

Projective Flat Curvature Tensor Of Kähler Manifold

Pankaj Pandey

¹*Department of Mathematics, School of Chemical Engineering and Physical Sciences,
Lovely Professional University, Phagwara-144411, Punjab
Email: psp.ggv@gmail.com*

Abstract: In this paper, we study the projective flat curvature tensor.

1. Introduction

In 1975, a linear connection is introduced by S. Golab [1] called quarter-symmetric connection. Golab [1] defined that condition $T(X, Y) = \omega(Y)\varphi X - \omega(X)\varphi Y$.

Recently, some results have been obtained in weakly symmetric manifold in [2-5] (2017). Nijenhuis tensor becomes zero in a Kähler manifold [6-11]. In the same paper, they [6] obtained some results related to contra-variant vector field in Kähler manifold with semi-symmetric connection. Flatness of Kähler manifold under weak symmetric condition has been discussed in [1] (2014). In 2015 a new type of results has been studied [2] in an almost Hermitian manifold. The same authors [3] further studied a special type of Kähler manifold under weak symmetric condition. The study of conformal connection has been extended by Chaturvedi and Pandey [4] in an almost Hermitian manifold in 2016.

Let M be differentiable manifold of dimension $2k$, for non-negative integer k . If the conditions

$$F^2(X) + X = 0, \quad g(FX, FY) = g(X, Y), \quad (\nabla_X F)Y = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

hold then M becomes Kähler manifold.

In this paper, we have considered a connection ∇^*

$$\nabla_X^* Y = \nabla_X Y + \omega(Y)FX \quad (1.2)$$

satisfying

$$(\nabla_X^* g)(Y, Z) = \alpha[\omega(Y)g(FX, Z) + \omega(Z)g(FX, Y)] \quad (1.3)$$

$$T^*(X, Y) = \omega(Y)FX - \omega(X)FY \quad (1.4)$$

respectively for ω 1-form defined by $\omega(X) = g(X, \rho)$, where ρ is an associated vector field.

2. Preliminaries

Let M denotes a manifold of dimension $2k$, for non negative integer, then Riemannian tensor is defined by

$R(A, B, P) = \nabla_A \nabla_B P - \nabla_B \nabla_A P - \nabla_{[A, B]} Z$
(2.1) and the Ricci tensor is contraction of R .

Now, equation (2.1) becomes for ∇^*

$$R^*(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_X^* \nabla_Y^* Z - \nabla_Y^* \nabla_X^* Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^* Z$$

(2.2)

Using (1.2) in (2.2), we get

$$R^*(A, B, P) = R(A, B, P) + [(\nabla_A \omega)(P)FB - (\nabla_B \omega)(P)FA] + [(\nabla_A F)B - (\nabla_B F)A + \omega(FB)FA - \omega(FA)FB]\omega(P).$$

(2.3)

If the associated vector field is unit parallel then $\nabla_X \rho = 0$, which imply

$$(\nabla_P \omega)(Z) = 0.$$

(2.4) Now, using (1.1) and (2.4) in (2.3), we get

$$R^*(A, B, P) = R(A, B, P) + [\omega(FB)FA - \omega(FA)FB]\omega(P).$$

(2.5)

Further, contracting (2.5), we get

$$S^*(B, P) = S(B, P) + \omega(B)\omega(P)$$

(2.6)

Again, contracting (2.6), we get

$$r^* = r$$

(2.7)

3. Projective tensor

The projective tensor is defined by

$$W(A, P, K) = R(A, P, K) - \frac{1}{n-1} [S(P, K)A - S(A, K)P] = 0$$

(3.1)

For projective flat manifold, we get

$$R(A, P, K) = \frac{1}{n-1} [S(P, K)A - S(A, K)P]$$

(3.2)

Now, the projective curvature tensor for quarter symmetric connection ∇^* defined in (1.2) is given by

$$W^*(A, P, K) = R^*(A, P, K) - \frac{1}{n-1} [S^*(P, K)A - S^*(A, K)P]$$

(3.3)

Using (2.5), (2.6) and (3.1) in (3.3), we get

$$W^*(A, P, K) = W(A, P, K) + \left[\omega(FP)FA - \frac{1}{n-1} \omega(P)A - \omega(FA)FP + \frac{1}{n-1} \omega(A)P \right] \omega(K) \quad .$$

(3.4)

Hence for projective flat manifold we get

$$\omega(FP)FA - \omega(FA)FP = \frac{1}{n-1} [\omega(P)A - \omega(A)P]. \quad (3.5)$$

We can state here

Theorem 3.1: For M being a Kähler manifold with ∇^* defined by (1.2) then for a associated parallel unit vector field ρ the manifold becomes projective flat if and only if (3.5) satisfy.

Replacing A and P by FA and FP respectively in (3.5), we get

$$\omega(P)A - \omega(A)P = \frac{1}{n-1} [\omega(FP)FA - \omega(FA)FP] \quad .$$

(3.6)

Subtracting (3.6) from (3.5), we get

$$[\omega(FP)FA - \omega(FA)FP - \omega(P)A + \omega(A)P](n-2) = 0 \quad .$$

(3.7)

Let us defined

$$D(A, P) = \omega(FP)FA - \omega(P)A \quad .$$

(3.8)

With the help of (3.8), equation (3.7) yields

$$[D(A, P) - D(P, A)](n-2) = 0 \quad .$$

(3.9)

Equation (3.9) implies manifold is two dimensional for $D(A, P) \neq D(P, A)$.

From above, we conclude that

Theorem 3.2: For M being a Kähler manifold with ∇^* defined by (1.2) then for a associated parallel unit vector field ρ the manifold becomes two dimensional projective flat if and only if $D(X, Y)$ defined in (3.8) is not symmetric.

References:

- [1] B.B. Chaturvedi and P. Pandey, On the Flatness of Weakly Symmetric Kähler Manifolds, Journal of International Academy of Physical Sciences, 18 (4), (2014), 279-284.
- [2] B.B. Chaturvedi and P. Pandey, Study of a new type of metric connection in an almost Hermitian manifold, Facta Universitatis (NIS), Series: Mathematics and Informatics, 30(2) (2015), 217-224.
- [3] B.B. Chaturvedi and P. Pandey, Study on special type of a weakly symmetric Kähler manifold, Differential Geometry Dynamical system 17 (2015), 32-37.

- [4] B.B. Chaturvedi and P. Pandey, Conformal transformation in an almost Hermitian manifold, *Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics*, 46 (1), (2016), 217-226.
- [5] B.B. Chaturvedi and P. Pandey, Weak symmetric manifolds equipped with semi-symmetric metric connection, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, 6 (2), (2017), 507-514.
- [6] B.B. Chaturvedi and P.N. Pandey, A semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Kähler manifold, *Differential Geometry Dynamical system*, 10 (2008), 86-90.
- [7] U.C. De and A.A. Shaikh, *Complex Manifolds and Contact Manifolds*, Narosha Publishing House, 2009.
- [8] S. Golab, On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections, *Tensor, N. S.*, 29 (1975), 249-254.
- [9] P. Pandey and B.B. Chaturvedi, On a Kähler manifold equipped with lift of quarter symmetric non-metric connection, *Facta Universitatis (NIS), Series: Mathematics and Informatics*, 33(4), (2018), 539–546.
- [10] P. Pandey and B.B. Chaturvedi, Study of Kähler manifolds endowed with lift of semi-symmetric non-metric connection, *Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyregyhaziensis*, 33 (2017), 61-66.
- [11] Singh, G., Gupta, M. K., Mia, M., & Sharma, V. S. (2018). Modeling and optimization of tool wear in MQL-assisted milling of Inconel 718 superalloy using evolutionary techniques. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 97(1-4), 481-494.