

# Evaluation Of Different Packaging Materials On Bio-Chemical Parameters Of Sapota Fruit Under Controlled Conditions

Sudhir Partap<sup>1</sup>, Rupinder Singh<sup>2</sup>, Savita<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Horticulture, School of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University,  
Phagwara 144401, Punjab, India

Email: [rupinder.23481@lpu.co.in](mailto:rupinder.23481@lpu.co.in)

**Abstract:** *The investigation was carried out in Horticulture Laboratory in school of Agriculture, Lovely Professional University, Punjab. Sapota fruits cv. Kalipatti were harvested at physiological mature stage. After harvesting, fruits were packed in different types of packaging materials and kept at a temperature range of 10±2°C. Packaging materials used in this investigation are LDPE (25µ), HDPE (20µ), shrink film (10µ) and cling film (15µ and 23µ). Later, the fruits were examined for the physiological loss in weight (PLW) and bio-chemical properties such as TSS, Titrable acidity, Ascorbic acid, and Sugars. The fruits were kept in controlled temperature and analyzed after 2 days storage interval. The shelf life of fruits was found to be best in the packed fruits, where they lasted for 15 days. In control i.e., without packaging the fruits survived for 9 days only. Among all the packaging materials, shrink film proved to be most effective in maintaining the superior quality of sapota fruits. The mean PLW was the least among all the treatments in Shrink film (2.43%) followed by Cling film of 15µ (2.60%). Maximum mean PLW was found in the control (22.93%). Most of the bio-chemical parameters recorded during investigation were found to be best in shrink film as compared to all other films used for the experimentation.*

**Keywords:** *Sapota, Shelf-life, Shrink film, Storage.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

India ranks 1<sup>st</sup> in the production of sapota in the world. In India, sapota is commonly known as ‘Chikku’ and it is cultivated for its fruit. Sapota is cultivated commercially in many states of India such as Gujarat, U.P, W.B, Punjab, Andhra Pradesh and Haryana. As per NHB, 2016, the total area under sapota is around 1,20,740 hec. with total production of 14.57 lakh tonne. Sapota is mainly cultivated in tropical and subtropical climatic conditions. In some countries like Guatemala, Mexico, sapota is grown commercially for the production of ‘Chuckle’ which is milky latex harvested from the bark of the trees. Chuckle is used as principle agent in chewing gums (Annonymus, 2011). Sapota is highly known for its delicious taste, nutritive value, pleasant aroma and sweet pulp with granular texture. (Mohammad *et. al.*, 2014). Sapota is good source of sugar (Bose and Mitra, 1990). Thus, it is used for production of squash, osmodehydrated slices, jellies, and jams (Reddy, 1959; Kumar, P. (2019); Kumar, D., Rameshwar, S. D., & Kumar, P. (2019); Dey, S. R., & Kumar, P. (2019); Kumar et al. (2019); Dey, S. R., & Kumar, P. (2019); Kumar, P., & Pathak, S. (2018); Kumar, P., & Dwivedi, P. (2018); Kumar, P., & Pathak, S. (2018); Kumar et al., 2018; Kumar, P., & Hemantaranjan, A. (2017); Dwivedi, P., & Prasann, K. (2016). Kumar, P.

(2014); Kumar, P. (2013); Kumar et al. (2013); Prasann, K. (2012); Kumar et al. (2011); Kumar et al. (2014).

Kalipatti cv. sapota having oval shape with mild and sweet fragment was chosen for the present examination because of its great quality and acceptability. It can be consumed as table fruit or can be processed for making various products like wine, dry sapota, etc. (Aradhya and Policegourd, 2016). Sapota is highly perishable fruit and climacteric in nature, thus after harvesting it exhibits sudden raise in respiration (Chundawat, 1998). There is excess post-harvest loss in sapota which is around 20-30 percent (Salunkhe and Desai, 1984). And this loss extends to 30-35 percent in India because of improper storage, transportation, packaging, harvesting and distribution (Khurana, and Kanawjia, 2006).

Modified packaging and Controlled atmosphere storage can help in increasing the post-harvest life of fruits (Baldwin *et al.*, 1995). Possibly storage life can only be increased by lowering respiration rate and transpiration rate, along with proper check of the microbial infection in fruits. Shelf life of fruits can be increased by using polythene bags and proper ventilation. Kariyanna and Reddy (1993) concluded that shelf life increases because of accumulation of carbon dioxide within cover and its preservation effect. By checking respiration rate and microbial activity, storage life can be extended. Thus, a study was conducted to find the best packaging material for better quality and shelf life of sapota fruit.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out at horticulture laboratory of Lovely Professional University, Phagwara Punjab, in June, 2017. Physiological mature fruits were harvested from the plants by using secateurs and transported to the Horticulture laboratory, LPU. From the harvested fruits uniform fruits were sorted on basis of physical appearance. Mechanically damaged and blemished fruits were rejected for the investigation. Harvesting was done during the month of June. Harvested fruits were pre-cooled under fan. Then, the fruits were washed under running tap water and kept for drying in shade. After complete drying, fruits were packed in the packaging materials. The packaging films used in this experimentation were purchased from Ludhiana commercial market. The materials include LDPE (25 micron), HDPE (20 micron), Shrink film (10 micron) and Cling film (15 & 23 micron) was used as a packaging material.

This investigation was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD). In each treatment 18 fruits were selected and packed. The packed fruits and unpacked control were kept in the controlled temperature. Observations were taken after 2 days of storage interval up to 18 days.

There are total 6 treatments taken under observation for investigation. Each treatment was replicated three times. Details of treatments are: T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> (LDPE of 25 $\mu$ ), T<sub>2</sub> (HDPE of 20 $\mu$ ), T<sub>3</sub> (Shrink film of 10 $\mu$ ), T<sub>4</sub> (Cling film of 15 $\mu$ ), T<sub>5</sub> (Cling film of 23 $\mu$ ). Randomly selected fruits in each treatment of the experimentation were used for assessing the shelf life and chemical parameters of fruits. The bio-chemical parameters recorded during the investigation are: TSS (Total Soluble Solids) which was evaluated by use of refractometer (Erma, Japan) and expressed as °Brix, Ascorbic Acid (mg/100g), Titratable acidity (%), Total Sugars, Reducing sugars, Non-reducing sugars, TSS Acid ratio and Sugar Acid. Total sugar and reducing sugar were evaluated by method which was set out by Mazumdar and Majumder (2003). The ascorbic acid and acidity were estimated by a method given by Rangana (1979). The comparisons were made at C.D at 5% level of significance.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Maximum mean of TSS was recorded in T<sub>4</sub>, which was 19.40%, followed by T<sub>5</sub> (19.10%) and minimum value was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (7.87%), among treatments. In general, total soluble solids of sapota increases with increasing period of storage but after few days of storage it starts decreasing. Maximum mean TSS (20.00%) was observed on 6<sup>th</sup> days of storage and minimum (14.32%) TSS was observed on 15<sup>th</sup> day. Increase in TSS of fruits up to 9<sup>th</sup> day of storage was correlated with the increase in physiological loss in weight and then started decreasing up to 15<sup>th</sup> day of storage due to utilization of sugars in respiration. Table 1 represents the Total soluble solids (TSS) of sapota fruits under various treatments. These results are in conformity with the previous findings of Panwar (1980) in ber. This might be due to the lesser PLW and slow conversion of starch into sugars. The findings are in with agreement with the earlier reports of Venkatesha and Reddy (1994) in guava and Meena *et al.* (2009) in ber.

Table 1: Effect of various packaging material on TSS (°Brix) on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage

Treatments (Packaging Materials)	After 3 days	After 6 days	After 9 days	After 12 days	After 15 days	Mean (Treatments)
Control	18.24	21.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.87
LDPE of 25μ	17.61	19.44	20.79	18.29	16.28	18.48
HDPE of 20μ	17.79	19.72	20.94	18.04	17.51	18.80
Shrink film of 10μ	17.51	19.57	20.41	18.37	16.40	18.44
Cling film of 15μ	18.10	20.21	21.37	19.23	18.08	19.40
Cling film of 23μ	17.84	19.97	21.12	18.87	17.72	19.10
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	17.84	20.00	17.43	15.47	14.32	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.695		0.346		0.245	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.634		0.316		0.224	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	1.553		0.775		0.548	

Maximum mean value of titrable acidity was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (0.279%) followed by T<sub>1</sub> (0.255%) and minimum value was found in T<sub>0</sub> (0.129%). On 3<sup>rd</sup> day of storage, maximum value of acidity was 0.283% and minimum was 0.146% on 15<sup>th</sup> day of storage. On 3<sup>rd</sup> day of storage, T<sub>0</sub> recorded maximum value 0.350%. While T<sub>3</sub> recorded minimum value of 0.110% on 12<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> day of storage. Table-2 represents the values of titrable acidity during storage periods in various packaging materials. Generally, with increase in storage period, titrable acidity of sapota decreases irrespective to packaging materials used. This might be due to the increase in activity of enzyme invertase, which is responsible for the conversion of acid into sugars and due to the utilization of acids in metabolism. Results of titrable acidity are in conformity with reports of Yadav *et al.* (2010) in kinnow, and Damodaran *et al.* (2001) in sapota.

Table 2: Effect of various packaging material on Titrable acidity (%) on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage

Treatments	After 3	After 6	After	After 12	After 15	Mean
------------	---------	---------	-------	----------	----------	------

(Packaging Materials)	days	days	9 days	days	days	(Treatments)
Control	0.350	0.297	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.129
LDPE of 25 $\mu$	0.290	0.273	0.260	0.227	0.227	0.255
HDPE of 20 $\mu$	0.300	0.283	0.217	0.170	0.150	0.224
Shrink film of 10 $\mu$	0.180	0.140	0.127	0.110	0.110	0.133
Cling film of 15 $\mu$	0.240	0.220	0.180	0.170	0.150	0.192
Cling film of 23 $\mu$	0.340	0.297	0.270	0.250	0.240	0.279
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	0.283	0.252	0.176	0.154	0.146	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.019		0.009		0.007	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.017		0.009		0.006	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	0.042		0.021		0.015	

T<sub>4</sub> recorded the highest mean value (10.52%) of vitamin C, followed by T<sub>2</sub> (10.42%) and T<sub>1</sub> (10.14%) and minimum mean value in T<sub>0</sub> (3.22). In general, vitamin C of sapota decreases with increasing period of storage. Maximum vitamin C (11.76%) value was observed on 3<sup>rd</sup> day of storage. While on 15<sup>th</sup> day, minimum value (6.98%) was recorded. The maximum (14.01%) and minimum (7.86%) was recorded on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> of storage respectively. Table-3 represents the values of vitamin C of various treatments under packaging materials. Oxidation and irreversible conversion of L-ascorbic acid content to ascorbic acid content in the presence of enzyme ascorbinase might contribute to the reduction of ascorbic acid content. Higher retention of vitamin C content was observed in fruits wrapped by polyethylene of different thickness as compared to control due to the low physiological loss in weight accompanied by low respiration rate and transpirational losses. These findings are in agreement with those obtained by Venkatesha and Reddy (1994) in guava and Sudha *et al.* (2007) in sapota.

Table 3: Effect of various packaging material on Vitamin C (mg/100 g) on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage

Treatments (Packaging Materials)	After 3 days	After 6 days	After 9 days	After 12 days	After 15 days	Mean (Treatments)
Control	8.09	8.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.22
LDPE of 25 $\mu$	11.82	11.09	10.00	9.16	8.68	10.14
HDPE of 20 $\mu$	12.68	12.17	9.70	8.83	8.72	10.42
Shrink film of 10 $\mu$	14.01	10.17	9.41	8.49	8.12	10.04
Cling film of 15 $\mu$	13.09	12.50	9.67	8.90	8.50	10.52
Cling film of 23 $\mu$	10.83	10.83	9.41	9.17	7.86	9.62
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	11.76	10.80	8.03	7.42	6.98	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.644		0.321		0.227	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.588		0.293		0.207	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	1.439		0.718		0.508	

<b>Storage duration</b>			
-------------------------	--	--	--

Maximum mean value (141.34) of TSS:acid ratio was recorded in T<sub>3</sub> followed by T<sub>4</sub> (102.50), while, T<sub>0</sub> recorded the minimum value (24.49). Generally, there is increase in TSS: acid ratio of sapota with increase in storage period, up to 9<sup>th</sup> days but after that TSS:acid ratio decreases continuously in all the treatments with increasing storage period. Highest TSS:Acid ratio (87.70) was found on 9<sup>th</sup> days of storage and lowest TSS:Acid ratio (65.71) was found on 3<sup>rd</sup> day. The ratio of TSS:acid of fruits increases or decreases according to the packaging materials or treatments with increasing period of storage. Table-4 represents the values of TSS:acid ratio of treatments. The increase in TSS: acid ratio with storage period has also been reported by Joubert (1970) in litchi and Navjot (2005) in peach during storage. Minimum TSS:Acid ratio was found in control and maximum TSS:Acid ratio was found in T<sub>3</sub>. This might be due to the higher TSS and lower acidity. These findings are in conformity with reports of Hussein *et al.* (2003) in apple.

**Table 4: Effect of various packaging material on TSS:Acid ratio on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage**

Treatments (Packaging Materials)	After 3 days	After 6 days	After 9 days	After 12 days	After 15 days	Mean (Treatments)
Control	52.11	70.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	24.49
LDPE of 25 $\mu$	60.73	69.43	79.92	79.49	70.74	72.07
HDPE of 20 $\mu$	59.28	68.00	95.18	106.11	109.44	87.60
Shrink film of 10 $\mu$	97.29	150.47	150.00	153.00	149.00	141.34
Cling film of 15 $\mu$	72.40	87.88	118.68	113.11	120.48	102.50
Cling film of 23 $\mu$	52.48	68.83	75.43	72.50	73.84	68.61
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	65.71	85.82	87.70	87.37	87.24	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.694		0.346		0.245	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.634		0.316		0.224	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	1.553		0.774		0.548	

Maximum mean value (12.32%) of total sugars was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> which was on par with the value of T<sub>5</sub> (12.10%), and T<sub>0</sub> was observed with the minimum mean value (5.22%). Generally, there is increase in total sugars with increase in storage period up to 9 days but after that the total sugar quantity decreases continuously in all the treatments with increasing storage period. Maximum total sugar (13.12%) was observed on 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage and minimum (8.16%) total sugar was observed on 15<sup>th</sup> day. Table-5 represents the values of total sugars of different treatments. Total sugars of fruits increased significantly with the increase in storage period up to 9<sup>th</sup> day of storage due to the conversion of starch into sugars and then started decreasing due to degradative process. These results are in conformity with earlier reports in mango by Upadhyay and Tripathi (1985), in pear by Mohla *et al.* (2005) and in mango by Periyathambi (2006). The maximum total sugar was observed in T<sub>4</sub>, whereas, the minimum total sugar was found in T<sub>0</sub> in different type of wrapping. The fruits wrapped in polyethylene films of different thickness of wrapping retained fewer total sugars as compared to control. This might be correlated with decrease in PLW in polyethylene wrapped fruits as

compared to control fruit. These findings are with reports of Venkatesha and Reddy (1994) in guava.

**Table 5: Effect of various packaging material on Total Sugars on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage**

Treatments (Packaging Materials)	After 3 days	After 6 days	After 9 days	After 12 days	After 15 days	Mean (Treatments)
Control	11.92	14.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.22
LDPE of 25 $\mu$	10.51	12.58	13.99	11.60	9.47	11.62
HDPE of 20 $\mu$	10.88	12.84	14.31	11.41	9.90	11.87
Shrink film of 10 $\mu$	10.30	12.76	13.79	11.69	9.53	11.60
Cling film of 15 $\mu$	11.24	13.32	14.69	12.24	10.13	12.32
Cling film of 23 $\mu$	10.99	13.09	14.48	11.97	9.99	12.10
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	10.98	13.12	11.88	9.81	8.16	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.614		0.306		0.216	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.560		0.279		0.198	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	1.373		0.684		0.484	

Maximum mean value (7.52%) was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> which was on par with the value of T<sub>4</sub> (7.49%), while the minimum mean value was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (3.16%). Generally, with increase of storage period, there is rise in reducing sugars up to 9 days but after that the reducing sugar quantity decreases continuously in all the treatments with increasing storage period. Maximum reducing sugar (8.02%) was observed on 6<sup>th</sup> days of storage and minimum reducing sugar (4.21%) was observed on 15<sup>th</sup> day. Table-6 represents the values of reducing sugars of different treatments. Reducing sugars of fruits increased significantly with the increase in storage period up to 9th day of storage due to the conversion of starch into sugars and then started decreasing due to degradative process. The maximum value of reducing sugars was found in T<sub>4</sub>, whereas, the minimum value of reducing sugars was found in T<sub>0</sub> in different type of wrapping. The fruits wrapped in polyethylene films of different thickness of wrapping retained fewer reducing sugars as compared to control. This might be correlated with decrease in PLW in polyethylene wrapped fruits as compared to control fruit.

**Table 6: Effect of various packaging material on Reducing Sugars on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage**

Treatments (Packaging Materials)	After 3 days	After 6 days	After 9 days	After 12 days	After 15 days	Mean (Treatments)
Control	7.29	8.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.17
LDPE of 25 $\mu$	6.77	7.81	8.40	7.82	4.74	7.10
HDPE of 20 $\mu$	6.98	7.69	9.04	7.53	4.99	7.10
Shrink film of 10 $\mu$	6.31	7.77	8.27	7.61	4.80	6.94
Cling film of 15 $\mu$	6.24	8.22	9.36	8.11	5.49	7.49
Cling film of 23 $\mu$	7.14	8.10	9.20	7.88	5.31	7.52

<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	6.79	8.02	7.38	6.50	4.21	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.144		0.072		0.051	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.131		0.065		0.046	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	0.321		0.160		0.113	

Maximum mean value was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> (4.83%) followed by T<sub>3</sub> (4.64%) and minimum mean value in T<sub>0</sub> (2.06%). In general, non-reducing sugar of sapota increases with increasing period of storage up to 9<sup>th</sup> days but after that the non-reducing sugar quantity decreases continuously in all the treatments with increasing storage period. Maximum non-reducing sugar (5.10%) was recorded on 6<sup>th</sup> day of storage. While minimum value was recorded was 3.31% on 12<sup>th</sup> day of storage. Generally, there is rise in non-reducing sugars with increase in storage period up to 9 days, and later it starts to decrease. Table-7 represents the values of non-reducing sugars of different treatments. The non-reducing sugar content of fruits decreased with increasing period of storage in all treatments up to 15<sup>th</sup> day of storage. This can be because of conversion of non-reducing sugar into reducing sugar. Minimum non-reducing sugar was recorded in control and the maximum non-reducing sugar was recorded in T<sub>4</sub> on 9<sup>th</sup> day of storage.

**Table 7: Effect of various packaging material on Non-reducing Sugars on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage**

<b>Treatments (Packaging Materials)</b>	<b>After 3 days</b>	<b>After 6 days</b>	<b>After 9 days</b>	<b>After 12 days</b>	<b>After 15 days</b>	<b>Mean (Treatments)</b>
Control	4.64	5.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.06
LDPE of 25μ	3.76	4.77	5.60	3.79	4.72	4.52
HDPE of 20μ	3.90	5.17	5.28	3.89	4.91	4.62
Shrink film of 10μ	3.90	5.00	5.52	4.08	4.73	4.64
Cling film of 15μ	5.00	5.10	5.32	4.10	4.66	4.83
Cling film of 23μ	3.84	5.00	5.39	4.10	4.68	4.60
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	4.16	5.10	4.51	3.31	3.94	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.070		0.035		0.025	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.064		0.032		0.023	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	0.156		0.078		0.055	

Maximum mean value (88.86) was found in T<sub>3</sub>, and minimum value was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (16.29) In general, sugar: acid ratio of sapota increases with increasing period of storage up to 9<sup>th</sup> days but after that the sugar:acid ratio decreases continuously in all the treatments with increasing storage period. Maximum sugar:acid ratio (59.50) was found on 9<sup>th</sup> day of storage, while minimum value (40.17) was recorded on 3<sup>rd</sup> day of storage. Generally, with increase in storage period, sugar-acid ratio of sapota increases up to 9th day after that decreases, irrespective of packaging material used. Table-7 represents the values of Sugar-acid ratio of different treatments. The total sugar present in the fruit maintained the quantity of simple sugar due to this the ratio increase or decrease during the period of storage with respect to

acid quantity (ChitraMani & Kumar, P. (2020); Sharma, M., & Kumar, P. (2020); Chand, J., & Kumar, P. (2020); Naik, M., & Kumar, P. (2020); Kumar, P., & Naik, M. (2020); Kumar, P., & Dwivedi, P. (2020); Devi, P., & Kumar, P. (2020); Kumari, P., & Kumar, P. (2020); Kaur, S., & Kumar, P. (2020); Devi, P., & Kumar, P. (2020); Sharma, K., & Kumar, P. (2020); Kumar, S. B. P. (2020); Devi, P., & Kumar, P. (2020); Chand, J., & Kumar, P. (2020)).

**Table 8: Effect of various packaging material on Sugar:Acid ratio on sapota var. Kalipatti during storage**

Treatments (Packaging Materials)	After 3 days	After 6 days	After 9 days	After 12 days	After 15 days	Mean (Treatments)
Control	34.07	47.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.29
LDPE of 25 $\mu$	36.24	44.90	53.78	50.43	41.13	45.30
HDPE of 20 $\mu$	36.23	44.29	65.04	67.12	61.81	54.90
Shrink film of 10 $\mu$	57.22	98.08	105.00	97.33	86.64	88.86
Cling film of 15 $\mu$	44.97	57.91	81.57	72.00	67.53	64.80
Cling film of 23 $\mu$	32.30	45.10	51.69	46.00	41.59	43.33
<b>Mean (Storage duration)</b>	40.17	56.28	59.50	55.49	49.79	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	
<b>Treatments</b>	0.695		0.346		0.245	
<b>Storage duration</b>	0.634		0.316		0.224	
<b>Treatments x Storage duration</b>	1.553		0.775		0.548	

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From this investigation in sapota cv. Kalipatti, it can be concluded that the various packaging materials used for storing fruits at controlled conditions, T<sub>3</sub> (shrink film) has reported the best results in terms of shelf life and the quality. Shrink film reported with the lowest mean PLW (%) among all the treatments. The bio-chemical parameters such as TSS, Acidity, Vitamin C, and sugars were found to be best as compared with all other packaging materials. Highest Sugar:Acid ratio and TSS:Acid ratio was observed in T<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, among all the packaging materials, Shrink Film is advisable for packaging of sapota fruits.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Anonymous (2011). Indian Horticulture Database (Chief ed. Bijay Kumar) National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Horticulture, Govt. of India. Gurgaon
- [2] Anonymous (2015-16). Indian Horticulture Database, sapota. (www.nhb.gov.in).
- [3] Aradhya, S. M., Policegourdra, R. S., Hanji, S. H., & Mahadeviah, M. (2006). Pre and Post Harvest Technology of Sapota. *Indian Food Packer*, 60(1), 63.
- [4] Baldwin, E. A., Nisperos-Carriedo, M., Shaw, P. E., & Burns, J. K. (1995). Effect of coatings and prolonged storage conditions on fresh orange flavor volatiles, degrees brix, and ascorbic acid levels. *Journal of Agricultural and food chemistry*, 43(5), 1321-1331.
- [5] Bose, T. K., & Mitra, S. M. (1990). Tropical and subtropical fruits. *Naya Proksh Publ., Calcutta, India*, 823.



- [6] Chundawat B. S., (1998). Sapota Agrotech Publishing Academy, Udaipur, India
- [7] Damodaran, T., Attri, B. L., Medhi, R. P., Nair, S. A., & Alex, L. (2001). Studies on post-harvest management of sapota (*Achras zapota*) cv. Cricket Ball during storage. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 58(4), 342-345.
- [8] Hussein, M. A., El-Mahdy, T. K., & Ibrahim, A. A. (2003). Effect of calcium chloride and gibberellic acid treatments on Anna and Dorsett Golden apples during storage. 2.-chemical characteristics of fruits. *Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences (Egypt)*.
- [9] Joshua, P., & Sathiamoorthy, S. (1993). Storage of sapota fruits in polythene bags. *South Indian Horticulture*, 41, 368-368.
- [10] Joubert, A. J. (1970). The Litchi and Citrus Subtropics. Fruit Research Institute (South Africa) Bulletin, No. 389.
- [11] Kariyanna, K. M., Bojappa and Reddy, T. V. (1993, May 24-28). Influence of some Harvest Treatments on ripening and quality of sapota fruits. Golden Jubilee Symposium, Horticultural Research on Changing Scenario, Abstract, p: 300. (Banglore).
- [12] Khurana, H. K., & Kanawjia, S. K. (2006). Application of Manathermosonication in Food Processing. *Packaging India*, 39(3), 9.
- [13] Mazumdar, B. C., & Majumder, K. (2003). Methods on physico-chemical analysis of fruits, Daya Publ. House, Delhi, India, 93-139.
- [14] Meena, H. R., Kingsly, A. R. P., & Jain, R. K. (2009). Effect of post-harvest treatments on shelf life of ber fruits. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 66(1), 58-61.
- [15] Siddiqui, M. W., Longkumer, M., Ahmad, M. S., Barman, K., Thakur, P. K., & Kabir, J. (2014). Postharvest biology and technology of sapota: A concise review. *Acta physiologiae plantarum*, 36(12), 3115-3122.
- [16] Mohla, R., & Singh, S. (2003, October). Shelf life of sub-tropical sand pear as influenced by picking dates and packing materials under ambient conditions. In *VII International Symposium on Temperate Zone Fruits in the Tropics and Subtropics-Part Two* 696 (pp. 493-495).
- [17] Singh, G. (2005). Effect Of Calcium And Packaging On Storage Of Peach At Different Maturity Stages (Doctoral dissertation, Punjab Agricultural University; Ludhiana).
- [18] Panse, V. G., & Sukhatme, P. V. E. (1985) Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India*.
- [19] Panwar, J. S. (1980). Post-harvest Physiology and Storage Behaviour of Ber Fruit (*Zizyphus Mauritiana* Lamk.) in Relation to Temperature and Various Treatments Cv:(Umran and Kaithli) (Doctoral dissertation, Horticulture, CCSHAU, Hisar).
- [20] Periyathambi, R. (2006). Effect of calcium salts and wrapper on the ambient storage of Dasherri mango. M.Sc. Thesis, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.
- [21] Rangana, S. (1979). Manual Analysis of fruit and vegetable products. Tata McGraw Hill pub. Co. Ltd. New Delhi, 363.
- [22] Reddy, M. G. (1959). Physico chemical investigations on sapota and its products. *M. Sc. (Food Tech) Thesis*.
- [23] Salunkhe, D. K., & Desai, B. B. (1984). Postharvest Biotechnology of Fruits. Vol. 1 CRC Press. Inc. Florida, 43-57.
- [24] Sudha, R., Ponnuswami, V., & Kavino, M. (2007). Influence of packaging treatments on the physical and biochemical characteristics of sapota fruits. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 64(2), 222-225.
- [25] Upadhyay, J. P. and Tripathi, B. M. (1985). Post-harvest changes during storage and ripening of Gurjeet mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruits. *Progressive Horticulture*, 17(1):25-27.

- [26] Venkatesha, M., & Venkatesh Reddy, T. (1994). Use of Polyethylene Bags to extend the Shelf life of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Fruits. *Indian Food Packer*, 48, 5-5.
- [27] Yadav, M., Kumar, N., Singh, D. B., & Singh, G. K. (2010). Effect of post-harvest treatments on shelf-life and quality of Kinnow mandarin. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 67(2), 243-248.
- [28] ChitraMani, P. K. (2020). Evaluation of antimony induced biochemical shift in mustard. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 3493-3498.
- [29] Sharma, M., & Kumar, P. (2020). Biochemical alteration of mustard grown under tin contaminated soil. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 3487-3492.
- [30] Chand, J., & Kumar, P. (2020). Yield attribute shift of mustard grown under cadmium contaminated soil. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 3518-3523.
- [31] Naik, M., & Kumar, P. (2020). Role of growth regulators and microbes for metal detoxification in plants and soil. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 2820-2824.
- [32] Kumar, P., & Naik, M. (2020). Biotic symbiosis and plant growth regulators as a strategy against cadmium and lead stress in chickpea. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 2495-2500.
- [33] Kumar, P., & Dwivedi, P. (2020). Lignin estimation in sorghum leaves grown under hazardous waste site. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 2558-2561.
- [34] Devi, P., & Kumar, P. (2020). Concept and Application of Phytoremediation in the Fight of Heavy Metal Toxicity. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 12(6), 795-804.
- [35] Kumari, P., & Kumar, P. (2020). Trichoderma fungus in mitigation of rhizosphere arsenic: with special reference to biochemical changes. *Plant Archives*, 20(2), 3512-3517.
- [36] Kaur, S., & Kumar, P. (2020). Ameliorative effect of trichoderma, rhizobium and mycorrhiza on internodal length, leaf area and total soluble protein in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* [L.] R. Wilazek) under drought stress. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 971-977.
- [37] Devi, P., & Kumar, P. (2020). Effect of bioremediation on internodal length and leaf area of maize plant cultivated in contaminated soil with chromium metal. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 1408-1413.
- [38] Sharma, K., & Kumar, P. (2020). Mitigating the effect of biofertilizers on morphological and biochemical level in pearl millet grown under mercury toxicity. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 955-961.
- [39] Kumar, S. B. P. (2020). Salinity stress, its physiological response and mitigating effects of microbial bio inoculants and organic compounds. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 1397-1303.
- [40] Devi, P., & Kumar, P. (2020). Enhancement effect of biofertilizers on germination percentage and plant height in maize grown under chromium toxic soil. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 702-707.
- [41] Chand, J., & Kumar, P. (2020). Biochemical shift of mustard grown under cadmium contaminated soil. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(3), 178-183.
- [42] Kumar, P. (2019). Evaluation Of Internodal Length And Node Number Of Pea Treated With Heavy Metal, Polyamines And Glomus. *Journal of the Gujarat Research Society*, 21(10s), 518-523.
- [43] Kumar, D., Rameshwar, S. D., & Kumar, P. (2019). Effect Of Intergated Application Of Inorganic And Organic Fertilizers On The Roots Of Chickpea. *Plant Archives*, 19(1), 857-860.
- [44] Dey, S. R., & Kumar, P. (2019). Analysis of Available Nitrogen of Wheat Cultivated Soil Treated with Organic and Inorganic Source of Fertilizers. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 8(8), 2986-2990.

- [45] Kumar, P., Siddique, A., Thakur, V., & Singh, M. (2019). Effect of putrescine and glomus on total reducing sugar in cadmium treated sorghum crop. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(2), 313-316.
- [46] Dey, S. R., & Kumar, P. (2019). Cadmium induced biochemical shift in maize. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(1), 2038-2045.
- [47] Kumar, P., & Pathak, S. (2018). Short-Term Response of Plants Grown under Heavy Metal Toxicity. *Heavy Metals*, 69.
- [48] Kumar, P., & Dwivedi, P. (2018). Plant lectins, agricultural advancements and mammalian toxicity. *Molecular Physiology of Abiotic Stresses in Plant Productivity*, 360.
- [49] Kumar, P., & Pathak, S. (2018). Nitric oxide: a key driver of signaling in plants. *MOJ Eco Environ Sci*, 3(3), 145-148.
- [50] Kumar, P., Pathak, S., Amarnath, K. S., Teja, P. V. B., Dileep, B., Kumar, K., ... & Siddique, A. (2018). Effect of growth regulator on morpho-physiological attributes of chilli: a case study. *Plant Archives*, 18(2), 1771-1776.
- [51] Kumar, P., & Hemantaranjan, A. (2017). Iodine: a unique element with special reference to soil-plant-air system. *Advances in Plant Physiology (Vol. 17)*, 314.
- [52] Dwivedi, P., & Prasann, K. (2016). Objective plant physiology. *Objective plant physiology.*, (Ed. 2).
- [53] Kumar, P. (2014). Significance of soil-root system and aquaporins for water homeostasis in plant-a review. *Advances in Plant Physiology (Vol. 15)*, 15, 324.
- [54] Kumar, P. (2013). Food Security and Nutritional Safety: A Challenge Ahead. *Journal of Functional and Environmental Botany*, 3(1), 12-19.
- [55] Prasann, K., Biswapati, M., & Padmanabh, D. (2013). Combating heavy metal toxicity from hazardous waste sites by harnessing scavenging activity of some vegetable plants. *Vegetos*, 26(2), 416-425.
- [56] Prasann, K. (2012). Feeding the future: crop protection today. *Acta Chimica and Pharmaceutica Indica*, 2(4), 231-236.
- [57] Kumar, P., & Dwivedi, P. (2011). Future Habitat Loss: Greatest Threat to the Soil Microbial Biodiversity. *Journal of Functional And Environmental Botany*, 1(2), 82-90.
- [58] Kumar, P., Singh, B. N., & Dwivedi, P. Plant Growth Regulators, Plant Adaptability And Plant Productivity: Areview On Abscisic Acid (Aba) Signaling In Plants Under Emerging Environmental Stresses. *Sustaining Future Food Security In Changing Environments*, 81.