cyclosporin A can be delivered or ally or intravenously, and the doses greater than 500 mg/day have been noted to cause gingival enlargement.⁷While it seems that some individualshave increasedsusceptibility to gingival overgrowth, their relation to drug dosage as well as serum concentration is controversial. Whole salivary of Cs are greater in individuals taking the drug in liquid form relative to the tablet form, but the salivary levels are poorly associated with blood concentrations. Gingival enlargement is known to occurwithin 3 months inindividuals taking Cs.

III. **Calcium Channel Blockers:**

The extensive usage of calcium channel blockersstarted in the 1980s, these are the drugs formulated for treating cardiovascular conditions. The first report in scientific literature related to occurrence of calcium channel blocker associated (nefidipine) gingival overgrowthwas put forward by Ramon et al in 1984 and soon it was also identified with verapamil as well as diltiazem usage. Amongst the CCBs, Nifedipine has been most frequently involveddrug but Amlodipine appears to be accountable for more severe GEs.¹⁴

GEs with treatment by CCB mostly appears after 2 to 15 months.¹⁵Nifedipine is known to be used along with cyclosporine in kidney transplant recipients, and the combination of both drugs results ininducing larger enlargements. Amlodipine induced overgrowthsgenerally occurs under the initial 3 months of beginning the drug therapy at a dose of 10 mg/day. Although handful of cases of overgrowth have been reported, with low doses of amlodipine (5 mg) regime for a period of 6 months.

GINGICAL OVERGROWTH (MARSHALL 2008)			
DRUG	CLINICAL FEATURES	HISTOLOGICAL FEATURES	
Anticonvulsants	Initially it begins as diffuse swelling in the interdental papillae that later enlarge and mergedisplaying a nodular presentation.	 The epithelium displaysvariablegrades of acanthosis along with elongated rete pegs that tend to possess divided ends. There also appears to be decreased innervation density in overgrown gingiva. 	
		• Striking feature: Connective tissue component consisting of proliferated fibroblasts with increased amount of collagen.	
Immunosuppressants	Clinically indistinguishable from that related to Phenytoin.	• The lesion is primarily connective tissue with a Para keratinized epithelium of variable thickness and deeply penetrating epithelial	

Table 2. CLINICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL FEATURES OF MAJOR DRUGS CAUSING

European Journal of	Molecular & Clinical Medicine
ISSN 2515-8260	Volume 7, Issue 07, 2020

	Common in anterior segment and labial surfaces of teeth. Generally confined toattached gingiva but may extend coronally causinginterreferencein occlusion, mastication as well as speech.	 ridges. The connective tissue is greatly vascularized with focal collection of inflammatory cells. The fibroblasts of Cyclosporin overgrowth show ultrastructural features of protein synthesis and secretion and resemble Myofibroblasts. Inflammatory infiltrates vary, and
		sometimes are dominated by plasma cells indicative of neoplastic process, however no such abnormalities are seen.
Calcium Channel Blockers	Similar to Cs and PHT overgrowth.	• Within lamina propria light inflammatory reaction is composed mostly of plasma cells, while fibroblasts are prominent with welldeveloped RER and contain membrane lined structures assumed to be secretory granules.

PATHOGENESIS:

Three very diverse groups of pharmacological agents are linked to the manifestation of gingival enlargement in the susceptible population. These agents being anticonvulsants, immunosuppressants and calcium channel blockers. Various mechanisms pertaining to their pathogenesis have been proposed but the exact mechanism has still not been figured out. Various proposed mechanisms are summarized in the table 3.

Table 3. PATHOGENESIS OF DRUG INDUCED GINGIVAL OVERGROWTH		
(BROWN 1991)		
HYPOTHESIS	CONCLUSIONS	
Inflammation from bacterial	Aninflammatory bacterial component is essential for appearance	
plaque	of the adverse effects of the drugs.	
	Oral prophylaxis and "good" oral hygiene can aid inreduction	
	and prevention of the expression of DIGH	
Increased production of gags	Increase in accumulation of GAGs (sulfatedglycosaminoglycans)	
	have been investigated from PHT-induced hyperplastic	
	humangingival fibroblasts.	
	However, as aprimary rationale for DIGO this hypothesis is	
	conflicting due to the heterogeneity among the gingival	
	fibroblast.	
Immunoglobulins	Immunoglobulins might be anindicator rather than a causativein	
	local cellular immune responsestaking place within the gingival	

	tissuethrough the course of periodontal disease.	
Phenotypical differences	Genetic heterogeneity may exist along several parameters.	
Within gingival fibroblasts	Differences in receptor binding affinity, cellular ion flux, cellular	
	turnover rate, and cellular GAG, protein, collagenase and	
	collagen production capacity are all possibilities in inducing	
	gingival hyperplasia.	
Epidermal growth factor	It EGF stimulates collagen synthesis in gingival fibroblasts	
(EGF)		
Pharmacokinetics and tissue-	Anincreased concentration of PHT in the salivary glands was	
binding	reported.	
	CONRAD et al. affirmed a significant correlation between PHT	
	content of saliva and the manifestation of GH.	
Collagenase activation	HASSELL observed that PHT induced overgrowth was	
6	associated with decreased collagenase activity. There is a	
	substantial correlation among production of inactive collagenase	
	and the responding fibroblasts (fibroblasts producing more	
	connective tissue than normal after exposure to PHT).	
Disruption of fibroblast	There is asimilarity in the actions of PHT, CsA and calcium	
cellular Na ⁺ /	channel blocking drugs.	
Ca ²⁺ flux		
	It was proposed that these drugs may influence Ca^2 +/Na+ flux.	
	Concluding that there is an association among PHT changes in	
	calcium ions in gingival fibroblasts and that this relationrequited	
	with the clinical manifestation of DIGO.	
Folate acid uptake	It was Proposed that DIGO might be secondary to localized Folic	
_	Acid deficiency.	
	Arya et al (2011) determined systemic Folic Acid administration	
	at the beginning of PHT treatment on adolescent individuals and	
	identified that Folic Acid was greatlyrelated with the preclusion	
	of gingival overgrowth.	
Matrix metalloproteinases	Kato et al (2005) concluded that PHT causes impairment in	
	collagen degradation by MMPs/TIMP-1 during a particular	
	cellular signalling pathwaysand nuclear factor kappaB, perhaps	
	leading to collection f collagen, resulting subsequently in	
	Gingival Overgrowth.	
A combination hypothesis/	In this particular hypothesis, inflammatory bacterial component	
Unifying hypothesis/	(plaque), FA (folic acid), Na+/Ca ²⁺ flux, along withactivation of	
Biochemical Pathway	collagenase is involved:	
	In justification, these 3elements are needed for drug-	
	associated gingival overgrowth:	
	A. Drug (including phenytoin/ cyclosporine/ nifedipine etc)	
	B. Bacterial inflammatory component(dental plaque)	
	C. Teeth (sulcular epithelium).	

RISK FACTORS (SEYMOUR ET AL 2000):

The occurrence of this undesirable effect differsamong drugs, and a wide range of risk factors have been determinedrelated to the manifestation of drug related gingival overgrowth (DIGO). Some of the identifiable elements can be deemed under the subsequententities: age

along with other demographicalvariables; drug variables; use of concomitant medication; the periodontal variables and the genetic factors.¹⁶

1. Age and other demographic variables:

- Age isdeemedto be a risk factor for the cyclosporin-relatedovergrowth of gingiva.^{17,18}
- It is proposed that variations in the occurrence of the enlargement striggered by these diverse drugs reveal the distinct target age categories, ¹⁹ phenytoin generally targeting the young individuals, CCB stargeting post middle-aged population and CsA distributed over a wide age group.
- One probable clarification for such association with age may dwellinaconnection between the androgens and the gingival fibroblasts.
- There is scarce evidence on whether or not gender acts as a risk factor for drug initiated gingival Enlargements. It was stated that race and gender are not substantial risk factors formanifestation of gingival enlargements.²⁰

2. Drug variables:

- Drug dosage are poor predictors of the gingival changes.^{21,22}
- It is more suitable to associate dose to individual's body weightfor a greaterunderstanding of doses and their relation to the gingival enlargement.
- Serum concentrations, protein bindingdegree, bioavailability, volume of distribution along with overall evaluation of drug levels in relation to time are some more pertinent pharmacokinetic measures. These measures require recurrent sampling which is usually impractical when considering larger epidemiological studies
- In case of phenytoin, few studies have marked that the salivary levels are certainly associated with the gingival enlargements²³ while others have failed to do so.²⁴

3. Concomitatnt medication:

- These 3 major categories of drugsrelated to gingival enlargementare rarely the only medications that are prescribed to these individuals. The effects of "polypharmacy" have been researched regarding both CsAas well as PHT-triggered gingival enlargements.
- Currently, substantial body of evidence is available indicating combination therapy of nifedipine with cyclosporin in patients of organ transplant leads togreater gingival overgrowths than when the drug is used individually.^{25,26}
- It is proposed that the combination therapy may increase the occurrencewith no effect on severity of the related disease.²⁷

4. Periodontal variables:

• Plaque scores and gingival inflammation may aggravate the manifestation of DIGO, regardless of the triggering drug.²⁸

• Such findings suggest that oral hygiene as asubstantial risk factor in manifestation of drug-related gingival enlargements.^{29, 30}

5. Genetic factor:

- Heterogenicity amongst fibroblast remains one of the major factors that is used to clarify the diverse patterns inreaction of the gingiva to various triggering drugs.
- Expression ofHuman lymphocyte antigen (HLA) is one such genetic indicator that is greatly studiedrelatedto drug related gingival overgrowth in patients with organ transplant asin them HLA phenotype is usually determined preliminary to the transplant. Multiple evidences have been reported associated with relationbetween expression of HLA and occurrenceof DIGO. While, the exact mechanism is still unclear concept of molecular mimicry and itseffect on the lymphocyte functionhave been postulated.³¹

DECISION MAKING AND MANAGEMENT:

In severe cases gingival overgrowth often leads to disfigurementwhile interfering with both speech as well as masticatory function. Adequate information related to drug safety and its adverse effects should be addressed to vulnerable individualswhile prescribing specific drugs causing DIGO.³²Despite our betterknowledgeregarding pathogenesis of drug induced enlargement, its treatment continues to challenge the Periodontists. The condition is complicated by the higher rate of relapsethat arisesdue tolong term usage of the triggeringdrugs and persistent risk factors. When treating the cases with gingival enlargement both non- surgical and surgical therapies should be considered. (for decision tree refer figure 1)

The nonsurgical therapy involves drug substitution and plaque control by oral hygiene maintenance. It is an established fact that scaling along with root planing (SRP) are considered as anti-infective procedures that aid insupragingival and subgingival reduction in load of pathogenic bacteria.³³Whenever, attempting a drug substitution, a period of 6-12 months is crucial between the termination of causative drug and the potential regression of the gingival overgrowth prior to a final judgement regarding implementation of surgical therapy is made.³⁴Various drug substitutes are mentioned in table 4.

Generally, small regions (involving upto 6 teeth) of drugtriggered gingival overgrowth with no sign of attachment loss (thus no estimated requirement to undergo an osseous surgery) should be managedefficientlyusing gingivectomy.⁶ The amount of keratinized tissue presents as an essential element in the selection of gingivectomy as a surgical technique for treating drug-induced gingival overgrowth. It is suggested that minimum 3 mm width of keratinized tissue should remain in apico coronal direction post-surgey. Thus, if the preliminary incision for gingivectomy is to be positioned in close vicinity to or at mucogingival junction, the approach is contraindicated. Larger sites with gingival overgrowth (involving more than 6 teeth) or sites where there is a loss of attachment along with presence of osseous defects may be considered to be managed a periodontal flap. In addition, any condition wherein gingivectomy can lead to loss of all keratinized tissue and, subsequently lead to mucogingival problems, can be managed using periodontal flap.

Table 4. DRUG SUBSTITUTES FOR DIGO			
DRUGS	CAUSING	GINGIVAL	DRUG SUBSTITUTES
OVERGRO	OWTH		
PHENYTC	DIN		Bamazepine
			Phenobarbital
			Primidone
			Carbamazepine
			valproic acid
			Lamotrigine
			Gabapentin
			Sulthiame
			Topiramate
NIFEDIPI	NE		Isradipine
			ACE Inhibitors: Captopril and Enalapril
AMLODIP	PINE		Diltiazem
			Verapamil
			Angiotensin receptor blockers like losartan
CYCLOSP	ORIN A		Tacrolimus



CONCLUSION:

In conclusion, drug induced gingival overgrowth has been studied and extensively researched over the years. It is the most undesirable side effect of the concerned drugs. When treating a patient with DIGO its pathological and clinical understanding is essential for effective management. Thus, this review has briefly tried to simplify the concepts of DIGO related to its clinical presentation, pathogenesis, risk factors and management. Along with the drug substitution implementation of good oral hygiene should be stressed upon. DIGO has good prognosis and is often reversible with appropriate patient education and treatment approach.

REFERENCES:

- [1] E. M. Rateitschak-Plüss, A. Hefti, R. Lörtscher, G. Thiel. Initial observation that cyclosporin-A induces gingival enlargement in man. Journal of clinical periodontology; Volume10, Issue3, June 1983 Pages 237-246.
- [2] Marsall R. I., Bartold P. M. Medication induced gingival overgrowth. Oral diseases 1998; vol. 4, 130-151.

- [3] Nishikawa, S., Nagata, T., Morisaki, I., Oka, T. & Ishida, H. (1996) Pathogenesis of druginduced gingival overgrowth. A review of studies in the rat model. Journal of Periodontology67, 463–471.
- [4] Yamasaki, A., Rose, G. G., Pinero, G. J. & Mahan, C. J. (1987) Ultrastructure of fibroblasts in cyclosporin A-induced gingival hyperplasia. Journal of Oral Pathology 16,129–134.
- [5] Beck, J. D. &Offenbacher, S. (2005) Systemic effects of periodontitis: epidemiology of periodontal disease and cardiovascular disease.Journal of Periodontology 76, 2089–2100.
- [6] Camargo P. M., Melnick P. R., Pirith F. Q. M., Lagos R., Takei H. H. Treatment of drug-induced gingival enlargement: aesthetic and functional considerations. *Periodontology 2000, Vol. 27, 2001, 131–138*
- [7] Newman MG, Takei H, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA. 10th ed. St Louis: Saunders, Elsevier; 2006. Carranza's Clinical Periodontology; pp. 375–376
- [8] Khan KI, Jalgaonkar PD, Agrawal S. A case of phenytoin induced multiple toxicities. J Datta Meghe Inst Med Sci Univ2017;12:157-8.
- [9] Kimball O.The treatment of epilepsy with sodium diphenylhydantoinate. JAMA 1939;112:1244-1245.
- [10] Thomason J, Seymour R,Rawlins M. Incidence and severity of phenytoin-induced gingival overgrowth in epileptic patients in general medical practice. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1992;20: 288-291.
- [11] Bharti V, Bansal C. Drug-induced gingival overgrowth: The nemesis of gingiva unravelled. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2013 Mar-Apr; 17(2): 182–187.
- [12] Addy V, McElnayJ,Eyre D, Campbell N,D'Arcy P. Risk factors in phenytoin-induced gi n gival hyperplasia . J Periodontol1983;54:373-377.
- [13] Barak S, Engelberg I, Hiss Z. Gingival hyperplasia caused by nifedipine : histopathological findings. J Periodontol1987;58:639-642.
- [14] Umeizudike KA, Olawuyi AB, Umeizudike TI, Olusegun-Joseph AD, Bello BT. Effect of calcium channel blockers on gingival tissues in hypertensive patients in Lagos, Nigeria: A pilot study. Contemp Clin Dent 2017;8:565-70.

- [15] Malek R, Houari B, Kissa J. Periodontal Management of Cyclosporin A-Induced Gingival Overgrowth: A Nonsurgical Approach. Case Reports in Dentistry Volume 2019, Article ID 8609547, 8 pages
- [16] Seymour RA, Ellis JS, Thomason JM: Risk factors for drug-induced gingival overgrowth. J Clin Periodontol 2000; 27: 217–223. C Munksgaard, 2000.
- [17] Daley, T. D., Wysocki, G. P. & Day, C. (1986) Clinical and pharmacologic correlations in cyclosporine-induced gingival hyperplasia. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine and Oral Pathology 62, 417–421.
- [18] Hefti, A. F., Eshenaur, A. E., Hassell, T. M. & Stone, C. (1994) Gingival overgrowth in cyclosporine A treated multiple sclerosis patients. Journal of Periodontology 65, 744–749.
- [19] Hassell, T. M. &Hefti, A. F. (1991) Druginduced gingival overgrowth: old problem, new problem. Critical Reviews in Oral Biology & Medicine 2, 103–137.
- [20] Hassell, T. M. (1981) Epilepsy and the oral manifestation of phenytoin therepy. New York: Karger.
- [21] Seymour, R. A. & Heasman, P. A. (1988) Drugs and the periodontium. Journal of Clinical Periodontology 15, 1–16.
- [22] Seymour, R. A. & Jacobs, D. J. (1992) Cyclosporin and the gingival tissues. Journal of Clinical Periodontology 19, 1–11.
- [23] Babcock, J. R. & Nelson, G. H. (1964) Gingival hyperplasia and dilantin content of saliva: a pilot study. Journal of the American Dental Association 68, 195–198.
- [24] Ball, D. E., McLaughlin, W. S., Seymour, R. A. &Kamali, F. (1996) Plasma and saliva concentrations of phenytoin and 5-(4-hydroxyphenyl)- 5-phenylhydantoin in relation to the incidence and severity of phenytoin-induced gingival overgrowth in epileptic patients. Journal of Periodontology 67, 597–602.
- [25] Bokenkamp, A., Bohnhorst, B., Beier, C., Albers, N., Offner, G. &Brodehl, J. (1994) Nifedipine aggravates cyclosporine A-induced gingival hyperplasia. Pediatric Nephrology 8, 181–185.
- [26] Margiotta, V., Pizzo, I., Pizzo, G. &Barbaro, A. (1996) Cyclosporin- and nifedipineinduced gingival overgrowth in renal transplant patients: correlations with periodontal and pharmacological parameters, and HLA-antigens. Journal of Oral Pathology a. & Medicine 25, 128–134.
- [27] Pernu, H. E., Pernu, L. M., Knuuttila, M.L. &Huttunen, K. R. (1993b) Gingival overgrowth among renal transplant recipients and uraemic patients. *Nephrology*, *Dialysis*, *Transplantation* 8, 1254–1258.
- [28] Seymour, R. A. (1991) Calcium channel blockers and gingival overgrowth. *British Dental Journal* 170, 376–379.

- [29] Ellis, J., Seymour, R., Steele, J., Robertson, P., Butler, T. & JM, T. (1999) Prevalence of gingival overgrowth induced by calcium channel blockers: a community based study. *Journal of Periodontology* 70, 63–67.
- [30] King, G. N., Fullinfaw, R., Higgins, T. J., Walker, R. G., Francis, D. M. &Wiesenfeld, D. (1993) Gingival hyperplasia in renal allograft recipients receiving cyclosporin- A and calcium antagonists. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology* 20, 286– 293.
- [31] Pernu, E. H., Knuuttila, M. L. E., Huttenen, K. R. H. &Tiilikainen, A. S. K. (1994) Drug-induced gingival overgrowth and class II major histocompatibility antigens. *Transplantation* 57, 1811–1813.
- [32] Chhabra, K.G., A. Sharma, C. Chhabra, J.J. Reddy, S.G. Deolia, and Y. Mittal. "Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices Regarding Pharmacovigilance and Adverse Drug Reaction Reporting among Dental Students in a Teaching Hospital, Jodhpur, India: A Cross-Sectional Study." Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice 18, no. 10 (2017): 964–69.
- [33] Ghangurde, A.A., K.K. Ganji, M.L. Bhongade, and B. Sehdev. "Role of Chemically Modified Tetracyclines in the Management of Periodontal Diseases: A Review." Drug Research 67, no. 5 (2017): 258–65.
- [34] Herna´ndez G, Arriba L, Lucas M, de Andre´s A. Reduction of severe gingival overgrowth in a kidney transplant patient by replacing cyclosporin A with tracolimus. J Periodontol 2000: 71: 1630–1636.