Comparative Evaluation Of Antimicrobial Efficacy Of Three Endodontic Irrigating Solutions Against Enterococcus Faecalis

Kanwalpreet Kaur Bhullar¹, Shubhneet Kaur², Shantun Malhotra³, Harshdeep Singh⁴, Aashish Handa⁵, Rupam Kaur⁶

¹Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sri Guru Ram das Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Amritsar, Punjab, India;

 ^{2,4}Post graduate student, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sri Guru Ram das Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Amritsar, Punjab, India;
^{3,5,6}Reader, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Sri Guru Ram das Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Amritsar, Punjab, India

Email: ¹drkanwalpreetbhullar@gmail.com; ²shubhhundal02@gmail.com; ³drshantun@gmail.com; ⁴Dr.harshdeepsingh@gmail.com; ⁵aashishhanda2000@gmail.com; ⁶rupamvirk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Irrigants and intracanal medicaments form a necessary adjunct that enhances the antimicrobial effect of mechanical cleansing and thus augments overall clinical efficacy. Hence; the present study was undertaken for analyzing and comparing the efficacy of three endodontic irrigating solutions against Enterococcus Faecalis.

Materials & methods: A total of thirty single rooted mandibular first premolar specimens were included. Sectioning of the specimens was done at cement-enamel junction level. Instrumentation of the root canals was done with rotary instruments to an apical size of ProTaper F3. Vertical sectioning of all the specimens was done along the mid-sagittal plane into two halves. All the specimens were divided into following study groups: Group A: 5% Sodium hypochlorite, Group B: 0.2% Chitosan and Group C: Saline. Inoculation of the bacterium was done in the broth in all the tissue culture wells. The biofilm on root canal surface was taken with a sterile scalpel and inoculated on agar plates and incubated. Colony forming units were then analyzed. Analysis of all the results was done using SPSS software.

Results: Mean CFU among specimens of group A, group B and group C were found to be 0.59, 2.118 and 90.184 respectively. While analyzing the results statistically, significant results were obtained.

Conclusion: Sodium hypochlorite exhibited maximum efficacy against Enterococcus Faecalis.

Key words: Antimicrobial Efficacy, Irrigation, Enterococcus faecalis

1. INTRODUCTION

The success of the endodontic treatment primarily depends on successful removal of microbes from the infected root canal system. The persistence and growth of bacteria in root

canal system is the main causative factor in pulpal and periradicular lesions. Although bacteria are the main microorganisms found in primary endodontic infections, there are some studies which state the presence of fungi in infected root canals. Candida albicans and Enterococcus faecalis are considered the most resistant species of fungi and bacteria which are responsible for root canal treatment failures. The collagenolytic activity of C. albicans promotes colonisation in the root canal as it uses dentin as a nutrient source leading to its high virulence.¹⁻⁴

Irrigants and intracanal medicaments form a necessary adjunct that enhances the antimicrobial effect of mechanical cleansing and thus augments overall clinical efficacy. Owing to its pronounced antimicrobial activity and its exceptional capacity to dissolve pulp tissue and organic components of the smear layer, sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) still remains the irrigant of choice. Chitosan is a non-toxic cationic biopolymer usually obtained by alkaline deacetylation from chitin, which is the principal component of crustacean exoskeletons. Chitosan treatment improves the resistance of the dentinal surface to degradation by collagenase.⁵⁻⁷ Hence; the present study was undertaken for analyzing and comparing the efficacy of three endodontic irrigating solutions against Enterococcus Faecalis.

2. MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study was commenced with the aim of comparing the efficacy of three endodontic irrigating solutions against Enterococcus Faecalis. A total of thirty single rooted mandibular first premolar specimens were included. Deformed, carious and specimens with presence of any structural anomaly were excluded. Pure culture of Enterococcus faecalis was inoculated on Mueller-Hinton agar plates incubated at 37°C overnight with sterile brain heart infusion broth. 5% sodium hypochlorite, 0.2% Chitosan and normal saline groups were taken for the study. For preparing 0.2 percent chitosan solution, 0.2 mg of chitosan was diluted in 100ml of 1% acetic acid. 5% sodium hypochlorite was used as provided by the manufacturer. Sectioning of the specimens was done at cement-enamel junction level. Instrumentation of the root canals was done with rotary instruments to an apical size of ProTaper F3. Vertical sectioning of all the specimens was done along the mid-sagittal plane into two halves. The concave tooth surface was minimally grounded to achieve flat surface to enable placement in tissue culture wells exposing the root canal surface to Enterococcus faecalis to form a biofilm. All the specimens were divided into following study groups:

- Group A: 5% Sodium hypochlorite (n=20; after vertical sectioning)
- Group B: 0.2% Chitosan (n=20)
- Group C: Saline (negative control) (n=20)

This was followed by sterilization of the samples with ultraviolet radiation and placement in the wells of tissue culture plates. Then, inoculation of the bacterium was done in the broth in all the tissue culture wells. The sectioned tooth specimens were then placed in the tissue culture wells and inoculated at 37°C for 6 weeks. After one and a half month of inoculation, all specimens were placed in sterile petridishes and the test irrigation solutions were delivered onto them. Then, the biofilm on root canal surface was taken with a sterile scalpel and inoculated on agar plates and incubated. Colony forming units were then analyzed. Analysis of all the results was done using SPSS software.

3. RESULTS

Mean CFU among specimens of group A, group B and group C were found to be 0.59, 2.118 and 90.184 respectively. While analyzing the results statistically, significant results were obtained. Efficacy of root canal irrigants was found to be of following order: **Group C < Group B < Group A**

Table 1: Mean Colony forming units (CFUs)			
Groups	Mean CFU/ml (x 10^8)	SD	
Group A	0.590	0.621	
Group B	2.118	1.176	
Group C	90.184	2.596	

Table 1: Mean Colony forming units (C)

Group comparison	t-statistics	p- value
Group A versus Group B	-1.852	0.002*
Group B versus Group C	-1.462	0.010*
Group A versus Group C	-1.648	0.000*

Table 2: Comparison of mean CFUs

*: Significant

4. **DISCUSSION**

Microorganisms predominantly bacteria are the primary etiological factor in the development of pulp and periapical lesions. Successful root canal therapy depends on thorough chemomechanical debridement of pulpal tissue, dentin debris, and microorganisms. Almost half of the canal walls are left unprepared with usage of stainless steel files and nickel– titanium rotary instruments. Therefore, irrigation is an essential part of the root canal treatment. Chemical debridement is especially needed for teeth with complex internal anatomies such as fins, cul de sacs, intercanal communications, and other irregularities.⁸⁻¹⁰ Hence; the present study was undertaken for analyzing and comparing the efficacy of three endodontic irritating solutions against Enterococcus Faecalis.

The present study was commenced with the aim of comparing the efficacy of three endodontic irrigating solutions against Enterococcus Faecalis. A total of thirty single rooted mandibular first premolar specimens were included. All the specimens were divided into following study groups: Group A: 5% Sodium hypochlorite, Group B: 0.2% Chitosan, and Group C: Saline. Mean CFU among specimens of group A, group B and group C were found to be 0.59, 2.118 and 90.184 respectively. While analyzing the results statistically, significant results were obtained. Efficacy of root canal irrigants was found to be of following order: Group C < Group B < Group A. Our results were in concordance with the results obtained by previous authors who also reported similar findings in their respective studies. Jaiswal N et al explored newer irrigants probably be as more effective and at the same time would be less irritating to the tissues than NaOCl. Ninety extracted human mandibular premolars were biomechanically prepared, vertically sectioned, placed in tissue culture wells exposing the root canal surface to E. faecalis to form a biofilm. Chitosan + Chlorhexidine, NaOCl and Chlorhexidine showed no statistically significant difference, whereas all the other inter-group differences were statistically significant (P<0.05). Chitosan + Chlorhexidine, Chlorhexidine and Propolis were found to be as efficacious as sodium hypochlorite.¹¹

A published report has recommended the removal of the smear layer through the irrigation of the root canal with sodium hypochlorite followed by a final irrigation with EDTA. Chitosan, a natural polysaccharide, is prominently used in dentistry because it is biocompatible, biodegradable, bio-adhesive, and non-toxic, with broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties and chelating activity. Chitosan has the ability to remove the smear layer and unblock dentin tubules without promoting significant dentin erosion.^{11- 13} Yadav P et al evaluated antibacterial effect of 0.25% Chitosan, 0.5% Chitosan, 2% chlorhexidine and 3% sodium hypochlorite against Enterococcus faecalis and Candida Albicans. Significant reduction of colony forming units (CFU)/mL was observed for the chitosan groups and the antibacterial activity of the chitosan groups was at par with 3% NaOCl and 2% Chlorhexidine. The use of chitosan as a root canal irrigant might be an alternative considering the various undesirable properties of NaOCl and chlorhexidine.¹⁴

Kondreddi N et al compared the antibacterial efficacy of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), chlorhexidine (CHX), chitosan and their combinations in vitro. A total of 60 samples were divided into six groups according to the solutions used for irrigation, that is, CHX, NaOCl, chitosan, alternating solution of chitosan and hypochlorite, alternating solution of chitosan and CHX, and saline. A statistically significant difference was found in the number of CFU between experimental groups compared to the control group and also among five experimental groups (P > 0.001). Maximum antibacterial activity was seen when chitosan was used alternatively with CHX and NaOCl. Independently, hypochlorite showed maximum antibacterial activity. There is synergistic antibacterial activity when chitosan is used alternatively with 2% CHX or 5% NaOCl.¹⁵

5. CONCLUSION

From the above results, the authors concluded that sodium hypochlorite exhibited maximum efficacy against Enterococcus Faecalis.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kakehashi S, Stanley HR, Fitzgerald RJ. The Effects of Surgical Exposures of Dental Pulps in Germ-Free and Conventional Laboratory Rats. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics. 1965;20:340–9.
- [2] Siqueira JF Jr, Rocas IN. Clinical implications and microbiology of bacterial persistence after treatment procedures. J Endod. 2008;34:1291–301.
- [3] Siqueira JF Jr, Rocas IN. Diversity of endodontic microbiota revisited. J Dent Res. 2009;88:969–81.
- [4] Wali IE, Eid GEM, Omar WA, ElRafie S. The Antimicrobial Efficacy of Ozonated Water, Chlorhexidine and Sodium Hypochlorite against Single Species Biofilms of Enterococcus faecalis and Candida albicans. Egyptian Journal of Medical Microbiology. 2008;17:419–27.
- [5] Del Carpio-Perochena AE, Bramante CM, Duarte MA, Cavenago BC, Villas-Boas MH, Graeff MS, Bernardineli N, de Andrade FB, Ordinola-Zapata R. Biofilm dissolution and cleaning ability of different irrigant solutions on intraorally infected dentin. J Endod. 2011;37:1134–1138.
- [6] Zhang K, Kim YK, Cadenaro M, Bryan TE, Sidow SJ, Loushine RJ, Ling JQ, Pashley DH, Tay FR. Effects of different exposure times and concentrations of sodium hypochlorite/ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on the structural integrity of mineralized dentin. J Endod. 2010;36:105–109.
- [7] Calt S, Serper A. Time-dependent effects of EDTA on dentin structures. J Endod. 2002;28:17–19.

- [8] Peters OA. Current challenges and concepts in the preparation of root canal systems: A review. J Endod 2004;30:559-67.
- [9] Zehnder M. Root canal irrigants. J Endod 2006;32:389-98.
- [10] Martinho FC, Gomes BP. Quantification of endotoxins and cultivable bacteria in root canal infection before and after chemomechanical preparation with 2.5% sodium hypochlorite. J Endod 2008;34:268-72.
- [11] Jaiswal N, Sinha DJ, Singh UP, Singh K, Jandial UA, Goel S. Evaluation of antibacterial efficacy of Chitosan, Chlorhexidine, Propolis and Sodium hypochlorite on Enterococcus faecalis biofilm : An in vitro study. J Clin Exp Dent. 2017;9(9):e1066e1074.
- [12] DaSilva L, Finer Y, Friedman S, Basrani B, Kishen A. Biofilm formation within the interface of bovine root dentine treated with conjugated chitosan and sealer containing chitosan nanoparticles. J Endod. 2013;39(2):249–253
- [13] Roshdy NN, Kataia EM, Helmy NA. Assessment of antibacterial activity of 2.5% NaOCl, Chitosan nano-particles against Enterococcus faecalis contaminating root canals with and without diode laser irradiation: an in vitro study. Acta Odontol Scand. 2018:1–5.
- [14] Yadav P, Chaudhary S, Saxena RK, Talwar S, Yadav S. Evaluation of Antimicrobial and Antifungal efficacy of Chitosan as endodontic irrigant against Enterococcus Faecalis and Candida Albicans Biofilm formed on tooth substrate. J Clin Exp Dent. 2017;9(3):e361-e367. Published 2017 Mar 1. doi:10.4317/jced.53210.
- [15] Kondreddi N, Venigalla BS, Singh TV, Kamishetty S, Reddy S, Cherukupalli R. Antibacterial activity of chitosan and its combination with other irrigants on Enterococcus faecalis: An in vitro study. Endodontology 2019;31:133-7.